


GV


1001

S75

1908











Group IV. No. 4

APRIL, 1908

Price 10 cents

# SPALDING'S

ATHLETIC LIBRARY



1908

*Official*

## LAWN TENNIS ANNUAL



*OFFICIAL*

LAWS OF LAWN TENNIS

AMERICAN SPORTS PUBLISHING CO.

21 Warren Street, New York



# A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

MAINTAIN THEIR OWN HOUSES  
FOR DISTRIBUTING THE

## SPALDING COMPLETE LINE OF ATHLETIC GOODS

IN THE FOLLOWING CITIES



### NEW YORK

Downtown—124-128 Nassau St.  
Uplown—29-33 West 42d St.

### BOSTON, MASS.

73 Federal Street

### PHILADELPHIA, PA.

1013 Filbert Street

### BALTIMORE, MD.

208 E. Baltimore Street

### WASHINGTON, D. C.

709 14th Street, N. W.  
(Colorado Building)

### PITTSBURG, PA.

439 Wood Street

### BUFFALO, N. Y.

611 Main Street

### SYRACUSE, N. Y.

University Block

### MONTREAL, CANADA

443 St. James Street

### CHICAGO,

147-149 Wabash Avenue  
ST. LOUIS, MO.

710 Pine Street

### CINCINNATI, O.

27 East Fifth Street  
Fountain Square

### CLEVELAND, O.

741 Euclid Avenue

### DETROIT, MICH.

254 Woodward Avenue

### MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.

39 Sixth Street, South

### KANSAS CITY, MO.

1111 Walnut Street

### NEW ORLEANS, LA.

140 Carondelet Street

### DENVER, COL.

1616 Arapahoe Street

### SAN FRANCISCO, CAL.

134 Geary Street

### LONDON, ENGLAND

53, 54, 55, Two Stores West End Branch,  
Fetter Lane, E. C. 29, Haymarket, S. W.

Communications directed to A. G. SPALDING & BROS., at any  
of the above addresses, will receive prompt attention.



THE SPALDING TRADE MARK  
IS REGISTERED IN THE UNITED  
STATES PATENT OFFICE, ALSO  
IN 27 FOREIGN COUNTRIES.  
INFRINGEMENTS ARE WARNED.



## THE FOUNDATION OF THE SPALDING BUSINESS

# SPALDING'S

## ATHLETIC LIBRARY

Spalding's Athletic Library is the leading library series of its kind published in the world; in fact, it has no imitators, let alone equals. It occupies a field that it has created for itself.

The Library was established in the year 1892, and it is conceded by all authorities that Spalding's Athletic Library has been an important factor in the advancement of amateur sport in America.

The millions that read the Library during the year will attest to its value. A glance at its index will disclose the remarkable field that it covers. It is immaterial what the pastime may be, you will find in Spalding's Athletic Library a reference to it, either in a book devoted exclusively to that particular game or in some of the books that cover many sports.

It has been the aim of the editors to make the books *Official*, and they *are* recognized as such, all the important governing bodies in America granting to the publishers of Spalding's Athletic Library the exclusive right to publish their official books and official rules.

The best authorities in each particular line of sport or physical culture, the men best qualified to write intelligently on their respective subjects, are selected to edit the books and, as a result, there is not another series in the world that is as authoritative as Spalding's Athletic Library.

No matter what new game or form of sport be conceived or advanced, it is invariably the aim of the publishers to have a book on that sport. In that way Spalding's Athletic Library is in the field at the beginning of the sport, follows it year in and year out, and there can be no doubt whatever that the present popularity of athletic sports can attribute the same to the "backing" it has received from Spalding's Athletic Library.

JAMES E. SULLIVAN.



# SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

Giving the Titles of all Spalding Athletic Library Books now  
in Print, grouped for ready reference

## SPALDING OFFICIAL ANNUALS

- ✓ No. 1 Spalding's Official Base Ball Guide
- ✓ No. 1A Spalding's Official Base Ball Record
- ✓ No. 2 Spalding's Official Foot Ball Guide
- ✓ No. 2A Spalding's Official Soccer Foot Ball Guide
- ✓ No. 3 Spalding's Official Cricket Guide
- ✓ No. 4 Spalding's Official Lawn Tennis Annual
- ✓ No. 5 Spalding's Official Golf Guide
- ✓ No. 6 Spalding's Official Ice Hockey Guide
- ✓ No. 7 Spalding's Official Basket Ball Guide
- ✓ No. 8 Spalding's Official Bowling Guide
- ✓ No. 9 Spalding's Official Indoor Base Ball Guide
- ✓ No. 10 Spalding's Official Roller Polo Guide
- ✓ No. 12 Spalding's Official Athletic Almanac

### Group I.

### Base Ball

No. 1 *Spalding's Official Base Ball Guide.*

- No. 202 How to Play Base Ball.
- No. 223 How to Bat.
- No. 232 How to Run Bases.
- No. 230 How to Pitch.
- No. 229 How to Catch.
- No. 225 How to Play First Base.
- No. 226 How to Play Second Base.
- No. 227 How to Play Third Base.
- No. 228 How to Play Shortstop.
- No. 224 How to Play the Outfield.

- No. 231 { How to Organize a Base Ball Club. [League.
- { How to Organize a Base Ball Club.
- { How to Manage a Base Ball Club.

- No. 219 { How to Train a Base Ball Team
- { How to Captain a Base Ball Team
- { How to Umpire a Game. [Team
- { Technical Base Ball Terms.
- No. 219 Ready Reckoner of Base Ball Percentages.

### BASE BALL AUXILIARIES

- No. 1A Official Base Ball Record.
- No. 309 Minor League Base Ball Guide
- No. 310 Official Book National League of Prof. Base Ball Clubs.

### Group II.

### Foot Ball

- No. 2 *Spalding's Official Foot Ball Guide.*
- No. 300 How to Play Foot Ball.
- No. 2A *Spalding's Official Soccer Foot Ball Guide*
- No. 286 How to Play Soccer.

### FOOT BALL AUXILIARIES

- No. 303 Spalding's Official Canadian Foot Ball Guide.

### Group III.

### Cricket

- No. 3 *Spalding's Official Cricket Guide*
- No. 277 Cricket and How to Play It.

### Group IV.

### Lawn Tennis

- No. 4 *Spalding's Official Lawn Tennis Annual.*
- No. 157 How to Play Lawn Tennis.
- No. 279 Strokes and Science of Lawn Tennis.

### Group V.

### Golf

- No. 5 *Spalding's Official Golf Guide*
- No. 276 How to Play Golf.

### Group VI.

### Hockey

- No. 6 *Spalding's Official Ice Hockey Guide.*
- No. 304 How to Play Ice Hockey.
- No. 154 Field Hockey.
- No. 188 { Lawn Hockey.
- { Parlor Hockey.
- { Garden Hockey.
- No. 180 Ring Hockey.

### HOCKEY AUXILIARY

- No. 256 Official Handbook Ontario Hockey Association.

### Group VII.

### Basket Ball

- No. 7 *Spalding's Official Basket Ball Guide.*
- No. 193 How to Play Basket Ball.
- No. 260 Basket Ball Guide for Women.
- BASKET BALL AUXILIARY
- No. 299 Official Collegiate Basket Ball Handbook.

Any of the Above Books Mailed Postpaid Upon  
Receipt of 10 Cents

# SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## Group VIII.

No. 8 *Spalding's Official Bowling Guide.*

## Group IX. Indoor Base Ball

No. 9 *Spalding's Official Indoor Base Ball Guide.*

## Group X. Polo

No. 10 *Spalding's Official Roller Polo Guide.*

No. 129 Water Polo.

No. 199 Equestrian Polo.

## Group XI. Miscellaneous Games

No. 201 Lacrosse.

No. 297 Official Handbook U. S. Intercollegiate Lacrosse League.

No. 248 Archery.

No. 138 Croquet.

No. 271 Roque.

No. 194 { Racquets.  
Squash-Racquets.  
Court Tennis.

No. 13 Hand Ball.

No. 167 Quoits.

No. 170 Push Ball.

No. 14 Curling.

No. 207 Lawn Bowls.

No. 188 Lawn Games.

No. 189 Children's Games.

## Group XII. Athletics

No. 12 *Spalding's Official Athletic Almanac.*

No. 27 College Athletics.

No. 182 All Around Athletics.

No. 156 Athletes' Guide.

No. 87 Athletic Primer.

No. 273 Olympic Games at Athens, 1906

No. 252 How to Sprint.

No. 255 How to Run 100 Yards.

No. 174 Distance and Cross Country Running.

No. 259 How to Become a Weight Thrower.

No. 55 Official Sporting Rules.

No. 246 Athletic Training for School-boys.

### ATHLETIC AUXILIARIES

No. 295 Amateur Athletic Union Official Handbook.

No. 292 Intercollegiate Official Handbook.

No. 302 Y. M. C. A. Official Handbook.

No. 301 Public Schools Athletic League Official Handbook.

No. 298 Intercollegiate Cross Country Association Handbook.

## Bowling

## Group XIII.

## Athletic Accomplishments

No. 177 How to Swim.

No. 296 Speed Swimming.

No. 128 How to Row.

No. 209 How to Become a Skater.

No. 178 How to Train for Bicycling.

No. 23 Canoeing.

No. 282 Roller Skating Guide.

## Group XIV.

## Manly Sports

No. 13 Fencing. (By Breck.)

No. 162 Boxing.

No. 165 Fencing. (By Senac.)

No. 140 Wrestling.

No. 236 How to Wrestle.

No. 102 Ground Tumbling.

No. 233 Jiu Jitsu.

No. 166 How to Swing Indian Clubs.

No. 200 Dumb Bell Exercises.

No. 143 Indian Clubs and Dumb Bells.

No. 262 Medicine Ball Exercises.

No. 29 Pulley Weight Exercises.

No. 191 How to Punch the Bag.

No. 289 Tumbling for Amateurs.

## Group XV.

## Gymnastics

No. 104 Grading of Gymnastic Exercises.

No. 214 Graded Calisthenics and Dumb Bell Drills.

No. 254 Barnjum Bar Bell Drill.

No. 158 Indoor and Outdoor Gymnastic Games.

No. 124 How to Become a Gymnast.

No. 287 Fancy Dumb Bell and Marching Drills.

## Group XVI.

## Physical Culture

No. 161 Ten Minutes' Exercise for Busy Men.

No. 208 Physical Education and Hygiene.

No. 149 Scientific Physical Training and Care of the Body.

No. 142 Physical Training Simplified.

No. 185 Hints on Health.

No. 213 285 Health Answers.

No. 238 Muscle Building.

No. 234 School Tactics and Maze Running.

No. 261 Tensing Exercises.

No. 285 Health by Muscular Gymnastics.

No. 288 Indigestion Treated by Gymnastics.

No. 290 Get Well ; Keep Well.

Any of the Above Books Mailed Postpaid Upon  
Receipt of 10 Cents

# SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## Group I. Base Ball

### No. 1—Spalding's Official Base Ball Guide.



The leading Base Ball annual of the country, and the official authority of the game. Edited by Henry Chadwick, the "Father of Base Ball." Contains the official playing rules, with an explanatory index of the rules compiled by Mr. A. G. Spalding; pictures of all the teams in the National, American and minor leagues; reviews of the season; college Base Ball, and a great deal of interesting information. Price 10 cents.

### No. 202—How to Play Base Ball.

Edited by Tim Murnane. New and revised edition. Illustrated with pictures showing how all the various curves and drops are thrown and portraits of leading players. Price 10 cents.

### No. 223—How to Bat.

There is no better way of becoming a proficient batter than by reading this book and practising the directions given. Numerous illustrations. Price 10 cents.

### No. 232—How to Run the Bases.

This book gives clear and concise directions for excelling as a base runner; tells when to run and when not to do so; how and when to slide; team work on the bases; in fact, every point of the game is thoroughly explained. Illustrated with pictures of leading players. Price 10 cents.

### No. 230—How to Pitch.

A new, up-to-date book. Its contents are the practical teaching of men who have reached the top as pitchers, and who know how to impart a knowledge of their art. All the big leagues' pitchers are shown. Price 10 cents.

### No. 229—How to Catch.

Every boy who has hopes of being a clever catcher should read how well-known players cover their position. Pictures of all the noted catchers in the big leagues. Price 10 cents.

### No. 225—How to Play First Base.

No boy who plays the initial sack can afford to overlook the points and hints contained in this book. Illustrated with full-page pictures of all the prominent first basemen. Price 10 cents.

### No. 226—How to Play Second Base.

The ideas of the best second basemen have been incorporated in this book for the especial benefit of boys who want to know the fine points of play at this point of the diamond. Everything a player should know is clearly set forth. Illustrated with full-page pictures. Price 10 cents.

### No. 227—How to Play Third Base.

Third base is, in some respects, the most important of the infield. No major league team has ever won a pennant without a great third baseman. Any boy will surely increase his chances of success by a careful reading of this book. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 228—How to Play Shortstop.

Shortstop is one of the hardest positions on the infield to fill, and quick thought and quick action are necessary for a player who expects to make good as a shortstop. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 224—How to Play the Outfield.

There are just as many tricks to be learned, before a player can be a competent fielder, as there are in any other position on a nine, and this book explains them all. Price 10 cents.

### No. 231—How to Coach; How to Captain a Team; How to Manage a Team; How to Umpire; How to Organize a League; Technical Terms of Base Ball.

A useful guide to all who are interested in the above subjects. Price 10 cents.

# SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## No. 219—Ready Reckoner of Base Ball Percentages.

To supply a demand for a book which would show the percentage of clubs without recourse to the arduous work of figuring, the publishers have had these tables compiled by an expert. Price 10 cents.

## BASE BALL AUXILIARIES.

### No. 1A—Spalding's Official Base Ball Record.

Something new in Base Ball. Contains records of all kinds from the beginning of the National League and official averages of all professional organizations for the past season. Illustrated with pictures of leading players taken in action. Price 10 cents.

### No. 309—Minor League Base Ball Guide.

The minors' own guide. Contains pictures of leading teams, schedules, report of annual meeting National Association of Professional Base Ball Leagues, special articles and official rules. Edited by President T. H. Mur-nane, of the New England League. Price 10 cents.

### No. 310—Official Handbook of the National League of Professional Base Ball Clubs.

Contains the Constitution, By-Laws, Official Rules, Averages, and schedule of the National League for the current year, together with list of club officers and reports of the annual meetings of the League. Price 10 cents.

## Group II. Foot Ball

### No. 2—Spalding's Official Foot Ball Guide.



Edited by Walter Camp. Contains the new rules, with diagram of field; All-America teams as selected by the leading authorities; reviews of the game from various sections of the country; scores; pictures. Price 10 cents.

### No. 300—How to Play Foot Ball.

Edited by Walter Camp, of Yale. Everything that a beginner wants to know and many points that an expert will be glad to learn. Snapshots of leading teams and players in action, with comments by Walter Camp. Price 10 cents.

### No. 2A—Spalding's Official Association Soccer Foot Ball Guide.

A complete and up-to-date guide to the "Soccer" game in the United States, containing instructions for playing the game, official rules, and interesting news from all parts of the country. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.



### No. 286—How to Play Soccer.

How each position should be played, written by the best player in England in his respective position, and illustrated with full-page photographs of players in action. Price 10 cents.

## FOOT BALL AUXILIARIES.

### No. 303—Spalding's Official Canadian Foot Ball Guide.

Edited by Frank D. Woodworth, Secretary-Treasurer Ontario Rugby Foot Ball Union. The official book of the game in Canada. Price 10 cents.

## Group III. Cricket

### No. 3—Spalding's Official Cricket Guide.



The most complete year book of the game that has ever been published in America. Reports of special matches, official rules and pictures of all the leading teams. Price 10 cents.

### No. 277—Cricket; and How to Play it.

By Prince Ranjitsinhji. The game described concisely and illustrated with full-page pictures posed especially for this book. Price 10 cents.



# SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## Group IV.

### No. 4—Spalding's Official Lawn Tennis Annual.



Contents include reports of all important tournaments; official ranking from 1885 to date; laws of lawn tennis; instructions for handicapping; decisions on doubtful points; management of tournaments; directory of clubs; laying out and keeping a court. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 157—How to Play Lawn Tennis.

A complete description of lawn tennis; a lesson for beginners and directions telling how to make the most important strokes. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 279—Strokes and Science of Lawn Tennis.

By P. A. Vaile, a leading authority on the game in Great Britain. Every stroke in the game is accurately illustrated and analyzed by the author. Price 10 cents.

## Group V.

### No. 5—Spalding's Official Golf Guide.

Contains records of all important tournaments, articles on the game in various sections of the country, pictures of prominent players, official playing rules and general items of interest. Price 10 cents.




### No. 276—How to Play Golf.

By James Braid and Harry Vardon, the world's two greatest players tell how they play the game, with numerous full-page pictures of them taken on the links. Price 10 cents.

## Lawn Tennis

## Group VI. Hockey

### No. 6—Spalding's Official Ice Hockey Guide.



The official year book of the game. Contains the official rules, pictures of leading teams and players, records, review of the season, reports from different sections of the United States and Canada. Price 10 cents.

### No. 304—How to Play Ice Hockey.

Contains a description of the duties of each player. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 154—Field Hockey.

Prominent in the sports at Vassar, Smith, Wellesley, Bryn Mawr and other leading colleges. Price 10 cents.

### No. 188—Lawn Hockey, Parlor Hockey, Garden Hockey.

Containing the rules for each game. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 180—Ring Hockey.

A new game for the gymnasium. Exciting as basket ball. Price 10 cents.

## HOCKEY AUXILIARY.

### No. 256—Official Handbook of the Ontario Hockey Association.

Contains the official rules of the Association, constitution, rules of competition, list of officers, and pictures of leading players. Price 10 cents.

## Group VII.

### No. 7—Spalding's Official Basket Ball Guide.

Edited by George T. Hepbronn. Contains the revised official rules, decisions on disputed points, records of prominent teams, reports on the game from various parts of the country. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## Basket Ball



# SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## No. 193—How to Play Basket Ball.

By G. T. Hepbron, editor of the Official Basket Ball Guide. Illustrated with scenes of action. Price 10 cents.

## No. 260—Official Basket Ball Guide for Women.

Edited by Miss Senda Berenson, of Smith College. Contains the official playing rules and special articles on the game by prominent authorities. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## BASKET BALL AUXILIARY.

### No. 299—Collegiate Basket Ball Handbook.

The official publication of the Collegiate Basket Ball Association. Contains the official rules, records, All-America selections, reviews, and pictures. Edited by H. A. Fisher, of Columbia. Price 10 cents.

## Group VIII. Bowling

### No. 8—Spalding's Official Bowling Guide.



The contents include: diagrams of effective deliveries; hints to beginners; how to score; official rules; spares, how they are made; rules for cocked hat, quintet, cocked hat and feather, battle game, etc. Price 10 cents.

## Group IX. Indoor Base Ball

### No. 9—Spalding's Official Indoor Base Ball Guide.

America's national game is now vying with other indoor games as a winter pastime. This book contains the playing rules, pictures of leading teams, and interesting articles on the game by leading authorities on the subject. Price 10 cents.



## Group X.

### No. 10—Spalding's Official Roller Polo Guide.

Edited by J. C. Morse. A full description of the game; official rules, records; pictures of prominent players. Price 10 cents



## Polo

### No. 129—Water Polo.

The contents of this book treat of every detail, the individual work of the players, the practice of the team, how to throw the ball, with illustrations and many valuable hints. Price 10 cents.

### No. 199—Equestrian Polo.

Compiled by H. L. Fitzpatrick of the New York Sun. Illustrated with portraits of leading players, and contains most useful information for polo players. Price 10 cents.

## Miscellaneous Games

### No. 201—Lacrosse.

Every position is thoroughly explained in a most simple and concise manner, rendering it the best manual of the game ever published. Illustrated with numerous snapshots of important plays. Price 10 cents.

### No. 297—Official Handbook U. S. Inter-Collegiate Lacrosse League.

Contains the constitution, by-laws, playing rules, list of officers and records of the association. Price 10 cents.

### No. 271—Spalding's Official Roque Guide.

The official publication of the National Roque Association of America. Contains a description of the courts and their construction, diagrams, illustrations, rules and valuable information. Price 10 cents.

### No. 138—Spalding's Official Croquet Guide

Contains directions for playing, diagrams of important strokes, description of grounds, instructions for the beginner, terms used in the game, and the official playing rules. Price 10 cents.

# SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## No. 248—Archery.

A new and up-to-date book on this fascinating pastime. The several varieties of archery; instructions for shooting; how to select implements; how to score; and a great deal of interesting information. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 194—Racquets, Squash-Racquets and Court Tennis.

How to play each game is thoroughly explained, and all the difficult strokes shown by special photographs taken especially for this book. Contains the official rules for each game. Price 10 cents.

## No. 167—Quoits.

Contains a description of the plays used by experts and the official rules. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 170—Push Ball.

This book contains the official rules and a sketch of the game; illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 13—How to Play Hand Ball.

By the world's champion, Michael Egan. Every play is thoroughly explained by text and diagram. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 14—Curling.

A short history of this famous Scottish pastime, with instructions for play, rules of the game, definitions of terms and diagrams of different shots. Price 10 cents.

## No. 207—Bowling on the Green; or, Lawn Bowls.

How to construct a green; how to play the game, and the official rules of the Scottish Bowling Association. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 189—Children's Games.

These games are intended for use at recesses, and all but the team games have been adapted to large classes. Suitable for children from three to eight years, and include a great variety. Price 10 cents.

## No. 188—Lawn Games.

Lawn Hockey, Garden Hockey, Hand Tennis, Tether Tennis; also Volley Ball, Parlor Hockey, Badminton, Basket Goal. Price 10 cents.

## Group XII. Athletics

### No. 12—Spalding's Official Athletic Almanac.

Compiled by J. E. Sullivan, President of the Amateur Athletic Union. The only annual publication now issued that contains a complete list of amateur best-on-records; intercollegiate, English, swimming, interscholastic, Irish, Scotch, Swedish, Continental, South African, Australasian; numerous photos of individual athletes and leading athletic teams. Price 10 cents.



### No. 27—College Athletics.

M. C. Murphy, the well-known athletic trainer, now with Pennsylvania, the author of this book, has written it especially for the schoolboy and college man, but it is invaluable for the athlete who wishes to excel in any branch of athletic sport; profusely illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 182—All-Around Athletics.

Gives in full the method of scoring the All-Around Championship; how to train for the All-Around Championship. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 156—Athlete's Guide.

Full instructions for the beginner, telling how to sprint, hurdle, jump and throw weights, general hints on training; valuable advice to beginners and important A. A. U. rules and their explanations, while the pictures comprise many scenes of champions in action. Price 10 cents.

### No. 273—The Olympic Games at Athens

A complete account of the Olympic Games of 1906, at Athens, the greatest International Athletic Contest ever held. Compiled by J. E. Sullivan, Special United States Commissioner to the Olympic Games. Price 10 cents.

# SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## No. 87—Athletic Primer.

Edited by J. E. Sullivan, President of the Amateur Athletic Union. Tells how to organize an athletic club, how to conduct an athletic meeting, and gives rules for the government of athletic meetings; contents also include directions for building a track and laying out athletic grounds, and a very instructive article on training; fully illustrated with pictures of leading athletes. Price 10 cents.

## No. 252—How to Sprint.

A complete and detailed account of how to train for the short distances. Every athlete who aspires to be a sprinter can study this book to advantage and gain useful knowledge. Price 10 cents.

## No. 255—How to Run 100 Yards.

By J. W. Morton, the noted British champion. Many of Mr. Morton's methods of training are novel to American athletes, but his success is the best tribute to their worth. Illustrated with photographs of Mr. Morton in action, taken especially for this book. Price 10 cents.

## No. 174—Distance and Cross-Country Running.

By George Orton, the famous University of Pennsylvania runner. The quarter, half, mile, the longer distances, and cross-country running and steeplechasing, with instructions for training; pictures of leading athletes in action, with comments by the editor. Price 10 cents.

## No. 259—Weight Throwing.

Probably no other man in the world has had the varied and long experience of James S. Mitchel, the author, in the weight throwing department of athletics. The book gives valuable information not only for the novice, but for the expert as well. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 246—Athletic Training for Schoolboys.

By Geo. W. Orton. Each event in the intercollegiate programme is treated of separately, both as regards method of training and form. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

## No. 55—Official Sporting Rules.

Contains rules not found in other publications for the government of many sports; rules for wrestling, shuffleboard, snowshoeing, professional racing, pigeon shooting, dog racing, pistol and revolver shooting, British water polo rules, Rugby football rules. Price 10 cents.

## ATHLETIC AUXILIARIES.

### No. 295—Official Handbook of the A.A.U.

The A. A. U. is the governing body of athletes in the United States of America, and all games must be held under its rules, which are exclusively published in this handbook, and a copy should be in the hands of every athlete and every club officer in America. Price 10 cents.

### No. 292—Official Intercollegiate A.A.A.A. Handbook.

Contains constitution, by-laws, and laws of athletics; records from 1876 to date. Price 10 cents.

### No. 302—Official Y.M.C.A. Handbook.

Contains the official rules governing all sports under the jurisdiction of the Y. M. C. A., official Y. M. C. A. scoring tables, pantathlon rules, pictures of leading Y. M. C. A. athletes. Price 10 cents.

### No. 301—Official Handbook of the Public Schools Athletic League.

Contains the official rules that govern all the contests of the league, and constitution, by-laws and officers. Edited by Dr. Luther Halsey Gulick, superintendent of physical education in the New York public schools. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 298—Intercollegiate Cross Country Handbook.

Contains constitution and by-laws, list of officers, and records of the association. Price 10 cents.



# SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## Group XIII. Athletic Accomplishments

### No. 177—How to Swim.

Will interest the expert as well as the novice; the illustrations were made from photographs especially posed, showing the swimmer in clear water; a valuable feature is the series of "land drill" exercises for the beginner. Price 10 cents.

### No. 296—Speed Swimming.

By Champion C. M. Daniels of the New York Athletic Club team, holder of numerous American records, and the best swimmer in America qualified to write on the subject. Any boy should be able to increase his speed in the water after reading Champion Daniels' instructions on the subject. Price 10 cents.

### No. 128—How to Row.

By E. J. Giannini, of the New York Athletic Club, one of America's most famous amateur oarsmen and champions. Shows how to hold the oars, the finish of the stroke and other valuable information. Price 10 cents.

### No. 23—Canoeing.

Paddling, sailing, cruising and racing canoes and their uses; with hints on rig and management; the choice of a canoe; sailing canoes, racing regulations; canoeing and camping. Fully illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 209—How to Become a Skater.

Contains advice for beginners; how to become a figure skater, showing how to do all the different tricks of the best figure skaters. Pictures of prominent skaters and numerous diagrams. Price 10 cents.

### No. 282—Official Roller Skating Guide.

Directions for becoming a fancy and trick roller skater, and rules for roller skating. Pictures of prominent trick skaters in action. Price 10 cents.

### No. 178—How to Train for Bicycling.

Gives methods of the best riders when training for long or short distance races; hints on training. Revised and up-to-date in every particular. Price 10 cents.

## Group XIV. Manly Sports

### No. 140—Wrestling.

Catch-as-catch-can style. Seventy illustrations of the different holds, photographed especially and so described that anybody can with little effort learn every one. Price 10 cents.

### No. 18—Fencing.

By Dr. Edward Breck, of Boston, editor of the Swordsman, and a prominent amateur fencer. A book that has stood the test of time, and is universally acknowledged to be a standard work. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 162—Boxing Guide.

Contains over 70 pages of illustrations showing all the latest blows, posed especially for this book under the supervision of a well-known instructor of boxing, who makes a specialty of teaching and knows how to impart his knowledge. Price 10 cents.

### No. 165—The Art of Fencing.

By Regis and Louis Senac, of New York, famous instructors and leading authorities on the subject. Gives in detail how every move should be made. Price 10 cents.

### No. 236—How to Wrestle.

The most complete and up-to-date book on wrestling ever published. Edited by F. R. Toombs, and devoted principally to special poses and illustrations by George Hackenschmidt, the "Russian Lion." Price 10 cents.

### No. 102—Ground Tumbling.

Any boy, by reading this book and following the instructions, can become proficient. Price 10 cents.

## SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

### **No. 289—Tumbling for Amateurs.**

Specially compiled for amateurs by Dr. James T. Gwathmey. Every variety of the pastime explained by text and pictures, over 100 different positions being shown. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 191—How to Punch the Bag.**

The best treatise on bag punching that has ever been printed. Every variety of blow used in training is shown and explained, with a chapter on fancy bag punching by a well-known theatrical bag puncher. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 143—Indian Clubs and Dumb-Bells.**

By America's amateur champion club swinger, J. H. Dougherty. It is clearly illustrated, by which any novice can become an expert. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 200—Dumb-Bells.**

The best work on dumb-bells that has ever been offered. By Prof. G. Bojus, of New York. Contains 200 photographs. Should be in the hands of every teacher and pupil of physical culture, and is invaluable for home exercise. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 262—Medicine Ball Exercises.**

A series of plain and practical exercises with the medicine ball, suitable for boys and girls, business and professional men, in and out of gymnasium. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 29—Pulley Weight Exercises.**

By Dr. Henry S. Anderson, instructor in heavy gymnastics Yale gymnasium. In conjunction with a chest machine anyone with this book can become perfectly developed. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 233—Jiu Jitsu.**

Each move thoroughly explained and illustrated with numerous full-page pictures of Messrs. A. Minami and K. Koyama, two of the most famous exponents of the art of Jiu Jitsu, who posed especially for this book. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 166—How to Swing Indian Clubs.**

By Prof. E. B. Warman. By following the directions carefully anyone can become an expert. Price 10 cents.

## **Group XV. Gymnastics**

### **No. 104—The Grading of Gymnastic Exercises.**

By G. M. Martin. A book that should be in the hands of every physical director of the Y. M. C. A., school, club, college, etc. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 214—Graded Calisthenics and Dumb-Bell Drills.**

For years it has been the custom in most gymnasiums of memorizing a set drill, which was never varied. Consequently the beginner was given the same kind and amount as the older member. With a view to giving uniformity the present treatise is attempted. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 254—Barnjum Bar Bell Drill.**

Edited by Dr. R. Tait McKenzie, Director Physical Training, University of Pennsylvania. Profusely illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 158—Indoor and Outdoor Gymnastic Games.**

A book that will prove valuable to indoor and outdoor gymnasiums, schools, outings and gatherings where there are a number to be amused. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 124—How to Become a Gymnast.**

By Robert Stoll, of the New York A. C., the American champion on the flying rings from 1885 to 1892. Any boy can easily become proficient with a little practice. Price 10 cents.

### **No. 287—Fancy Dumb Bell and Marching Drills.**

All concede that games and recreative exercises during the adolescent period are preferable to set drills and monotonous movements. These drills, while designed primarily for boys, can be used successfully with girls and men and women. Profusely illustrated. Price 10 cents.

# SPALDING ATHLETIC LIBRARY

## Physical Group XVI. Culture

### No. 161—Ten Minutes' Exercise for Busy Men.

By Dr. Luther Halsey Gulick, Director of Physical Training in the New York Public Schools. A concise and complete course of physical education. Price 10 cents.

### No. 208—Physical Education and Hygiene.

This is the fifth of the Physical Training series, by Prof. E. B. Warman (see Nos. 142, 149, 166, 185, 213, 261, 290). Price 10 cents.

### No. 149—The Care of the Body.

A book that all who value health should read and follow its instructions. By Prof. E. B. Warman, the well-known lecturer and authority on physical culture. Price 10 cents.

### No. 142—Physical Training Simplified.

By Prof. E. B. Warman. A complete, thorough and practical book where the whole man is considered—brain and body. Price 10 cents.

### No. 185—Health Hints.

By Prof. E. B. Warman. Health influenced by insulation; health influenced by underwear; health influenced by color; exercise. Price 10 cents.

### No. 213—285 Health Answers.

By Prof. E. B. Warman. Contents: ventilating a bedroom; ventilating a house; how to obtain pure air; bathing; salt water baths at home; a substitute for ice water; to cure insomnia, etc., etc. Price 10 cents.

### No. 238—Muscle Building.

By Dr. L. H. Gulick, Director of Physical Training in the New York Public Schools. A complete treatise on the correct method of acquiring strength. Illustrated. Price 10 cents.

### No. 234—School Tactics and Maze Running.

A series of drills for the use of schools. Edited by Dr. Luther Halsey Gulick, Director of Physical Training in the New York Public Schools. Price 10 cents.

### No. 261—Tensing Exercises.

By Prof. E. B. Warman. The "Tensing" or "Resisting" system of muscular exercises is the most thorough, the most complete, the most satisfactory, and the most fascinating of systems. Price 10 cents.

### No. 285—Health; by Muscular Gymnastics.

With hints on right living. By W. J. Cromie. If one will practice the exercises and observe the hints therein contained, he will be amply repaid for so doing. Price 10 cents.


### No. 288—Indigestion Treated by Gymnastics

By W. J. Cromie. If the hints therein contained are observed and the exercises faithfully performed great relief will be experienced. Price 10 cents.

### No. 290—Get Well; Keep Well.

By Prof. E. B. Warman, author of a number of books in the Spalding Athletic Library on physical training. Price 10 cents.







W. A. LARNED,  
Champion of the United States

SPALDING'S ATHLETIC LIBRARY  
GROUP IV., No. 4


SPALDING'S  
OFFICIAL  
LAWN TENNIS  
ANNUAL

1908

EDITED BY  
H. P. BURCHELL

NEW YORK  
AMERICAN SPORTS PUBLISHING COMPANY  
21 WARREN STREET

GV1.001  
S75



COPYRIGHT, 1908  
BY  
AMERICAN SPORTS PUBLISHING COMPANY  
NEW YORK

0005 774

# Contents

	PAGE
REVIEW OF THE SEASON	5
UNITED STATES NATIONAL LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION—	
Officers	25
Annual Meeting	25
Official Ranking for 1907	26
Ranking of First Ten Players Since 1885	26
NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS	9
Champions, Singles, Since 1881	14
All-Comers', Winners of, Singles, Since 1881	14
Semi-Finalists in Singles, Since 1881	16
Doubles, Winners of, Since 1881	15
Finalists in Doubles, Since 1881	17
SECTIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS—	
Longwood Tournament (Eastern Doubles)	30
Previous Winners of	23
Middle States Championships	28
Previous Winners of	19
New England Championships	33
Previous Winners of	19
Pacific States Championships	91
Previous Winners of	23
Southern Championships	35
Previous Winners of	21
Western Tennis Championships	39
Previous Winners of	21
Middle West Championships	41
Missouri Valley Championships	43
Northwestern Championships	47
South Atlantic Championships	37
STATE CHAMPIONSHIPS—	
Connecticut	52
Delaware	53
Georgia	55
Indiana	56
Iowa	57
Illinois	59
Kansas	61
Kentucky	62
Maine	63
Maryland	63
Massachusetts	65
New Jersey	67
New York	69
Ohio	73
Oklahoma	75
Pennsylvania	76
Rhode Island	77
Tennessee	78
Texas	79
Tri-State (Ohio-Indiana-Kentucky)	80
Tri-State (Iowa-Nebraska-Kansas)	83
Vermont	85
West Virginia	85
Wisconsin	89
WESTERN TENNIS FOR 1907	49
TENNIS ON THE PACIFIC COAST, 1907	91

M.P.W. 25, 08.  
 received 170

MISCELLANEOUS TOURNAMENTS—	PAGE
Central Iowa Tennis Association . . . . .	115
Championship Doubles—East-West-South . . . . .	103
Chicago Tennis League . . . . .	112
Country Club of Westchester Doubles . . . . .	109
East Jersey Lawn Tennis Association . . . . .	101
Englewood Field Club . . . . .	99
International Team Practice Events . . . . .	109
International Tourney at Niagara-on-the-Lake . . . . .	131
Long Island Championship . . . . .	107
Metropolitan Championship . . . . .	98
Metropolitan Tennis League . . . . .	105
New York Athletic Club . . . . .	111
Northeastern Iowa Tennis Association . . . . .	116
Southampton Invitation . . . . .	95
Wanderers' Cricket and Athletic Club . . . . .	113
INTERCOLLEGIATE CHAMPIONSHIPS . . . . .	117
New England Intercollegiate Tournament . . . . .	118
Southern Intercollegiate Tournament . . . . .	119
Western Intercollegiate Tournament . . . . .	121
Winners of Previous, Since 1883 . . . . .	118
INTERSCHOLASTIC CHAMPIONSHIPS . . . . .	121
Winners of Previous, Since 1891 . . . . .	121
Western Interscholastic Tournament . . . . .	122
WOMEN'S NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS . . . . .	123
Singles, Winners of, Since 1890 . . . . .	18
Doubles, Winners of, Since 1890 . . . . .	18
Mixed Doubles, Winners of, Since 1892 . . . . .	18
Pennsylvania Women's Championship . . . . .	127
CANADIAN CHAMPIONSHIPS . . . . .	131
INTERNATIONAL MATCHES FOR DAVIS TROPHY . . . . .	133
Americans in British Championships . . . . .	135
NATIONAL INDOOR CHAMPIONSHIPS . . . . .	141
Seventh Regiment Championships . . . . .	143
St. Nicholas Rink Events . . . . .	144
Women's Indoor National Championships . . . . .	142
TOURNAMENT NOTES . . . . .	148
LAWS OF LAWN TENNIS . . . . .	166
The Three-Handed and Four-Handed Games . . . . .	169
ODDS—The Sixths System of Handicapping . . . . .	171
DECISIONS ON DOUBTFUL POINTS . . . . .	172
Unofficial Decisions on Doubtful Points . . . . .	175
REGULATIONS FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF TOURNAMENTS . . . . .	177
Instructions for Handicapping . . . . .	185
Handicapping Tables . . . . .	186
How to Conduct a Tournament . . . . .	188
HOW TO BUILD AND KEEP A COURT . . . . .	190
DIRECTORY OF CLUBS . . . . .	194
FIXTURES FOR 1908 . . . . .	196
WHAT TO USE FOR LAWN TENNIS . . . . .	212
THE YEAR IN GREAT BRITAIN . . . . .	137
MISS MAY SUTTON IN ENGLAND . . . . .	136

*The publishers of Spalding's Lawn Tennis Annual are indebted to "American Lawn Tennis," Times Building, New York, for courtesies extended.*

## Review of the Season of 1907

While there is a conflict of opinion as to whether or not the standard of excellence of individual play has improved during the season of 1907-8, so far as the championship class is concerned, there can be no doubt but that general excellence throughout the country is distinctly higher among the average players. There has been an encouraging improvement in the class of entries for all tournaments, as well as in the number of contestants and much more tournament play which promises future development. In consequence lawn tennis enthusiasts look for a distinct advance in the game this year as a result of the wider tournament competition and the gradual development of a new list of competitors who shall outshine in brilliance the old competitors who have held sway in the past.

The victory of Larned in the championship event in the home season was the distinguishing feature, while the success of Miss Sutton in regaining the English title afforded some consolation for the failure of the American team in the Davis Cup matches. Little was expected of Beals Wright and Karl H. Behr in the effort to win back the historic trophy, and while unsuccessful they undoubtedly made a better showing than the experienced judge of play anticipated. Behr played excellently in his first essay of the internationals, and had a smash in the fourth set of his match with Wilding passed over the net instead of just failing to clear it, the whole history of the competition might have been altered and the cup brought back to America.

Australia's victory puts a new complexion on the Davis Cup contest, and while plans are as yet unformed for further efforts to regain the cup, there is a chance that the year may develop the most interesting play for it that has yet been witnessed.

Larned passed by Southampton, the great Meadow Club meeting, and continued his good play on the turf at Longwood. Here he was denied the challenge match in the singles, as Clarence Hobart, after defeating Robert LeRoy in the final, 3/6, 6/2, 6/1, 6/2, defaulted rather than act against his principles as to the propriety of the unfair system of the challenge method. Although not to be regarded as a doubles player, Larned, paired with William J. Clothier, captured the Eastern doubles title. In the final they scored at 6/1, 6/4 and 6/3, against Wylie C. Grant and Herbert L. Westfall. This doubles victory, however, did not avail Larned, for Clothier weakened materially before the National doubles were decided upon the courts of the Crescent Athletic Club at Bay Ridge, and his victory at Longwood only prepared him actually for the greatest victory of all, that of winning the National championship. In this, the greatest of all the American tournaments of the year, Larned came through with a remarkable showing. He towered above all his fellow-contenders, and presented the unique spectacle of a champion regaining his title after an interval of four years of vain striving. But the remarkable feature of Larned's victory was that he came through the tournament without the loss of a set, his defeat of Robert LeRoy in the final being scored 6/2, 6/2 and 6/4.

While the singles title of the year naturally attracts foremost attention, the doubles during the past season also marked a step in advance with the advent of the winners of the Southern championship to the competition. It had also been the intention to secure a pair from the Far West, but this remains for another season. As the holders of the doubles title, Beals C. Wright and Holcombe Ward, had previously announced their intention to default the tournament in which the South, West and East met on the courts of the Crescent Athletic Club, at Bay Ridge, actually deciding the championship. On the first day Harold H. Hackett and Frederick B. Alexander,





H. H. HACKETT AND F. B. ALEXANDER,  
National Doubles Champions.

who had journeyed all the way to Chicago, met Larned and Clothier, the Eastern champions. This match provided a sorry spectacle for the Easterners, who were outclassed completely by Hackett and Alexander, who won, 6/3, 6/0, 6/4. The Westerners then met the Southerners, and scored with equal ease against the pair, who were Grant and Thornton, 6/2, 6/2, 6/1.


In other of the important tournaments than the national and international, Henry E. Mollenhauer, Theodore Roosevelt Pell and Robert LeRoy indicated that they are attaining high-class form. Mollenhauer was a finalist in the Long Island championship, the challenger of the New York Lawn Tennis Club open singles, finalist of the Metropolitan, of the Englewood Field Club, and in the national at Newport. LeRoy, the Columbia University interscholastic champion of 1906, was somewhat late in starting, but he finally rounded out brilliantly. Aside from his being a finalist in the national at Newport, he was a finalist at Southampton, and won the great tri-state championship at Cincinnati.

N. W. Niles also gave evidence of attaining high-class this season, but, following his winning the Harvard championship late in April, his good work was not sustained, because of his being unable to get into good physical trim.

Right at the close of the season Edwin P. Larned, the younger brother to the national champion, distinguished himself by winning the New Jersey State honors on the courts of the Morristown Field Club. He played a smashing game, and defeated Anderson, the holder, three sets to one.

In one respect—its development of the women's play—the season was most remarkable. Everywhere the interest in the women's game showed marked development and increase. The culminating point was the victory of Miss Evelyn Sears of Boston, who won the women's National championship on the courts at St. Martin's Green in Philadelphia. In this match she defeated Miss Carrie B. Neely of Cincinnati and Chicago by the score of 6/3, 6/2. Miss Neely had previously put out Mrs. Barger-Wallach, who was the finalist a year ago.

These records of the year's tournaments indicate the fact that a number of the younger players are showing a promise which it is the hope of all lovers of the game may be fulfilled.



Larned      LeRoy  
**FINALS AT NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNAMENT, 1907—LARNED VS. LEROY.**      Alman & Co., Photo

## National Championships

For the third time William A. Larned won the national title on Wednesday, August 28, when he met Robert LeRoy on the historic championship court at Newport, and vanquished him in straight sets. By so doing he rounded out a career that is without parallel in lawn tennis annals, winning the blue ribbon of the sport—the championship of the United States—and obtaining possession of the massive silver challenge cup that had already been won twice by him. It was a splendid and well earned victory, the merit of which is detracted from not jot or tittle by the fact that many of the notable figures at former championship meetings were absent. Thus was the unique spectacle presented of a champion regaining his title after an interval of four years of vain striving. No American champion except R. D. Wrenn ever lost a championship and then won it again, and in his case the interval was of one year's duration only. Larned's feat rounds out sixteen years of ceaseless striving and strenuous effort to grasp the keenly coveted honor at Newport. In 1892 he was runner-up to F. H. Hovey; in 1894, 1895, and 1896 he climbed to the same altitude, only to fall before M. F. Goodbody, F. H. Hovey, and R. D. Wrenn; and it was not until 1901 that he finally reached his goal and became champion by defeating B. C. Wright in the all-comers', Champion M. D. Whitman having previously declared that he would not defend. In 1902 Larned repeated his win, defeating R. F. Doherty in the challenge round, but the next year the younger Doherty, H. L., put a period to Larned's first incursion into the ranks of the champions. Since then he has persevered in his self-imposed task of regaining his laurels, being put out at Newport by Clothier in 1904, by Wright in 1905, and by Behr in 1906. Curiously enough, only one of the three—Behr—played in this year's tournament.

Not in years has there been such a record on the Casino courts as was made by the new-old champion during the holding of the twenty-seventh annual Newport tournament. Much has been written and said about the defaults and upsets of this remarkable meeting, the opportunity it gave the younger players to come to the front in consequence of the retirement of old and popular stars whose very names have made tennis history; and conjecture has been rife as to the probable result had there been no upsets and no defaults and abstentions. But at best it has been conjecture and no one can tell whether the planting of any number of champions and stars in Larned's path would have availed to stay his meteoric flight, and prevent his attainment of the goal he has striven so long to reach.

The week and a day which marked the period of the tournament were eventful in the extreme. Beginning with the upheaval of the first day, when Karl H. Behr, member of the 1907 Davis Cup team and a much fancied candidate for the highest honors, was hurled from his eminence by Richard H. Palmer.

The semi-finals came down to Larned and Hobart, and LeRoy and Mollenhauer. Larned defeated Hobart handily, as follows:

### First Set.

W. A. Larned.....	4	4	1	3	4	4	4	4—28—6
C. Hobart .....	0	0	4	5	1	2	1	2—15—2


### Second Set.

W. A. Larned.....	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	4—30—6
C. Hobart .....	1	1	1	5	1	5	2	2—18—2

### Third Set.

W. A. Larned.....	4	5	6	4	2	4	5—30—6
C. Hobart .....	2	3	4	2	4	1	3—19—1





GALLERY DURING THE LARNED-LEROY MATCH AT THE NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNAMENT, 1907.  
Alman & Co., Photo.

LeRoy won from Mollenhauer by superior endurance, when he looked certain to be defeated. The score:

## First Set.

R. LeRoy .....	2	4	8	4	0	1	2	4	1	1—27—4
H. Mollenhauer .....	4	0	6	1	4	4	4	2	4	4—33—6

## Second Set.

R. LeRoy .....	1	2	1	0	4	4	9	4	4	4—33—6
H. Mollenhauer .....	4	4	4	4	1	1	7	1	2	2—30—4

## Third Set.

R. LeRoy .....	4	1	1	1	0	2	5—14—1
H. Mollenhauer .....	2	4	4	4	3	4	7—28—6

## Fourth Set.

R. LeRoy .....	2	2	4	4	3	3	2	6	4	6	1	4	6	4—51—8
H. Mollenhauer .....	4	4	2	2	5	5	4	4	2	4	4	0	4	0—41—6

## Fifth Set.

R. LeRoy .....	4	7	4	4	6	4—29—6
H. Mollenhauer .....	1	5	0	0	4	0—10—0

The ultimate round of the tournament, wherein Larned won the right to challenge Clothier, a perfunctory performance that brought him the national title without a stroke, furnished in some respects an anti-climax as to the character of play which it called forth. At no time in the match was LeRoy a serious menace to his opponent. At no time, save in the third set, was Larned headed after the opening game, which LeRoy took. All-round superiority in every department of the game brought Larned through the victor without the loss of a set. He was much the more brilliant in placing, scoring nearly a third more earned points than the other man, with a total of 29 to LeRoy's 19. He was far more certain with his returns, committing but 55 faults of various descriptions, to 77 that came off LeRoy's racket. He outpointed his man by the ratio of 106 to 77. The score:

## First Set.

W. A. Larned.....	3	4	4	4	4	2	5	5—31—6
R. LeRoy .....	5	0	1	2	0	4	3	3—18—2

## Second Set.


W. A. Larned.....	4	4	4	4	2	5	9	5—37—6
R. LeRoy .....	0	1	2	6	4	3	7	3—26—2

## Third Set.

W. A. Larned.....	2	5	3	1	2	5	4	8	4	4—38—6
R. LeRoy .....	4	3	5	4	4	3	0	6	2	2—33—4

## CHAMPIONSHIP SINGLES.

First round—H. L. Westfall defeated Carlton Hale, 6/3, 6/3, 6/3; A. N. Reggio defeated James Brown, 6/3, 6/3, 6/4; I. C. Wright defeated H. I. Foster, 8/6, 6/4, 6/2; F. C. Colston defeated J. O. Wagner, 6/2, 6/3, 6/3; E. W. Catlin defeated G. A. Richardson, 6/4, 6/2, 6/0; W. A. Larned defeated T. R. Pell, 6/4, 6/2, 6/1; C. E. Sands defeated R. Gambrell, 6/0, 6/1, 6/1; S. Henshaw defeated R. D. Little, by default; J. R. Fowler defeated L. J. Grant, 6/3, 6/3, 6/4; G. C. Thomas, Jr., defeated R. M. Hodges, 6/4, 6/4, 6/1; Clarence Hobart defeated H. W. Slocum, 4/6, 6/2, 6/4, 6/4; H. L. Beyer defeated Count Sala, by default; H. F. Franklin defeated A. E. Kent, by default; H. B. Register defeated William McDunn, 6/8, 6/3, 6/3, 6/1; C. M. Rodgers defeated C. E. VanVleck, Jr., 6/2, 6/2, 7/5; C. M. Bull, Jr., defeated J. O. Downey, 7/5, 6/1, 7/5; T. W. Potter defeated Linford Biddle, by default; W. Bigelow defeated F. J. Otis, by default; E. T. Gross defeated Dean Mathey, 5/7, 7/5, 6/1, 7/5; A. Dabney defeated H. C. Pell, Jr., 6/2, 6/1, 9/7; W. F. Johnson defeated W. H. Gray, Jr., by default; A. L. Hoskins defeated J. D. E. Jones, by default; H. C. Johnson



Alman & Co., Photo.

NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNAMENT. 1907—HOBART VS. JOHNSON.

Hobart

Johnson



defeated H. L. Hitchcock, 6/0, 3/6, 6/2, 6/2; F. C. Anderson defeated J. R. Carpenter, Jr., 6/3, 1/6, 6/1, 6/1; N. Stevens defeated Robert D. Nelson, 6/1, 6/1, 6/0; G. T. Gardner, Jr., defeated W. G. D. Morgan, 8/6, 6/0, 6/1; F. C. Inman defeated E. H. Frank, 6/0, 6/2, 6/2; E. F. Torrey Jr., defeated Merwin White, by default; G. M. W. Kobbe defeated R. W. Thomas, 5/7, 1/6, 6/2, 6/3, 6/1; E. W. Scott defeated W. H. Preston, 8/6, 6/3, 6/2; W. H. Trotter defeated Walter V. Bennett, 6/4, 6/1, 6/3; H. Mollenhauer defeated F. R. Tucker, 6/1, 6/1, 6/2; A. Goadby defeated C. F. Watson, Jr., by default; C. Rodgers defeated H. A. Mackinney, 6/8, 4/6, 6/3, 6/4, 6/1; G. T. Adeë defeated Charles L. Frailey, 6/4, 6/2, 6/2; Nat Thornton defeated Ewing Stille, by default; M. A. Chase defeated G. A. Thompson, by default; A. Sweetser defeated D. F. Appleton, 6/1, 6/3, 6/3; R. T. Townsend defeated George A. Phelps, 6/0, 6/3, 6/2; L. Russ defeated W. M. Fischer, 6/1, 6/1, 10/8; H. A. Plummer defeated Seymour Johnson, 6/1, 6/2, 6/3; G. Beals defeated B. C. Wright, by default; R. H. Palmer defeated Karl Behr, 6/3, 4/6, 4/6, 6/2, 8/6; J. S. Cushman defeated J. F. Talmadge, 6/2, 6/2, 6/1; C. Pell defeated G. C. Hinckley, 6/3, 6/3, 7/9, 7/5; G. F. Parrish defeated H. P. Cross, 3/6, 7/5, 4/6, 7/5, 6/0; E. V. Page defeated Paulding Fosdick, 6/2, 6/2, 6/3; J. O. Ames defeated J. A. Ross, 6/3, 6/2, 6/1; N. W. Niles defeated Robert W. Wilson, 6/0, 6/0, 6/4; H. Tallant defeated Arthur Ingraham, 6/1, 6/1, 6/4; R. LeRoy defeated B. M. Grant, by default.

Second round—M. S. Charlock defeated W. A. Taylor, 6/4, 6/3, 6/0; J. A. C. Colston defeated W. C. Grant, by default; G. H. Nettleton defeated H. E. Foster, by default; Westfall defeated Reggio, by default; Wright defeated Colston, 6/2, 6/2, 4/6, 8/6; Larned defeated Catlin, 6/0, 6/0, 6/2; Sands defeated Henshaw, 6/3, 6/1, 6/4; Fowler defeated Thomas, 6/0, 6/4, 4/6, 6/4; Hobart defeated Beyer, 6/0, 6/3, 6/2; Register defeated Franklin, 6/2, 6/2, 6/1; Bull defeated Rodgers, 6/3, 6/8, 6/1, 6/4; Potter defeated Bigelow, 4/6, 6/1, 6/3, 5/7, 6/2; Gross defeated Dabney, 6/4, 6/3, 7/5; Johnson defeated Hoskins, 6/2, 6/2, 6/4; Anderson defeated Johnson, 6/3, 7/5, 3/6, 3/6, 6/2; Gardner defeated Stevens, 6/1, 8/6, 6/1; Inman defeated Torrey, 6/1, 6/4, 6/4; Scott defeated Kobbe, 7/5, 6/2, 7/5; Mollenhauer defeated Trotter, 2/6, 6/0, 13/11, 6/4; Rodgers defeated Goadby, 6/0, 6/1, 6/1; Thornton defeated Adeë, 6/3, 6/2, 8/6; Chase defeated Sweetser, 6/4, 4/6, 5/7, 6/4, 6/0; Russ defeated Townsend, 6/3, 6/2, 6/3; Beals defeated Plummer, 2/6, 2/6, 6/3, 6/2, 8/6; Palmer defeated Cushman, 6/3, 6/0, 6/3; Pell defeated Parrish, 6/2, 6/1, 6/4; Ames defeated Page, 6/2, 6/4, 6/3; Niles defeated Tallant, 0/6, 6/3, 6/3, 2/6, 6/4; R. LeRoy defeated W. B. Howe, by default; A. Holmes defeated H. A. Sands, 1/6, 6/1, 4/6, 6/4, 6/4; D. Partridge defeated H. W. Warner, by default; R. Lovering defeated R. R. Livingston, 6/2, 6/1, 6/2.

Third round—Colston defeated Charlock, 3/6, 8/6, 6/1, 8/6; Westfall defeated Nettleton, 6/1, 6/1, 6/4; Larned defeated Wright, 6/0, 6/3, 6/3; Sands defeated Fowler, 6/2, 6/2, 6/2; Hobart defeated Register, 6/1, 6/2, 6/0; Bull defeated Potter, 6/1, 6/2, 6/1; Johnson defeated Gross, 11/9, 6/2, 6/1; Anderson defeated Gardner, 6/3, 6/2, 6/3; Inman defeated Scott, 6/0, 6/1, 6/4; Mollenhauer defeated Rodgers, 5/7, 6/4, 6/2, 6/4; Thornton defeated Chase, 6/2, 6/2, 6/4; Russ defeated Beals, 6/4, 6/4, 4/6, 5/7, 6/4; Palmer defeated Pell, 6/0, 6/3, 4/6, 6/4; Niles defeated Ames, 7/5, 6/2, 8/6; LeRoy defeated Holmes, 6/1, 6/4; 6/4; Lovering defeated Partridge, 6/4, 6/1, 6/4.

Fourth round—Westfall defeated Colston, 6/2, 6/3, 6/3; Larned defeated Sands, 6/1, 6/3, 6/2; Hobart defeated Bull, 6/4 7/5 6/2; Johnson defeated Anderson, 6/0, 2/6, 6/3, 6/4; Mollenhauer defeated Inman, 6/4, 6/4, 1/6, 6/0; Russ defeated Thornton, 9/11, 6/1, 6/1, 6/3; Palmer defeated Niles, 5/7, 8/6, 8/6, 4/6, 6/2; LeRoy defeated Lovering 6/3, 6/1, 6/4.

Fifth round—Larned defeated Westfall, 6/3, 6/1, 6/0; Hobart defeated Johnson, 6/4, 6/3, 5/7, 5/7, 6/2; Mollenhauer defeated Russ, 6/4, 11/9, 6/0, 6/4; LeRoy defeated Palmer, 6/0, 6/2, 6/2.

Semi-final round—Larned defeated Hobart, 6/2, 6/2, 6/1; LeRoy defeated Mollenhauer, 4/6, 6/4, 1/6, 8/6, 6/0.

Final round—Larned defeated LeRoy, 6/2, 6/2, 6/4.

## American Champions Since 1881.

## SINGLES.

<i>Champion</i>	<i>Winner All-Comers</i>
1881—R. D. Sears	R. D. Sears
1882—R. D. Sears	R. D. Sears
1883—R. D. Sears	R. D. Sears
1884—R. D. Sears	H. A. Taylor
1885—R. D. Sears	G. M. Brinley
1886—R. D. Sears	R. L. Beeckman
1887—R. D. Sears	H. W. Slocum, Jr.
1888—H. W. Slocum, Jr.	H. W. Slocum, Jr.
1889—H. W. Slocum, Jr.	Q. A. Shaw, Jr.
1890—O. S. Campbell	O. S. Campbell
1891—O. S. Campbell	C. Hobart
1892—O. S. Campbell	F. H. Hovey
1893—R. D. Wrenn	R. D. Wrenn
1894—R. D. Wrenn	M. F. Goodbody
1895—F. H. Hovey	F. H. Hovey
1896—R. D. Wrenn	R. D. Wrenn
1897—R. D. Wrenn	W. V. Eaves
1898—M. D. Whitman	M. D. Whitman
1899—M. D. Whitman	J. P. Paret
1900—M. D. Whitman	W. A. Larned
1901—W. A. Larned	W. A. Larned
1902—W. A. Larned	R. F. Doherty
1903—H. L. Doherty	H. L. Doherty
1904—H. Ward	H. Ward
1905—B. C. Wright	B. C. Wright
1906—W. J. Clothier	W. J. Clothier
1907—W. A. Larned	W. A. Larned

**American Champions Since 1881.****DOUBLES.**

- 1881—C. M. Clark and F. W. Taylor
- 1882—R. D. Sears and J. Dwight
- 1883—R. D. Sears and J. Dwight
- 1884—R. D. Sears and J. Dwight
- 1885—R. D. Sears and J. S. Clark
- 1886—R. D. Sears and J. Dwight
- 1887—R. D. Sears and J. Dwight
- 1888—O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall
- 1889—H. W. Slocum, Jr., and H. A. Taylor
- 1890—V. G. Hall and C. Hobart
- 1891—O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
- 1892—O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
- 1893—C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey
- 1894—C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey
- 1895—M. G. Chace and R. D. Wrenn
- 1896—C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel
- 1897—L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon, Jr.
- 1898—L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon, Jr.
- 1899—D. F. Davis and H. Ward
- 1900—D. F. Davis and H. Ward
- 1901—D. F. Davis and H. Ward
- 1902—R. F. Doherty and H. L. Doherty
- 1903—R. F. Doherty and H. L. Doherty
- 1904—H. Ward and B. C. Wright
- 1905—H. Ward and B. C. Wright
- 1906—H. Ward and B. C. Wright
- 1907—F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett

# Semi-Finalists in National Tournaments.

## SINGLES

Year	Winner All-Comers'	Runner-up	Beaten by Winner in Semi-finals	Beaten by Runner-up in Semi-finals
1881	R. D. Sears	W. E. Glyn	E. Gray	R. G. Shaw
1882	R. D. Sears	C. M. Clark	J. S. Rankine	E. Gray
1883	R. D. Sears	J. Dwight	F. Keene	R. F. Conover
1884	H. A. Taylor	W. V. S. Thorne	W. P. Knapp	C. M. Clark
1885	G. M. Brinley	W. P. Knapp	W. V. R. Berry	J. S. Clark
1886	R. L. Beekman	H. A. Taylor	C. A. Chase	J. S. Clark
1887	H. W. Slocum, Jr.	H. A. Taylor	J. S. Clark	W. L. Thatcher
1888	H. W. Slocum, Jr.	H. A. Taylor	O. S. Campbell	P. S. Sears
1889	Q. A. Shaw, Jr.	O. S. Campbell	W. P. Knapp	E. G. Meers
1890	O. S. Campbell	W. P. Knapp	R. P. Huntington, Jr.	C. Hobart
1891	C. Hobart	F. H. Hovey	V. G. Hall	M. D. Smith
1892	F. H. Hovey	W. A. Larned	R. D. Wrenn	E. L. Hall
1893	R. D. Wrenn	F. H. Hovey	S. T. Chase	C. Hobart
1894	M. F. Goodbody	W. A. Larned	J. B. Read	M. G. Chace
1895	F. H. Hovey	W. A. Larned	C. B. Neel	J. Howland
1896	R. D. Wrenn	W. A. Larned	C. B. Neel	E. P. Fischer
1897	W. V. Eaves	H. A. Nisbet	L. E. Ware	W. A. Larned
1898	M. D. Whitman	D. F. Davis	L. E. Ware	W. S. Bond
1899	J. P. Paret	D. F. Davis	L. E. Ware	K. Collins
1900	W. A. Larned	G. L. Wrenn, Jr.	B. C. Wright	A. W. Gore
1901	W. A. Larned	B. C. Wright	L. E. Ware	R. D. Little
1902	R. F. Doherty	M. D. Whitman	H. L. Doherty	R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1903	H. L. Doherty	W. J. Clothier	R. H. Carleton	E. P. Larned
1904	H. Ward	W. J. Clothier	E. W. Leonard	W. A. Larned
1905	I. C. Wright	C. Hobart	W. A. Larned	K. Collins
1906	W. J. Clothier	K. Behr	J. D. E. Jones	R. D. Little
1907	W. A. Larned	R. Leroy	C. Hobart	H. Mollenbauer

# Finalists in National Tournaments.

## DOUBLES

Year	Winners All-Comers'	Runners-up
1881	C. M. Clark and F. W. Taylor.....	A. Van Rensselaer and A. E. Newbold
1882	R. D. Sears and J. Dwight.....	W. Nightingale G. M. Smith
1883	R. D. Sears and J. Dwight.....	A. Van Rensselaer and A. E. Newbold
1884	R. D. Sears and J. Dwight.....	A. Van Rensselaer and W. V. R. Berry
1885	R. D. Sears and J. S. Clark.....	H. W. Slocum, Jr., and W. P. Knapp
1886	R. D. Sears and J. S. Clark.....	H. A. Taylor and G. M. Brinley
1887	R. D. Sears and J. Dwight.....	H. A. Taylor and H. W. Slocum, Jr.
1888	R. D. Sears and J. Dwight.....	C. Hobart and E. P. MacMullen
1889	O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall.....	V. G. Hall and O. S. Campbell
1890	H. W. Slocum, Jr., and H. A. Taylor.....	C. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson
1891	V. G. Hall and C. Hobart.....	S. T. Chase and J. A. Ryerson
1892	O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.,.....	C. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson
1893	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall.....	C. S. Clark and S. T. Chase.....
1894	C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey.....	J. J. Howland and A. E. Foote.....
1895	C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.....	C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.....
1896	M. G. Chace and R. D. Wrenn.....	C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.....
1897	C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel.....	F. H. Hovey and C. Hobart.....
1898	L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon, Jr.....	H. A. Nisbet and H. S. Mahony.....
1899	H. Ward and D. F. Davis.....	G. L. Wrenn, Jr., and M. D. Whitman.....
1900	H. Ward and D. F. Davis.....	H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen.....
1901	R. D. Little and F. B. Alexander.....	H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen.....
1902	R. C. Wright and L. E. Ware.....	R. D. Little and F. B. Alexander.....
1903	R. F. Doherty and H. L. Doherty.....	K. Collins and L. H. Waidner.....
1904	K. Collins and L. H. Waidner.....	H. Ward and L. E. Ware.....
1905	H. Ward and B. C. Wright.....	R. D. Little and K. Collins.....
1906	F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett.....	K. Collins and L. H. Waidner.....
1907	F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett.....	L. H. Waidner and N. Emerson.....
		W. A. Larned and W. J. Clothier.....

**Women National Champions.****SINGLES**

- 1890—Miss E. C. Roosevelt
- 1891—Miss Mabel E. Cahill
- 1892—Miss Mabel E. Cahill
- 1893—Miss Aline M. Terry
- 1894—Miss Helen R. Helwig
- 1895—Miss J. P. Atkinson
- 1896—Miss Elizabeth H. Moore
- 1897—Miss J. P. Atkinson
- 1898—Miss J. P. Atkinson
- 1899—Miss Marion Jones
- 1900—Miss Myrtle McAteer
- 1901—Miss Elizabeth H. Moore
- 1902—Miss Marion Jones
- 1903—Miss Elizabeth H. Moore
- 1904—Miss May Sutton
- 1905—Miss Elizabeth H. Moore
- 1906—Miss Helen H. Homans
- 1907—Miss Evelyn Sears

**DOUBLES**

- 1890—Misses E. C. and G. W. Roosevelt
- 1891—Miss M. E. Cahill and Mrs. F. Morgan
- 1892—Misses M. E. Cahill and A. M. McKinley
- 1893—Misses A. M. Terry and H. Butler
- 1894—Misses H. R. Helwig and J. P. Atkinson
- 1895—Misses J. P. Atkinson and H. R. Helwig
- 1896—Misses E. H. Moore and J. P. Atkinson
- 1897—Misses J. P. Atkinson and K. Atkinson
- 1898—Misses J. P. Atkinson and K. Atkinson
- 1899—Misses M. McAteer and J. Craven
- 1900—Misses J. Parker and H. Champlin
- 1901—Misses M. McAteer and J. P. Atkinson
- 1902—Misses M. Jones and J. P. Atkinson
- 1903—Misses E. H. Moore and C. B. Neely
- 1904—Misses M. Sutton and M. Hall
- 1905—Misses H. H. Homans and C. B. Neely
- 1906—Mrs. Coe and Mrs. Platt
- 1907—Miss Neely and Miss Weimer.

**MIXED DOUBLES.**

- 1892—Clarence Hobart and Miss M. E. Cahill.
- 1893—Clarence Hobart and Miss E. C. Roosevelt.
- 1894—E. P. Fischer and Miss J. P. Atkinson.
- 1895—E. P. Fischer and Miss J. P. Atkinson.
- 1896—E. P. Fischer and Miss J. P. Atkinson.
- 1897—D. L. Magruder and Miss Laura Henson.
- 1898—E. P. Fischer and Miss Carrie Neely.
- 1899—A. L. Hoskins and Miss Edith Rastall.
- 1900—Alfred Codman and Miss M. Hunnewell.
- 1901—R. D. Little and Miss Marion Jones.
- 1902—W. C. Grant and Miss E. H. Moore.
- 1903—Harry Allen and Miss Chapman.
- 1904—Miss E. H. Moore and W. C. Grant.
- 1905—Mr. and Mrs. Clarence Hobart.
- 1906—Miss Coffin and E. B. Dewhurst.
- 1907—Miss Sayres and W. F. Johnson.

## NEW ENGLAND.

YEAR.	CHAMPION.	WINNER OF TOURNAMENT.	DOUBLES CHAMPIONS.
1886	H. W. Slocum.....	H. W. Slocum.....	H. W. Slocum and W. L. Thacher
1887	H. W. Slocum.....	H. W. Slocum.....	F. G. Beach and W. L. Thacher
1888	H. W. Slocum.....	E. P. MacMullen...	O. S. Campbell and V. G. Hall
1889	H. W. Slocum.....	R. P. Huntington, Jr.	F. G. Beach, R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1890	R. P. Huntington, Jr.	R. P. Huntington, Jr.	R. P. Huntington, Jr., O. S. Campbell
1891	C. T. Lee.....	C. T. Lee.....	C. T. Lee and F. G. Beach
1892	E. L. Hall.....	E. L. Hall.....	E. L. Hall and A. E. Wright
1893	C. Hobart.....	C. Hobart.....	J. F. Talmage, Jr., and C. Hobart
1894	A. E. Foote.....	A. E. Foote.....	John Howland and A. E. Foote
1895	John Howland.....	John Howland.....	M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote
1896	A. E. Foote.....	A. E. Foote.....	M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote
1897	T. A. Driscoll.....	T. A. Driscoll.....	R. Hooker and C. P. Dodge
1898	No tournament		
1899	A. E. Foote.....	A. E. Foote.....	H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen
1901	Clarence Hobart...	Clarence Hobart...	C. Hobart and A. P. Dady
1902	Clarence Hobart...	James Terry.....	James Terry and F. E. Howard
1903	James Terry.....	James Terry.....	James Terry and F. E. Howard
1904	B. C. Wright.....	B. C. Wright.....	W. C. Grant and R. LeRoy
1905	Karl Behr.....	Karl Behr.....	W. C. Grant and Robert LeRoy
1906	Karl Behr.....	Karl Behr.....	W. C. Grant and T. R. Pell
1907	T. R. Pell.....	T. R. Pell.....	R. LeRoy and T. R. Pell

## MIDDLE STATES.

1885	R. D. Sears.....	R. D. Dears.....	R. D. Sears and J. S. Clark
1886	R. L. Beeckman...	R. L. Beeckman...	R. L. Beeckman and H. W. Slocum
1887	R. L. Beeckman...	R. L. Beeckman...	
1888	E. P. MacMullen...	E. P. MacMullen...	
1889	H. A. Taylor.....	H. A. Taylor.....	
1890	H. A. Taylor.....	A. E. Wright.....	
1891	C. E. Sands.....	C. E. Sands.....	
1892	R. Stevens.....	R. Stevens.....	E. P. MacMullen and C. Hobart
1893	R. Stevens.....	A. E. Foote.....	D. Candler and J. F. Talmage, Jr.
1894	W. A. Larned.....	W. A. Larned.....	W. G. Parker and E. P. Fischer
1895	W. A. Larned.....	John Howland....	C. Hobart and E. P. Fischer
1896	C. Hobart.....	C. Hobart.....	C. Hobart and R. D. Wrenn
1897	W. A. Larned.....	W. A. Larned.....	L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon
1898	M. D. Whitman....	M. D. Whitman....	L. E. Ware and J. D. Forbes
1899	M. D. Whitman....	W. A. Larned.....	Holcombe Ward and D. F. Davis
1900	M. D. Whitman....	D. F. Davis.....	Holcombe Ward and D. F. Davis
1901	W. A. Larned.....	W. A. Larned.....	W. A. Larned and C. Hobart
1902	Holcombe Ward...	Holcombe Ward...	Holcombe Ward and D. F. Davis
1903	Holcombe Ward...	S. C. Millett.....	R. D. and G. L. Wrenn
1904	C. Hobart.....	C. Hobart.....	R. D. and G. L. Wrenn
1905	F. B. Alexander...	F. B. Alexander...	F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett
1906	W. A. Larned.....	W. A. Larned.....	W. J. Clothier and W. A. Larned
1907	W. A. Larned.....	R. D. Little.....	R. D. Little and H. H. Hackett





W. J. CLOTHIER,  
Ex-Champion.



## SOUTHERN STATES.

YEAR.	CHAMPION.	WINNER OF TOURNAMENT.	DOUBLES CHAMPIONS.
1886	C. B. Davis .....	C. B. Davis .....	C. B. Davis and R. H. E. Porter
1887	Leigh Bonsal .....	Leigh Bonsal .....	Leigh Bonsal and L. V. Lemoyne
*1888	A. H. S. Post .....	A. H. S. Post .....	Leigh Bonsal and L. V. Lemoyne
†1888	F. Mansfield .....	F. Mansfield .....	F. Mansfield and F. L. V. Hoppin
1889	F. Mansfield .....	C. J. Post, Jr. ....	C. J. Post, Jr., and M. F. Prosser
1890	A. E. Wright .....	A. E. Wright .....	
1891	E. L. Hall .....	E. L. Hall .....	M. R. Wright and H. M. Billings
1892	E. L. Hall .....	A. E. Wright .....	
1893	E. L. Hall .....	M. G. Chace .....	E. L. Hall and C. Hobart
1894	W. G. Parker .....	W. G. Parker .....	W. G. Parker and E. P. Fischer
1895	A. H. S. Post .....	A. H. S. Post .....	J. P. Paret and R. D. Thurber
1896	J. P. Paret .....	J. P. Paret .....	J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret
1897	T. A. Driscoll .....	T. A. Driscoll .....	J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret
1898	J. C. Davidson .....	J. C. Davidson .....	J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret
1899	J. C. Davidson .....	J. P. Paret .....	J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret
1900	J. P. Paret .....	J. P. Paret .....	J. C. Davidson and J. P. Paret
1901	R. D. Little .....	R. D. Little .....	R. D. Little and F. B. Alexander
1902	R. D. Little .....	F. Geoghegan .....	J. P. Paret and W. C. Grant
1903	F. Geoghegan .....	F. Geoghegan .....	W. C. Grant and R. LeRoy
1904	H. F. Allen .....	H. F. Allen .....	J. C. Davidson and L. W. Glazebrook
1905	J. C. Davidson .....	J. C. Davidson .....	L. W. Glazebrook and W. A. Larned
1906	R. G. Hunt .....	R. G. Hunt .....	B. M. Grant and N. Thornton
1907	N. Thornton .....	N. Thornton .....	B. M. Grant and N. Thornton

\* Spring. † Fall.

## WESTERN STATES.

1887	C. A. Chase .....	C. A. Chase .....	E. B. McLellan and B. F. Cummins
1888	C. A. Chase .....	E. B. McLellan .....	E. B. McLellan and B. F. Cummins
1889	C. A. Chase .....	S. T. Chase .....	C. A. Chase and S. T. Chase
1890	C. A. Chase .....	E. B. McLellan .....	J. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson
1891	C. A. Chase .....	S. T. Chase .....	S. T. Chase and J. A. Ryerson
1892	C. A. Chase .....	J. A. Ryerson .....	J. W. Carver and J. A. Ryerson
1893	C. A. Chase .....	E. Wrenn .....	H. McCormick and S. McCormick
1894	C. A. Chase .....	C. B. Neel .....	C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel
1895	C. B. Neel .....	C. B. Neel .....	C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel
1896	C. B. Neel .....	G. L. Wrenn, Jr. ....	C. B. Neel and S. R. Neel
1897	Kriegh Collins .....	Kriegh Collins .....	L. E. Ware and G. P. Sheldon
1898	Kriegh Collins .....	Kriegh Collins .....	H. Ward and D. F. Davis
1899	C. B. Neel .....	C. B. Neel .....	H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen
1900	Kriegh Collins .....	Kriegh Collins .....	F. B. Alexander and R. D. Little
1901	R. D. Little .....	R. D. Little .....	R. D. Little and F. B. Alexander
1902	Kriegh Collins .....	Kriegh Collins .....	Kriegh Collins and L. H. Waidner
1903	Kriegh Collins .....	A. C. Snow .....	Kriegh Collins and L. H. Waidner
1904	Kriegh Collins .....	R. D. Little .....	Kriegh Collins and R. D. Little
1905	Kriegh Collins .....	N. Emerson .....	Kriegh Collins and L. H. Waidner
1906	Kriegh Collins .....	N. Emerson .....	L. H. Waidner and N. Emerson
1907	N. Emerson .....	N. Emerson .....	H. H. Hackett and F. B. Alexander



RAYMOND D. LITTLE.

## PACIFIC STATES.


YEAR.	CHAMPION.	WINNER OF TOURNAMENT.	DOUBLES CHAMPIONS.
1889	W. H. Taylor, Jr...	W. H. Taylor, Jr...	
1890	W. H. Taylor, Jr...	C. R. Gates .....	Walter McGavin and Jos. S. Tobin
1891	W. H. Taylor, Jr...	C. P. Hubbard .....	C. P. Hubbard and H. H. Haight
1892	W. H. Taylor, Jr...	C. P. Hubbard .....	Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy
1893	T. A. Driscoll .....	T. A. Driscoll .....	Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy
1894	Samuel Hardy .....	Samuel Hardy .....	T. A. Driscoll and G. B. DeLong
1895	Sumner Hardy .....	Sumner Hardy .....	Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy
1896	Samuel Hardy .....	Samuel Hardy .....	G. F. Whitney and R. N. Whitney
1897	G. F. Whitney .....	G. F. Whitney .....	Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy
1898	Sumner Hardy .....	Sumner Hardy .....	Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy
1899	G. F. Whitney .....	G. F. Whitney .....	Sumner Hardy and Samuel Hardy
1900	G. F. Whitney .....	Sumner Hardy .....	G. F. Whitney and R. N. Whitney
1901	G. F. Whitney .....	R. N. Whitney .....	G. F. Whitney and R. N. Whitney
1902	L. R. Freeman .....	L. R. Freeman .....	L. R. Freeman and A. E. Bell
1903	A. E. Bell .....	A. E. Bell .....	L. R. Freeman and A. E. Bell
1904	J. D. MacGavin .....	J. D. MacGavin .....	W. Allen and G. L. Baker
1905	Fred Adams .....	Fred Adams .....	
1906			
1907	M. McLoughlin .....	M. McLoughlin .....	G. Janes and M. McLoughlin

## NEW YORK STATE.

1891	F. H. Hovey .....	F. H. Hovey .....	F. H. Hovey and H. G. Bixby
1892	W. P. Knapp .....	W. P. Knapp .....	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall
1893	C. Hobart .....	C. Hobart .....	F. H. Hovey and C. Hobart
1894	C. Hobart .....	C. R. Budlong .....	C. Hobart and C. R. Budlong
1895	No tournament		
1896	No tournament		
1897	No tournament		
1898	M. D. Whitman .....	M. D. Whitman .....	M. D. Whitman and R. H. Carleton
1899	M. D. Whitman .....	E. P. Fischer .....	J. P. Paret and R. D. Little
1900	M. D. Whitman .....	H. H. Hackett .....	F. B. Alexander and R. D. Little
1901	E. P. Fischer .....	W. J. Clothier .....	H. Hodge and C. Smithers
1902	F. B. Alexander .....	F. B. Alexander .....	F. B. Alexander and James Randall
1903	F. B. Alexander .....	R. Hunt .....	H. F. Allen and Robert LeRoy
1904	F. B. Alexander .....	A. E. Bell .....	R. Hunt and A. E. Bell
1905	No tournament		
1906	H. H. Hackett .....	H. H. Hackett .....	T. R. Pell and H. Torrance
1907	H. H. Hackett .....	H. L. Westfall .....	W. C. Grant and H. L. Westfall

## LONGWOOD TOURNAMENT (EASTERN CHAMPIONSHIP IN DOUBLES).

1890			V. G. Hall and C. Hobart
1891	E. L. Hall .....	E. L. Hall .....	O. S. Campbell and R. P. Huntington, Jr.
1892	F. H. Hovey .....	F. H. Hovey .....	V. G. Hall and E. L. Hall
1893	F. H. Hovey .....	R. Stevens .....	
1894	W. A. Larned .....	W. A. Larned .....	John Howland and A. E. Foote
1895	W. A. Larned .....	F. H. Hovey .....	M. G. Chace and R. D. Wrenn
1896	R. D. Wrenn .....	R. D. Wrenn .....	C. Hobart and F. H. Hovey
1897	W. A. Larned .....	W. A. Larned .....	W. V. Eaves and H. A. Nesbit
1898	M. D. Whitman .....	M. D. Whitman .....	G. L. Wrenn and M. D. Whitman
1899	M. D. Whitman .....	D. F. Davis .....	Holcombe Ward and D. F. Davis
1900	M. D. Whitman .....	D. F. Davis .....	H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen
1901	W. A. Larned .....	W. A. Larned .....	B. C. Wright and L. E. Ware
1902	W. J. Clothier .....	W. J. Clothier .....	R. F. Doherty and H. L. Doherty
1903	W. A. Larned .....	W. A. Larned .....	H. Ward and L. E. Ware
1904	W. A. Larned .....	W. A. Larned .....	H. Ward and B. C. Wright
1905	W. A. Larned .....	C. Hobart .....	F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett
1906	W. A. Larned .....	Karl Behr .....	F. B. Alexander and H. H. Hackett
1907	W. A. Larned .....	C. Hobart .....	W. A. Larned and W. J. Clothier



CLARENCE HOBART,  
Semi-Finalist in National Championship, 1907.

# United States National Lawn Tennis Association

## Officers of the U.S.N.L.T.A.

### PRESIDENT,

DR. JAMES DWIGHT.....Longwood Cricket Club, Boston.  
Somerset Club, Boston, Mass.

### VICE-PRESIDENT,

ROBERT D. WRENN.....New York City.  
24 Broad Street.

### SECRETARY,

A. L. HOSKINS.....Philadelphia.  
Corner of Fifth and Walnut Streets.

### TREASURER,

RICHARD STEVENS.....Orange Lawn Tennis Club.  
1 Newark Street, Hoboken, N. J.

### EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

RAYMOND D. LITTLE.....New York  
WILLIAM A. LARNED.....Summit, N. J.  
F. G. ANDERSON.....New York  
P. E. PRESBREY.....Boston  
H. WARD.....New York  
W. J. CLOTHIER.....Philadelphia  
NAT. EMERSON.....Cincinnati  
L. D. SCOTT.....Atlanta  
DWIGHT F. DAVIS.....St. Louis

## Annual Meeting of the National Association.

The twenty-seventh annual meeting of the United States Lawn Tennis Association was held at the Waldorf-Astoria, New York City, on February 7, 1908, the event bringing together the largest number of delegates that ever assembled at an annual meeting of the governing body in this country. With the exception of A. L. Hoskins in place of Palmer E. Presbrey, as secretary, and Nat. Emerson and P. E. Presbrey in place of Krieh Collins and A. L. Hoskins as members of the Executive Committee, the officers who governed the affairs of the Association in 1907 were re-elected.

The question of again issuing an international challenge for the Dwight F. Davis Cup was one of the principal features under discussion. The matter was regarded favorably.

Six clubs were admitted to membership, including the Vedado Club of Havana, Cuba, and the society organization of Havana. The holding of the Western championship was awarded to the Kenwood Country Club, Chicago. The effort to establish a national dirt court championship applied for by the Omaha Field Club and systematic government of the sport was voted down with little discussion in its favor.

The expected discussion as to the place of holding the National All-Comers' singles championship did not materialize, and it was quickly voted to the Casino at Newport, R. I. The women's titular



meeting went to the Philadelphia Cricket Club, while the Merion Club got the Pennsylvania championship and women's championship of Eastern Pennsylvania. The New York Athletic Club will again hold the New York State championship and the West Side Club of New York the Metropolitan tournament.

The Crescent Athletic Club will again hold the East, West and South National championship doubles. It was proposed that all of the doubles be shifted to Newport. But only the challenge match will be decided at the Casino.

## Official Ranking, 1907.

### SINGLES.

Class 1 (owe 2-6 of 15)—W. A. Larned, B. C. Wright.

Class 2 (scratch)—Karl H. Behr, R. D. Little.

Class 3 (1-6 of 15)—Robert LeRoy, Clarence Hobart.

Class 4 (2-6 of 15)—E. P. Larned, R. C. Seaver, Irving C. Wright, F. C. Colston, Henry Mollenhauer.

Class 5 (3-6 of 15)—T. R. Pell, J. D. E. Jones, H. L. Westfall, F. G. Anderson, N. W. Niles, J. R. Carpenter, Jr., W. F. Johnson, Nat Emerson.

Class 6 (4-6 of 15)—R. H. Palmer, G. H. Nettleton, N. P. Hallowell, W. C. Grant, Carr B. Neel, L. H. Waldner, C. F. Watson, Jr.

Class 7 (5-6 of 15)—C. M. Bull, Jr., G. F. Touchard, E. T. Gross, W. B. Cragin, Jr., G. P. Gardner, Jr., Calhoun Cragin.

Class 8 (receive 15)—S. LeRoy Russ, Nat Thornfon, H. Torrance, Dr. Karl Little.

Class 9 (1-2 of 30)—H. W. Guernsey, M. McLoughlin, M. Long, George Janes, P. B. Hawk, J. A. C. Colston, G. L. Wyeth, Dean Mathey, H. H. Bates, A. S. Dabney, Jr., R. S. Lovering, H. D. Montgomery, H. McK. Glazebrook, Frederick Turnan, C. C. Pell, M. A. Chase, L. H. Fitch, F. G. Appel, J. A. Ross, R. L. James, H. B. Register, T. N. Jayne, C. G. Plimpton.

Following not ranked owing to insufficient data—W. J. Clothier, F. B. Alexander, H. H. Hackett, H. Tallant, E. B. Dewhurst, C. B. Doyle, Krieh Collins, R. N. Dana, F. R. Sulloway, C. C. Kelley, R. Burchard, E. W. Leonard, R. T. Bryan, H. C. Johnson, O. H. Hinck, J. O. Ames.

### DOUBLES.

Class 1 (2-6 of 15)—Alexander and Hackett.

Class 2 (scratch)—Larned and Clothier, Little and Hackett, B. C. Wright and Little.

Class 3 (1-2 of 15)—Pell and LeRoy, Grant and Westfall, Leonard and Watson, Johnson and Johnson.

Class 4 (receive 15)—Neely and Emerson, Thornton and Grant.

Ranking Committee { A. L. HOSKINS, Chairman.  
E. W. LEONARD,  
T. C. TRASK.

## Ranking of First Ten Players Since 1885.

1885—1. R. D. Sears; 2. J. Dwight; 3. W. V. R. Berry; 4. G. M. Brinley; 5. J. S. Clark; 6. A. Moffat; 7. R. L. Beeckman; 8. H. A. Taylor; 9. F. S. Mansfield; 10. W. P. Knapp.

1886—1. R. D. Sears; 2. J. Dwight; 3. R. L. Beeckman; 4. H. A. Taylor; 5. J. S. Clark; 6. H. W. Slocum; 7. G. M. Brinley; 8. F. S. Mansfield; 9. A. Moffat; 10. J. S. Conover.

1887—1. R. D. Sears; 2. H. W. Slocum; 3. R. L. Beekman; 4. H. A. Taylor; 5. J. S. Clark; 6. F. S. Mansfield; 7. P. S. Sears; 8. G. M. Brinley; 9. E. P. MacMullen; 10. Q. A. Shaw, Jr.

1888—1. H. W. Slocum; 2. H. A. Taylor; 3. J. Dwight; 4. J. S. Clark; 5. C. A. Chase; 6. P. S. Sears; 7. E. P. MacMullen; 8. O. S. Campbell; 9. R. L. Beekman; 10. F. S. Mansfield.

1889—1. H. W. Slocum; 2. Q. A. Shaw, Jr.; 3. O. S. Campbell; 4. H. A. Taylor; 5. C. A. Chase; 6. J. S. Clark; 7. W. P. Knapp; 8. R. P. Huntington, Jr.; 9. P. S. Sears; 10. F. S. Mansfield.

1890—1. O. S. Campbell; 2. R. P. Huntington, Jr.; 3. W. P. Knapp; 4. H. W. Slocum; 5. F. H. Hovey; 6. C. Hobart; 7. P. S. Sears; 8. H. A. Taylor; 9. C. A. Chase; 10. V. G. Hall.

1891—1. O. S. Campbell; 2. C. Hobart; 3. R. P. Huntington, Jr.; 4. F. H. Hovey; 5. E. L. Hall; 6. V. G. Hall; 7. P. S. Sears; 8. S. T. Chase; 9. C. T. Lee; 10. M. D. Smith.

1892—1. O. S. Campbell; 2. E. L. Hall; 3. W. P. Knapp; 4. C. Hobart; 5. F. H. Hovey; 6. W. A. Larned; 7. M. G. Chace; 8. R. D. Wrenn; 9. R. Stevens; 10. C. P. Hubbard.

1893—1. R. D. Wrenn; 2. C. Hobart; 3. F. H. Hovey; 4. M. G. Chace; 5. W. A. Larned; 6. E. L. Hall; 7. R. Stevens; 8. A. E. Foote; 9. John Howland; 10. C. R. Budlong.

1894—1. R. D. Wrenn; 2. W. A. Larned; 3. M. F. Goodbody; 4. F. H. Hovey; 5. M. G. Chace; 6. C. Hobart; 7. Richard Stevens; 8. C. R. Budlong; 9. A. E. Foote; 10. W. G. Parker.

1895—1. F. H. Hovey; 2. W. A. Larned; 3. M. G. Chace; 4. John Howland; 5. R. D. Wrenn; 6. C. B. Neel; 7. C. Hobart; 8. Richard Stevens; 9. A. E. Foote; 10. C. R. Budlong.

1896—1. R. D. Wrenn; 2. W. A. Larned; 3. C. B. Neel; 4. F. H. Hovey; 5. E. P. Fischer; 6. G. L. Wrenn, Jr.; 7. R. Stevens; 8. M. D. Whitman; 9. L. E. Ware; 10. G. P. Sheldon, Jr.

1897—1. R. D. Wrenn; 2. W. A. Larned; 3. W. V. Eaves; 4. H. A. Nesbit; 5. H. S. Mahony; 6. G. L. Wrenn; 7. M. D. Whitman; 8. Krieh Collins; 9. E. P. Fischer; 10. W. S. Bond.

1898—1. M. D. Whitman; 2. L. E. Ware; 3. W. S. Bond; 4. D. F. Davis; 5. C. R. Budlong; 6. E. P. Fischer; 7. G. L. Wrenn; 8. Richard Stevens; 9. S. C. Millett; 10. G. K. Belden.

1899—1. M. D. Whitman; 2. D. F. Davis; 3. W. A. Larned; 4. J. P. Paret; 5. Krieh Collins; 6. Geo. Wrenn, Jr.; 7. Leo Ware; 8. Beals C. Wright; 9. Holcomb Ward; 10. R. P. Huntington, Jr.

1900—1. M. D. Whitman; 2. D. F. Davis; 3. W. A. Larned; 4. Beals C. Wright; 5. Krieh Collins; 6. Geo. L. Wrenn, Jr.; 7. Holcomb Ward; 8. L. E. Ware; 9. J. L. Allen; 10. R. D. Little.

1901—1. W. A. Larned; 2. Beals C. Wright; 3. D. F. Davis; 4. L. E. Ware; 5. C. Hobart; 6. R. D. Little; 7. H. Ward; 8. Krieh Collins; 9. E. P. Fischer; 10. W. J. Clothier.

1902—1. W. A. Larned; 2. M. D. Whitman; 3. Beals C. Wright; 4. Holcomb Ward; 5. W. J. Clothier; 6. L. E. Ware; 7. R. D. Little; 8. H. H. Hackett; 9. Clarence Hobart; 10. Krieh Collins.

1903—1. W. A. Larned; 2. Holcomb Ward; 3. W. J. Clothier; 4. Beals C. Wright; 5. Krieh Collins; 6. E. P. Larned; 7. H. F. Allen; 8. E. W. Leonard; 9. R. H. Carleton; 10. Kenneth Horton.

1904—1. Holcomb Ward; 2. W. J. Clothier; 3. W. A. Larned; 4. Beals Wright; 5. Krieh Collins; 6. R. D. Little; 7. F. B. Alexander; 8. R. Stevens; 9. A. E. Bell; 10. E. W. Leonard.

1905—1. B. C. Wright; 2. Holcombe Ward; 3. W. A. Larned; 4. W. J. Clothier; 5. Frederick B. Alexander; 6. Clarence Hobart; 7. Richard Stevens; 8. Krieh Collins; 9. R. D. Little; 10. F. G. Anderson.

1906—1. W. J. Clothier; 2. W. A. Larned; 3. B. C. Wright; 4. F. B. Alexander; 5. K. H. Behr, Jr.; 6. R. D. Little; 7. H. H. Hackett; 8. F. G. Anderson; 9. E. B. Dewhurst; 10. I. C. Wright.

## Sectional Championships

### Middle States Championships.

This historic Middle States championship—formerly one of the important tournaments of the season, but now considerably shrunken in the quantity if not in the quality of its entrants—was played, as usual, on the picturesque grass courts of the Orange Lawn Tennis Club of Orange, N. J., beginning July 1. For the fifth time William A. Larned bears the title of champion, he having previously won the tournament an equal number of times, successfully defending against the attack of R. D. Little, the tournament winner, defeating the former Princetonian with the loss of one set, the first, after the latter had put out no less a player than Champion W. J. Clothier. Neither F. B. Alexander, the 1903 champion; Holcombe Ward, the 1902 and 1903 title holder, nor Clarence Hobart, who won in 1904, competed.

Forty entries were secured for the singles. In the list, besides Clothier, Larned and Little, only N. W. Niles, Irving C. Wright and H. L. Westfall appeared to hold out any hope of achieving championship form, and they made practically no stand against the onslaughts of the veterans. Wylie C. Grant had an easy time of it to the final, when he went down before Little in straight sets.

The challenge round—W. A. Larned, holder, against R. D. Little, challenger—was played in the presence of a large and fashionable "gallery." Although somewhat disappointing and, especially after the first set, lacking in the uncertainty that gives zest to a sporting event, the match was a good one. It demonstrated anew that Larned, that compound of brilliancy and erraticness, is still to be depended upon to more than hold his own against anyone outside of his class (which includes such men as Wright, Behr, Ward, Clothier, and possibly Alexander).

Losing the first set at 3/6, Larned started in with energy and skill to overcome the lead. He managed to get plenty of "steam" into his shots and by alternating passes down the side lines with cross court shots he was able to puzzle his opponent and win game after game. At no time in the last three sets was the result really in doubt, and Larned finished with quite a lot up his sleeve.

The summary:

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—R. H. Palmer, Ridgewood, defeated E. W. Leonard, Longwood, by default; N. W. Niles, Longwood, defeated E. P. Larned, Orange, 6/1, 6/2; Eugene Dixon, Orange, defeated Clarence Pell, Orange, 2/6, 6/3, 6/4.

First round—Dean Mathey, Elizabeth, defeated William Watson, Orange, 6/2, 6/2; C. F. Watson, Jr., Orange, defeated H. B. Register, Belmont, 6/3, 6/1; T. B. Souther, Orange, defeated Stewart Young, Orange, 6/3, 6/3; C. M. Bull, Jr., Crescent A.C., defeated G. L. Wyeth, New York, 6/4, 6/4; R. H. Palmer, Ridgewood, defeated H. Bartram, 6/0, 6/2; F. C. Inman, West Side, defeated W. A. Hazard, Plainfield, 6/2, 6/2; F. W. Cole defeated H. L. Montgomery, Siwanoy, 6/1, 6/1; R. D. Little, West Side, defeated H. L. Westfall, Kings County, 6/2, 6/1; Irving C. Wright, Crescent A.C., defeated L. H. Fitch, West Side T.C., 6/3, 6/2; Wylie C. Grant, New York L.T.C., defeated Dr. William Rosenbaum, Harlem T.C., 11/9; 6/4; G. F. Touchard, Hamilton Grange, defeated Calhoun Cragin, West Side T.C., by default; N. W. Niles, Longwood C.C., defeated Eugene Dixon, 6/3, 6/2; Alex Thayer, Orange, defeated L. D. Woodbury, Orange L.T.C., 6/3, 6/4; R. L. James, Belmont C.C., defeated H. M. Hall, Orange L.T.C., 6/2, 6/1; W. F. Johnson, Belmont C.C., defeated Dr. P. B. Hawk, U. of P., 3/6, 6/4, 6/1; W. J. Clothier, Merion C.C., defeated R. Bramhall, Orange, 6/2, 6/2; R. L. James, Belmont C.C., defeated H. M. Hall, Orange L.T.C., 6/2, 6/1.

Second round—Little defeated Cole, 6/4, 6/3; Souther defeated Touchard, by default; Niles defeated Inman, 6/2, 6/3; Clothier defeated Johnson, 6/2, 6/2; Mathey defeated Wright, by default; Grant defeated Watson, 6/0, 6/0; Bull defeated Palmer, 6/3, 8/6; James defeated Thayer, 6/3, 6/3.

Third round—Niles defeated James, 6/1, 6/2; Little defeated Clothier, 6/1, 4/6, 6/4; Grant defeated Mathey, 6/1, 6/3; Souther defeated Bull, 9/7, 2/6, 6/4.

Semi-final round—Grant defeated Souther, 6/3, 7/5; Little defeated Niles, 1/6, 6/1, 6/3.

Final round—Little defeated Grant, 6/2, 6/3, 6/2.

Challenge round—W. A. Larned (holder) defeated Little (challenger), 3/6, 6/2, 6/2, 6/2.

#### CONSOLATION SINGLES.

First round—Pell defeated Hall, 6/2, 6/2; Bramhall defeated Bartram, 6/1, 6/3; Larned defeated Fitch, 6/4, 6/2; Westfall defeated Woodbury, 6/4, 6/4; Pell defeated Hall, 6/2, 6/0; Rosenbaum defeated Montgomery, by default; Hazard defeated Wyeth, by default; Bramhall defeated Bartram, 6/1, 6/3; Hawk defeated Register, 3/6, 6/2, 8/6; Watson defeated Young, by default.

Second round—Larned defeated Westfall, 6/1, 4/6, 6/4; Pell defeated Rosenbaum, by default; Bramhall defeated Hazard, by default; Hawk defeated Watson, by default.

Semi-final round—Larned defeated Pell, 6/4, 6/3; Hawk defeated Bramhall, 6/0, 6/1; Larned defeated Pell, 6/4, 6/3; Hawk defeated Bramhall, 6/0, 6/1.

Final round—Larned defeated Hawk, 6/3, 6/4.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Gordon and Woodbury defeated Bartram and Young, 6/4, 6/1.

First round—Niles and Mathey defeated Bramhall and Miles, 6/1, 6/2; Little and Hackett defeated Montgomery and Holden, 6/0, 6/0; Grant and Westfall defeated Register and Thayer, 6/1, 6/1; Larned and Miles defeated Gordon and Woodbury, 6/1, 6/3; Pierrepont and Watson defeated Wyeth and partner, by default; Fitch and Bull defeated Stevens and partner, 6/4, 6/1; Watson and Leonard defeated Pell and Souther, 6/3, 10/8; Johnson and Dixon defeated Hawk and James, 6/2, 13/11.

Second round—Little and Hackett defeated Niles and Mathey, 6/2, 7/5; Grant and Westfall defeated Larned and Miles, 6/4, 2/6, 9/7; Fitch and Bull defeated Pierrepont and Watson, 6/2, 1/6, 6/4; Watson and Leonard defeated Johnson and Dixon, 6/4, 1/6, 6/1.

Semi-final round—Watson and Leonard defeated Fitch and Bull, 1/6, 8/6, 6/1; Little and Hackett defeated Grant and Westfall, 7/5, 6/3.

Final round—Little and Hackett defeated Watson and Leonard, 6/2, 5/7, 6/1, 6/3.

#### WOMEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Miss Day defeated Miss Smith, 6/3, 7/5; Mrs. Chapman defeated Miss Souther, 6/2, 6/3; Miss Weimer defeated Miss Hammond, 6/4, 7/5.

First round—Miss Jewett defeated Miss Grannis, 6/1, 6/3; Miss Neely defeated Miss Gordon, by default; Mrs. Neff defeated Miss Underwood, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Wildey defeated Mrs. Aufermann, 6/0, 6/3; Miss Wagner defeated Miss Wildey, 3/6, 6/2, 6/1; Miss Scott defeated Miss Little, 6/1, 6/2; Miss Day defeated Mrs. Chapman, 6/4, 6/0; Miss Weimer defeated Miss Firth, 6/0, 6/0.

Second round—Miss Neely defeated Miss Jewett, 6/1, 6/0; Mrs. Neff defeated Miss Day, 6/1, 6/2; Miss Weimer defeated Miss Wildey, 6/1, 6/2; Miss Wagner defeated Miss Scott, 2/6, 6/3, 6/4.

Semi-final round—Miss Neely defeated Mrs. Neff, 6/1, 6/0; Miss Wagner defeated Miss Weimer, 6/1, 6/3.

Final round—Miss Neely defeated Miss Wagner, 6/4, 6/3.

Challenge round—Miss Neely (challenger) defeated Mrs. McLean (holder), by default.

#### WOMEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Miss E. L. Hammond and Miss E. Little defeated Mrs. Underhill and Miss Jewett, 6/4, 8/6; Mrs. R. B. Neff and Miss C. B. Neely defeated the Misses N. and E. Wildey, 6/2, 6/2; Mrs. W. Aufermann and Miss E. Smith defeated Mrs. Holden and Miss M. Burbank, 3/6, 6/1, 6/4; Mrs. G. L. Chapman and Mrs. D. F. Platt defeated Miss M. Wagner and Miss Weimer, 6/2, 6/2.

First round—Miss Day and Miss Souther defeated the Misses Ogden, 6/1, 6/2; Miss Reynolds and Miss Swords defeated Mrs. Clothier and Miss Underhill, 3/6, 6/3, 6/1; Mrs. Neff and Miss Neely defeated Miss Hammond and Miss Little, 7/5, 7/5; Mrs. Chapman and Mrs. Platt defeated Mrs. Aufermann and Miss Smith, 2/6, 6/1, 6/4.

Semi-final round—Mrs. Neff and Miss Neely defeated Miss Day and Miss Souther, 6/1, 6/0; Mrs. Chapman and Mrs. Platt defeated Miss Reynolds and Miss Swords, 6/2, 6/2.

Final round—Mrs. Chapman and Mrs. Platt defeated Mrs. Neff and Miss Neely, 2/6, 6/2, 6/1.

#### MIXED DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Miss Wagner and H. L. Westfall defeated Miss Edna Wildey and E. W. Leonard, by default; Miss N. Wildey and Dean Mathey defeated Miss Jewett and W. Bramhall, 1/6, 6/2, 6/4; Mrs. and Mr. Holden defeated Mrs. Borden and C. Wood, Jr., 6/2, 6/4; Mrs. Chapman and N. W. Niles defeated Mrs. and Mr. Hobart, 6/2, 4/6, 8/6.

First round—Miss Wagner and H. L. Westfall defeated Miss N. Wildey and D. Mathey, by default; Miss and Mr. Souther defeated Miss and Mr. Reynolds, by default; C. F. Watson, Jr., and partner defeated Mrs. Markwald and H. Bartram, by default.

Semi-final round—Miss Wagner and H. L. Westfall defeated Miss and Mr. Souther, 9/7, 5/7, 6/4; Mrs. G. L. Chapman and N. W. Niles defeated C. F. Watson, Jr., and partner, 6/4, 6/4.

Final round—Mrs. G. L. Chapman and N. W. Niles defeated Miss Marie Wagner and H. L. Westfall, 6/4, 6/4.

## Eastern Doubles and the Longwood Tournament.

William A. Larned, twice national champion in singles, was again the winner of a Longwood bowl—it, the third of its kind, having become his absolute possession without his playing a stroke, as Clarence Hobart, a second-time winner of the tournament, did not challenge Larned and play him for possession of the trophy.

In the Eastern doubles—an event of even more importance than the contest for the Longwood Cup by reason of its bearing on the national championship in doubles—Larned with Clothier attained another triumph, but only after the hardest kind of fighting, in which the pair dashed to earth the fond hopes of the Beals C. Wright-Raymond D. Little combination in a really great five-set match which abounded in sensational features and early in the day seemed to be going just the other way.

The date of the seventeenth Longwood meeting was changed from the week of July 22 to that of August 5 in order to permit the American Davis Cup team to participate.

#### Summary:

#### LONGWOOD SINGLES.

First round—A. N. Reggio defeated D. E. Roberts, 6/2, 6/3, 5/7, 6/4; E. M. Pickman defeated E. James, 8/6, 6/4, 6/2; A. L. Hoskins de-



feated C. W. Harvey, 6/3, 6/4, 6/1; G. P. Gardner, Jr., defeated J. A. C. Colston, 4/6, 6/3, 6/2, 6/4; W. J. Clothier defeated A. W. Warden, by default; E. T. Gross defeated J. Orrick, 6/2, 6/0, 6/2; W. A. Bradford defeated L. Harrison, 6/3, 7/5, 2/6, 5/7, 7/5; A. Sweetser defeated W. M. Fischer, 6/4, 6/4, 6/1; Hugh Tallant defeated A. W. Jackson, 4/6, 6/1, 6/0, 6/4; F. C. Colston defeated George H. Nettleton, 7/9, 6/0, 8/6, 6/4; D. L. Pickman, Jr., defeated C. R. Leonard, 6/1, 6/1, 6/2; H. Torrance, Jr., defeated J. R. Post, 6/1, 6/2, 6/3; A. P. Hawes defeated A. E. Kent, by default; J. O. Ames defeated A. S. Bowie, 6/1, 6/1, 6/1; N. Johnson defeated J. R. Pratt, 2/6, 6/4, 6/2, 6/2; N. P. Hallowell, Jr., defeated F. L. Wood, 6/2, 6/1, 6/1; Nat. Thornton defeated H. H. Whitman, by default; E. V. Page defeated W. Rosenbaum, by default; W. C. Grant defeated J. A. Fowler, 6/3, 6/4, 7/5.

Second round—D. S. Niles defeated G. M. W. Kobbe, 6/2, 6/3, 6/4; S. H. Pillsbury defeated A. B. Rotch, by default; C. M. Bull defeated R. J. Leonard, 6/4, 6/2, 6/1; W. M. Dunn defeated J. B. Reed, by default; Robert LeRoy defeated E. Middleton, 6/0, 6/1, 6/0; F. J. Sulloway defeated S. Henshaw, 6/2, 6/0, 6/3; W. S. Warland defeated B. M. Grant, 6/4, 6/1, 6/2; A. S. Pier defeated C. G. Plimpton, by default; N. W. Niles defeated G. L. Cabot, by default; R. D. Little defeated J. A. Ross, 6/2, 6/2, 6/1; H. L. Westfall defeated H. A. Davis, 6/2, 6/1, 6/3; E. M. Pickman defeated A. N. Reggio, 6/3, 6/8, 5/7, 7/5, 10/8; G. P. Gardner, Jr., defeated A. L. Hoskins, 6/4, 3/6, 6/4, 3/6, 6/2; W. J. Clothier defeated E. T. Gross, 6/1, 4/6, 6/4, 6/1; W. A. Bradford defeated A. Sweetser, 5/7, 6/1, 3/6, 6/4, 7/5; F. C. Colston defeated Hugh Tallant, 6/3, 6/1, 6/2; H. Torrance, Jr., defeated D. L. Pickman, Jr., 7/5, 6/4, 6/0; J. O. Ames defeated A. P. Hawes, 4/6, 6/3, 6/2, 6/1; N. P. Hallowell, Jr., defeated N. Johnson, 6/1, 6/2, 6/2; Nat. Thornton defeated E. V. Page, 8/6, 1/6, 2/6, 6/2, 6/4; W. C. Grant defeated H. R. Scott, 6/3, 6/4, 7/9, 6/2; W. F. Johnson defeated G. Beals, 6/3, 6/1, 5/7, 6/3; C. B. Neel defeated T. B. Plimpton, 6/1, 6/2, 6/2; R. S. Lovering defeated L. J. Grant, 6/1, 6/1, 6/1; R. Wilson defeated R. Hazard, by default; Clarence Hobart defeated N. W. Cabot, by default; H. D. Montgomery defeated C. E. Barker, 6/1, 2/6, 6/3, 6/2; W. L. Jennings defeated C. Hale, 4/6, 6/3, 6/2, 8/6; H. A. MacKinney defeated G. Crompton, 6/1, 6/1, 6/0; R. C. Seaver defeated E. R. Speare, 6/4, 6/3, 6/3; A. S. Dabney, Jr., defeated H. B. Register, 5/7, 6/2, 6/4, 6/4; R. H. Palmer defeated C. T. Porter, 6/1, 3/6, 6/2, 7/5.



Third round—Niles defeated Pillsbury, 6/3, 6/4, 4/6, 6/4; Bull defeated Dunn, 7/5, 6/3, 6/1; LeRoy defeated Sulloway, 7/5, 6/3, 5/7, 6/3; Warland defeated Pier, 6/4, 6/4, 7/5; Little defeated Niles, 6/2, 6/3, 6/1; Westfall defeated Pickman, 6/2, 6/1, 6/2; Clothier defeated Gardner, 6/2, 6/1, 6/4; Colston defeated Bradford, 6/4, 6/3, 2/6, 6/4; Torrance defeated Ames, 6/3, 6/0, 5/7, 6/0; Hallowell defeated Thornton, 6/1, 6/0, 6/1; Grant defeated Johnson, 1/6, 6/4, 7/9, 6/1, 6/3; Neel defeated Lovering, 6/2, 6/3, 5/7, 6/0; Hobart defeated Wilson, 6/2, 6/0, 6/0; Montgomery defeated Jennings, 6/1, 3/6, 6/2, 6/3; Seaver defeated MacKinney, 6/1, 6/0, 6/0; Palmer defeated Dabney, 6/0, 2/6, 7/5, 5/7, 6/3.

Fourth round—Bull defeated Niles, 7/5, 6/2, 6/3; LeRoy defeated Warland, 6/0, 6/2, 6/2; Little defeated Westfall, 5/7, 7/5, 0/6, 6/2, 6/4; Colston defeated Clothier, 7/5, 0/6, 6/3, 5/7, 6/4; Hallowell defeated Torrance, 4/6, 6/3, 6/0, 7/5; Grant defeated Neel, 4/6, 6/3, 6/1, 6/2; Hobart defeated Montgomery, 6/3, 6/3, 6/0; Seaver defeated Palmer, 6/4, 6/3, 6/1.

Fifth round—LeRoy defeated Bull, 6/4, 6/3, 6/3; Little defeated Colston, 4/6, 6/1, 1/6, 6/2, 6/2; Hallowell defeated Grant, 6/4, 4/6, 3/6, 6/1, 6/2; Hobart defeated Seaver, 1/6, 10/8, 6/4, 7/5.

Semi-final round—LeRoy defeated Little, 6/8, 8/6, 7/5, 6/2; Hobart defeated Hallowell, 8/6, 6/2, 6/3.

Final round—Hobart defeated LeRoy, 3/6, 6/2, 6/1, 6/2.



**THEODORE ROOSEVELT PELL,**  
New England Singles Champion,  
1907.

**ROBERT LEROY,**  
Finalist in All-Comers' Tournament at  
Newport, 1907.

Doubles Champions of New England.

## Eastern Championship Doubles, 1907.

First round—B. C. Wright and R. D. Little defeated A. W. Jackson and J. B. Read, 6/2, 6/2, 6/4; W. M. Dunn and R. H. Palmer defeated L. J. Grant and H. Blanchard, 6/1, 6/2, 6/2; C. Hobart and N. W. Niles defeated D. E. Roberts and E. Middleton, 6/1, 6/0, 6/3; H. Miller and F. B. Taylor defeated H. A. Bradford and L. Harrison, 10/8, 6/0, 6/3; F. J. Sulloway and F. C. Colston defeated C. Hale and S. Henshaw, 6/2, 6/3, 6/4; W. A. Larned and W. J. Clothier defeated C. H. Colleston and C. T. Porter, 6/2, 6/3, 6/4; S. P. Ware and N. P. Hollowell, Jr., defeated W. L. Jennings and G. S. Barton, 6/0, 6/3, 6/1; W. F. Johnson and H. B. Register defeated H. A. Davis and A. W. Warden, 6/2, 6/3, 2/6, 6/1; W. C. Grant and H. L. Westfall defeated H. Tallant and H. Torrance, Jr., 6/1, 6/2, 5/7, 2/6, 8/6; M. D. and H. H. Whitman defeated D. S. Niles and A. Sweetser, 6/3, 6/0, 7/5; C. F. and H. Johnson defeated E. R. Speare and G. F. Wales, 6/3, 6/3, 6/3; G. H. Nettleton and T. B. Plimpton defeated Manning and J. R. Pratt, 6/3, 6/8, 6/2, 6/3; Leonard and G. P. Gardner, Jr., defeated F. L. Wood and M. E. Johnson, 6/1, 6/4, 6/1; E. M. and D. L. Pickman, Jr., defeated H. A. MacKinney and P. L. Schuyler, 8/6, 4/6, 6/3, 7/9, 6/3.

Second round—B. C. Wright and R. D. Little defeated J. A. C. Colston and C. W. Harvey, by default; Hobart and Niles defeated Dunn and Palmer, 6/2, 6/0, 6/1; Sulloway and Colston defeated Miller and Taylor, 6/3, 3/6, 6/2, 6/0; Larned and Clothier defeated Ware and Hollowell, 5/7, 6/3, 6/2, 7/5; Grant and Westfall defeated Johnson and Register, 6/1, 6/1, 7/5; C. F. and H. Johnson defeated M. D. and H. H. Whitman, 0/6, 6/3, 3/6, 6/3, 6/4; L. and G. P. Gardner, Jr., defeated Nettleton and Plimpton, by default; A. S. Dabney, Jr., and R. S. Lovering defeated E. M. and D. L. Pickman, Jr., 6/2, 6/2, 6/0.

Third round—Wright and Little defeated Hobart and Niles, 6/4, 7/5, 7/5; Larned and Clothier defeated Sulloway and Colston, 6/1, 6/2, 7/5; Grant and Westfall defeated C. F. and H. Johnson, 3/6, 6/3, 4/6, 6/0, 7/5; Dabney and Lovering defeated L. and G. P. Gardner, Jr., 1/6, 6/2, 6/4, 6/3.

Semi-final round—Larned and Clothier defeated Wright and Little, 5/7, 6/4, 3/6, 6/2, 6/3; Grant and Westfall defeated Dabney and Lovering, 6/4, 6/4, 6/3.

Final round—Larned and Clothier defeated Grant and Westfall, 6/4, 6/1, 5/7, 6/4.


## New England Championships.

By winning the New England championship at Hartford, June 15, Theodore Roosevelt Pell earned a place on the honor roll of the classic event along with such previous notables as Clarence Hobart, James Terry, Beals Wright, and Karl Behr. His victory was won after a week of most excellent contests between New England and New York cracks, and the deciding match (in the absence of Behr, the holder, there being no challenge round) trembled in the balance for five sets, and early in the play inclined strongly toward his opponent, H. L. Westfall. By excellent tennis the New York and Kings County experts worked their way through a large and classy field, and fought out the deciding match before a large and appreciative gallery, it taking the full number of sets ere victory went to Pell.

The weather was threatening, but when the match was a little more than half over, the sun came out, and the nearly 500 gayly dressed spectators were rewarded by witnessing a hard-fought match, full of brilliant moments and spectacular plays. Summaries follow:

### CHAMPIONSHIP SINGLES.

Preliminary round—G. H. Nettleton defeated Wylie C. Grant, 10/8, 6/3; Walter Roberts defeated L. W. Lyman, 5/7, 6/1, 6/4; E. T. Gross



NAT THORNTON, { Doubles Champions } B. M. GRANT,  
Atlanta. { of the South. } Atlanta.  
Mr. Thornton is also Singles Champion of the South.

defeated R. Wilson, 6/2, 6/1; Robert LeRoy defeated Kenneth Hubbard, 6/1, 6/3; D. C. Graves defeated E. Q. Jackson, 6/3, 6/1; H. A. MacKinney defeated G. F. Parrish, 6/3, 7/5; R. H. Cole defeated Hugh Montgomery, by default; T. R. Pell defeated James Terry, 6/3, 6/2; F. C. Inman defeated W. O. Taylor, 6/1, 6/4; Clarence Hobart defeated J. O. Ames, 4/6, 7/5, 6/0; G. S. Grossbeck defeated E. B. Morris, 6/2, 8/6.

First round—H. L. Westfall defeated T. F. Lawrence, 6/1, 6/2; Nettleton defeated R. N. Dana, 6/3, 2/6, 6/4; Gross defeated Roberts, 6/2, 6/1; LeRoy defeated Graves, 6/4, 6/2; Cole defeated MacKinney, 6/3, 6/2; Pell defeated Inman, 6/1, 6/3; Hobart defeated Grossbeck, 6/2, 6/0; Richard Hooker defeated R. J. Goodman, 6/0, 6/4.

Second round—Westfall defeated Nettleton, 1/6, 6/2, 6/3; Gross defeated LeRoy, 8/6, 8/6; Pell defeated Cole, 6/4, 6/1; Hobart defeated Hooker, by default.

Semi-final round—Westfall defeated Gross, 6/3, 3/6, 6/2; Pell defeated Hobart, 4/6, 6/4, 6/3.

Final round—T. R. Pell defeated Westfall, 5/7, 4/6, 8/6, 6/2, 6/3.

#### CHAMPIONSHIP DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Hubbard and Grossbeck defeated Taylor and Ford, by default; Terry and Howard defeated Gross and Dana, 1/6, 6/4, 6/3.

First round—LeRoy and Pell defeated Grant and Westfall, 6/8, 6/2, 6/2; Inman and Parrish defeated Hubbard and Grossbeck, 6/3, 6/4; Jackson and Graves defeated Terry and Howard, by default; Hobart and Cole defeated Nettleton and Cole, 7/5, 6/3.

Semi-final round—LeRoy and Pell defeated Inman and Parrish, by default; Hobart and Cole defeated Jackson and Graves, 6/4, 6/1.

Final round—LeRoy and Pell defeated Hobart and Cole, 3/6, 6/4, 6/4, 7/5.

### Southern Championships.

Atlanta players—Nat Thornton in the singles, and Thornton and Bryan Grant in the doubles—won the championship of the South in the event which closed at Atlanta, July 6, on the Atlanta Athletic Club courts.

Thornton had an unusually hard row to hoe. His first three matches, against Patterson, Byrd, and Horton, were about hard enough to be good strong workouts. But in the third round he caught J. Floyd Day, of Nashville, who defeated him in the Tennessee championship, and here he struck a snag of alarming proportions.

In the final round Thornton won easily from Bates. As there was no challenge round he clinched his claim to the title at that point. That puts two titles to his credit for the year, as he won the Gulf States Championship at New Orleans.

In the doubles event last year's champions—Grant and Thornton—proved to be easily the best in the field. Little and Bates, Norton and Cornelius, the Rodgers brothers, Scott and Williams, and Smith and Howell were the only other serious contenders.

Miss May Logan, of New Orleans, had not the slightest trouble in defending her title as woman champion of the South.

Summaries:

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Karl Little defeated W. C. Grant, by default; S. C. Williams defeated L. Haas, 6/1, 7/5; H. Orme defeated W. D. Mooney, 6/1, 6/3; F. Fitzsimmons defeated M. Baxter, 6/1, 7/5; G. B. Morton defeated E. W. Alfriend, 6/2, 6/2; Nat. Thornton defeated D. C. Patterson, 6/1, 6/2; F. G. Byrd defeated D. C. Kingman, 6/3, 3/6, 8/6; J. F. Day defeated C. Smith, 2/6, 6/3, 6/2; S. Crawford defeated F. H. Sawyer, 6/0, 8/6; W. Henderson defeated John Ayres, 6/2, 6/3; B.



Logan defeated R. A. Cowan, 6/1, 6/4; A. Grima defeated H. Hatcher, 6/3, 6/1; B. F. Cornelius defeated V. Smith, 6/2, 6/3; L. J. Leonard defeated H. L. Westfall, by default; F. Adair, Jr., defeated L. J. Grant, by default; R. G. Lyon defeated E. Mansfield, 6/0, 6/1; H. Bates defeated W. Meek, 6/2, 6/4; Charles Rodgers defeated C. M. Ramspeck, 6/3, 6/3; G. C. Middlebrooks defeated Megee Tyson, 6/0, 6/0; M. D. Berrien defeated H. L. Storer, 6/4, 6/1.

First round—Cowan Rodgers defeated F. M. Farley, 6/1, 6/0; E. V. Carter, Jr., defeated F. Van Gilder, 6/3, 6/0; Little defeated Coke Davis, 6/0, 6/1; Williams defeated Orme, 6/1, 6/3; Norton defeated Fitzsimmons, 6/4, 6/1; Thornton defeated Byrd, 6/3, 6/3; Day defeated Crawford, 6/1, 6/3; Logan defeated Henderson, 6/1, 6/2; Grima defeated Cornelius, 3/6, 6/2, 6/0; Leonard defeated Adair, 7/5, 3/6, 6/2; Bates defeated Mansfield, 7/5, 3/6, 6/2; Charles Rodgers defeated Middlebrooks, 6/2, 7/5; Cox defeated Berrien, 3/6, 6/1, 6/3; B. M. Grant defeated L. D. Scott, 8/6, 2/6, 6/3; E. F. Mayberry defeated E. Earnshaw, by default; Howell defeated William B. Grant, 6/3, 6/4.

Second round—Cowan Rodgers defeated Carter, 6/3, 6/0; Little defeated Williams, 7/5, 10/8; Thornton defeated Norton, 8/6, 6/0; Day defeated Logan, 8/6, 6/3; Grima defeated Leonard, 6/3, 3/6, 7/5; Bates defeated Charles Rodgers, 1/6, 6/3, 6/1; B. M. Grant defeated Cox, 6/1, 6/3; Howell defeated Mayberry, 4/6, 6/1, 6/3.

Third round—Little defeated Cowan Rodgers, 6/8, 6/2, 6/4; Thornton defeated Day, 3/6, 9/7, 6/1; Bates defeated Grima, 6/0, 6/4; B. M. Grant defeated Howell, 4/6, 6/3, 6/1.

Semi-final round—Thornton defeated Little, 6/1, 2/6, 10/8; Bates defeated B. M. Grant, 6/2, 6/4.

Final round—Thornton defeated Bates, 6/4, 6/2, 6/1.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES

Preliminary round—Norton and Cornelius defeated Berrien and Davis, 6/2, 6/2; Byrd and Cowan defeated L. Grant and Dorsey, 6/8, 6/0, 6/3; Crawford and Van Gilder defeated W. C. Grant and Westfall, by default; Logan and Grima defeated Patterson and Mansfield, 6/4, 8/6; Howell and Smith defeated Adair and Spratling, 8/6, 6/4; Scott and Williams defeated William Grant and Henderson, 6/1, 6/4; Stearns and Kingman defeated Meek and Ayres, 6/3, 7/9, 6/1; Orme and Mayberry defeated Tyson and Baxter, 6/0, 6/0; Kennedy and McKemie defeated Hatcher and Farley, 6/2, 6/0.

First round—Day and Cox defeated Smith and Leonard, 6/3, 6/4; Norton and Cornelius defeated Sawyer and Ramspeck, 6/2, 6/3; Crawford and Van Gilder defeated Byrd and Cowan, 6/4, 6/0; Howell and Smith defeated Logan and Grima, 7/5, 4/6, 6/3; Scott and Williams defeated Stearns and Kingman, 6/4, 10/8; Kennedy and McKemie defeated Orme and Mayberry, 6/3, 4/6, 9/7; Little and Bates defeated Carter and Middlebrooks, 6/1, 6/2; Rodgers brothers defeated Troy and Colquitt, 6/0, 6/3.

Second round—Norton and Cornelius defeated Day and Cox, 6/2, 6/1; Howell and Smith defeated Crawford and Van Gilder, 3/6, 7/5, 6/2; Scott and Williams defeated Kennedy and McKemie, 6/2, 6/3; Rodgers brothers defeated Little and Bates, 4/6, 6/4, 6/4.

Semi-final round—Howell and Smith defeated Norton and Cornelius, 6/2, 6/1; Rodgers brothers defeated Scott and Williams, 6/2, 6/3.

Final round—Rodgers brothers defeated Howell and Smith, 7/5, 6/3, 6/2.

Challenge round—Grant and Thornton defeated Rodgers brothers, 6/3, 6/3, 7/5.

#### LADIES' SINGLES.

First round—Miss Mary Minor defeated Miss Kate Dunwoody, 6/3, 6/4; Miss Kathleen Brown defeated Miss Celeste Janvier, 6/0, 6/1; Miss Evans defeated Miss Florence Jackson, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Janet Storrs defeated Miss Caroline Westmoreland, by default.

Semi-final round—Miss Minor defeated Miss Brown, 6/4, 9/7; Miss Storrs defeated Miss Evans, 6/3, 6/3.

Final round—Miss Minor defeated Miss Storrs, 4/6, 6/3, 7/5.

Challenge round—Miss May Logan defeated Miss Storrs, 6/0, 6/3.

#### MIXED DOUBLES.

First round—Miss Storrs and Cowan Rodgers defeated Miss Minor and A. Grima, 6/1, 6/2; Miss Logan and Bland defeated Miss Westmoreland and Nat. Thornton, 6/0, 6/1; Miss Evans and L. D. Scott defeated Miss Rodgers and Charles Rodgers, 6/1, 7/5; Miss Jackson and B. M. Grant defeated Miss Janvier and William Grant, 6/2, 6/0.

Semi-final round—Miss Logan and Logan defeated Miss Storrs and Cowan Rodgers; Miss Evans and Scott defeated Miss Jackson and B. M. Grant, 6/2, 6/0.

Final round—Miss Logan and Logan defeated Miss Evans and L. D. Scott, 6/1, 6/2.

Challenge round—Miss Logan and Logan defeated Miss Brown and S. C. Williams, 6/1, 6/2.

### South Atlantic Tournament.

Excepting only the women's singles, Atlanta players made a clean sweep of the South Atlantic tennis tournament, played at Augusta, Ga., July 17 to 20. Nat Thornton, Southern champion, took the South Atlantic title for the third time and brought home the championship trophy as his own property. Teaming with S. C. Williams, he won the doubles event in handy style, and with Miss Florence Jackson, of Atlanta, as his partner took first in the mixed doubles. The women's event was won by Miss Sarah Evans, of Augusta.

The feature of the singles was the surprisingly good playing of Jim Dawson, of Augusta, the Georgia School of Technology's best man. He won all of his matches in nice style up to the challenge round. Summary:

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Gary defeated Martin, 6/1, 6/2; Bailey defeated White, 6/3, 6/2; Plunket defeated Fleming, 6/1, 6/1; Dawson defeated Agie, 6/2, 6/2.

First round—W. Harper defeated Vudrey, 6/2, 6/2; Ridgely defeated Dawson, 6/4, 8/6; J. Harper defeated Parker, 6/2, 6/2; Gary defeated Bailey, 6/2, 7/5; J. Dawson defeated Plunket, 6/2, 7/5; Wall defeated Copers, 6/2, 6/1; Wragg defeated Wallace, 6/4, 7/5; Williams defeated Lee, 6/1, 6/4.

Second round. Winners—Ridgely, Gary, Dawson, Williams.

Semi-final round. Winners—Gary, Dawson.

Final round—Dawson defeated Gary, 6/3, 6/4, 3/6, 3/6, 6/1.

Challenge round—Thornton (holder) defeated Dawson (challenger), 6/2, 7/5, 6/3.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.


First round—Dawson and Dawson defeated Bailey and Magender, 6/3, 6/1; Williams and Thornton defeated Harper and Wragg, 6/0, 6/1; Plunket and Ridgely defeated Vudery and Harper, 6/2, 6/2; Lee and Gary defeated Wall and Agie, 6/2, 6/4.

Semi-final round. Winners—Williams and Thornton, Lee and Gary.

Final round—Williams and Thornton.

#### WOMEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Miss Brown defeated Miss Cummings, 7/5, 8/6; Miss Vudery defeated Miss Lyle, 6/4, 8/6; Miss Jackson defeated Miss Cummings, 6/4, 7/5; Miss Evans defeated Miss Vudery, 6/2, 6/2.



L. H. WAIDNER,  
Chicago.

NAT EMERSON,  
Cincinnati.

Semi-final round—Miss Brown defeated Miss Vudery, 6/1, 6/3; Miss Evans defeated Miss Jackson, 6/3, 7/5.

Final round—Miss Evans defeated Miss Brown, 6/3, 6/4.

#### MIXED DOUBLES.

Final round—Miss Jackson and Thornton defeated Miss Brown and Williams, 6/4, 6/4.

### The Western Championship Tournament.

Played at the Onwentsia Club, Lake Forest, Ill., July 27-Aug. 4.

Although the field for the Western championship at the beautiful courts of the well-known Onwentsia Club, the exclusive organization at Lake Forest, Ill., that long has been a power in golf, was large and representative, it early seemed to the close followers of tennis that the competition might be narrowed to Nat Emerson and L. H. Waidner with a possibility of Alexander and Hackett, the eastern players, deciding to take a serious view of competing for the singles. In the latter event the critics thought the honors might narrow down to a conflict of these great players. However, both elected to get out of the way in the singles and "dope" led the student of the game down to the semi-finals where Emerson really earned his spurs by removing Carr Neel from the going.

Waidner, after being beaten handily by Alexander in the semi-finals, earned the right to play Emerson in the finals by reason of Alexander's default. Emerson captured the finals and the premiership, and later with Neely as his partner he saw Hackett and Alexander win what they came to the western tournament for—the doubles championship. Miss Neely's victory in the women's singles and her winning the title as well as the victory of Miss Neely and her partner, Miss, Steever, in the final round of the women's doubles over Miss Wimer and Mrs. Williams were performances that suggested their outcome by a close study of the details of the play of the tourney.

The attendance of society people at the Western Championship at the suburban courts was the largest ever known. Many critics thought that the removal of the annual tourney from the Kenwood Country Club's courts, where they seemed to be an annual fixture, might result in a diminution in the daily attendance. It was said by one big metropolitan paper that more than 2,000 people witnessed the Western Championship play on one afternoon at Onwentsia. The summary:

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—H. H. Hackett defeated H. H. Bates, 6/1, 6/1; Paul Gardner defeated G. O. Wagner, 6/2, 9/7; Louis Northrup defeated C. H. Farber, 6/1, 7/5; Nat Emerson defeated Edwin White, 6/0, 6/2; Buell McKeever defeated L. B. Burford, by default; W. T. Hayes defeated Walter M. Sahl, 6/1, 6/0; Ward C. Burton defeated Harold McCormick, 6/4, 6/4; C. S. Peters defeated J. S. Orne, 6/2, 6/0; Morris L. Johnston defeated C. P. Trask, 6/1, 6/2.

Second round—Hackett defeated Don De Golyer, 6/1, 6/2; W. F. Johnson defeated Ashcraft, 6/0, 6/0; Carr Neel defeated W. C. Larned, 6/2, 6/2; F. Gardner defeated F. Klaner, 6/2, 6/1; W. B. Walters defeated Charles Kirk, 6/3, 6/0; Nat Emerson defeated J. C. Belden, 6/1, 6/4; L. H. Waidner defeated P. G. Beach, 6/1, 6/1; Harry Champlain defeated F. S. Wadley, 6/4, 6/3; William Wilkens defeated T. H. Montgomery, 6/4, 7/5; F. B. Alexander defeated G. Patterson, 6/1, 6/2; John C. Neely defeated J. C. Balmer, 6/4, 6/2.

Third round—Louis Northrup defeated Allan Ross, 6/3, 9/7; B. McKeever defeated Marty, 6/4, 6/2; Alexander defeated Patterson, 6/1, 6/2;

Johnson defeated Hackett, 7/5, 7/5, default; Carr Neel defeated Gardner, 6/4, 0/6, 6/2.

Fourth round—Neel defeated Johnson, 5/7, 6/2, 8/6; Emerson defeated Northrup, 6/1, 6/3; Waidner defeated Hayes, 6/3, 1/6, 6/3; Alexander defeated Peters, 6/1, 6/0.

Semi-final round—Emerson defeated Neel, 7/5, 6/4, 4/6, 6/2; Waidner defeated Alexander, 0/6, 2/6, default.

Final round—Nat Emerson defeated L. H. Waidner, 6/4, 2/6, 6/1, 6/3.

### DOUBLES.

First round—Farber and Lee defeated Wilkens and Willbrenner, by default; Alexander and Hackett defeated Montgomery and partner, 6/1, 6/2, 6/0; Burton and Northrup defeated Walters and De Golyer, 6/1, 6/0, 6/3; Beach and Winston defeated Neely and partner, by default; Ashcraft and Wagner defeated Farber and partner, 6/4, 6/2, 6/1; Neel and Waidner defeated Johnson and partner, by default; McCormick and Johnson defeated Belden and Hamlin, by default; Hayes and Peters defeated Trask and Orne, 6/2, 6/4, 8/6; Ross and Johnson defeated Dousman and White, 6/1, 6/0, 6/0; Emerson and Neely defeated Burford and Ricker, by default; Mills and Gardner defeated Munson and Graham, 6/3, 6/1, 3/3; Ryerson and Collins defeated Goodbody and partner, by default.

Second round—Larned and Roche defeated Lord and Patterson, 1/6, 7/5, 7/5, 8/6; Hayes and Peters defeated Trask and Orne, 6/2, 6/4, 8/6.

Third round—Alexander and Hackett defeated Burton and Northrup, 6/3, 6/0, 6/3; Ashcraft and Wagner defeated Beach and Winston, 6/4, 6/3, 6/3; Hayes and Peters defeated Rose and Johnson, 8/6, 7/5, 5/7, 6/3; Scudder and Mundy defeated McKeever and Champlin, 6/3, 6/4, 6/1; Emerson and Neely defeated Elting and Wrenn, 7/5, 4/6, 6/0, 6/1; Ryerson and Collins defeated Miles and Gardner, 6/4, 6/1, 6/2; Neel and Waidner defeated McCormick and Johnson, 6/0, 6/2, 6/2.

Fourth round—Alexander and Hackett defeated Farber and Lee, 6/3, 6/3, 6/3; Neel and Waidner defeated Ashcraft and Wagner, by default.

Semi-final round—Hackett and Alexander defeated Waidner and Neel, 6/2, 6/2, 6/2.

Final round—Hackett and Alexander defeated Neely and Emerson, 6/0, 4/6, 6/1, 6/1.

### WOMEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Miss Coroma Winn defeated Miss Agnes Wayman, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Marie Wimer defeated Miss Marjorie Bell, 6/1, 6/1; Miss Adelaide Chatfield-Taylor defeated Miss Helen A. Young, 6/1, 6/2; Miss Mariam Steever defeated Miss B. Boynton, 6/4, 6/3; Mrs. Robert Williams defeated Miss Dorothy Fuller, 6/0, 6/2.

Second round—Miss Wimer defeated Miss Winn, 6/1, 7/5; Miss Steever defeated Miss Chatfield-Taylor, 6/1, 6/1; Mrs. Williams defeated Miss Hoyt, 6/1, 6/2.

Semi-final round—Miss Carrie B. Neely defeated Miss Wimer, 6/0, 8/10, 7/5; Mrs. Williams defeated Miss Steever, 6/4, 8/6.

Final round—Miss Neely defeated Mrs. Williams, 7/5, 6/3.

### WOMEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Miss Steever and Miss Neely defeated Miss Hunt and Miss Hoyt, 6/2, 6/2; Mrs. Williams and Miss Wimer defeated Miss Poole and Miss Chatfield-Taylor, 6/4, 6/1.

Semi-final round—Miss Steever and Miss Neely defeated Mrs. Robbins and Mrs. Chase, by default.

Final round—Miss Neely and Miss Steever defeated Mrs. Williams and Miss Wimer, 6/2, 6/3.



## MIXED DOUBLES.

First round—Mrs. Williams and Mr. Forstall defeated Miss Fuller and Mr. Patterson, 6/1, 6/3; Miss Wrenn and Mr. Patterson defeated Miss Butler and Mr. Butler, 14/16, default.

Second round—Miss Steever and Dr. Lee defeated Miss Taylor and Mr. Collins, 6/4, 6/3; Miss Hoyt and Mr. Johnston defeated Mrs. Massey and Mr. Johnson, 6/4, 6/4; Mrs. Williams and Mr. Forstall defeated Miss Wrenn and Mr. Rycerson, 6/3, 6/2; Miss Wimer and Mr. Scudder defeated Miss Robbins and Mr. Larned, 6/2, 6/1.

Semi-final round—Miss Steever and Dr. Lee defeated Miss Hoyt and Mr. Johnston, 6/4, 1/6, 7/5; Mrs. Williams and Mr. Forstall defeated Miss Wimer and Mr. Scudder, 6/4, 7/5.

Final round—Miss Steever and Dr. Lee defeated Mrs. Williams and Mr. Forstall, 4/6, 8/6, 6/1.

## Middle West Championship Tournament.

Played at Omaha, Nebraska, Aug. 19-24, 1907.

This championship tournament was marked by the fine playing of C. S. Peters of Chicago who remained in to the end, winning the final round from Whitney and losing the challenge championship round to W. T. Hayes after a plucky fight. To show that tennis is a great game for sportsmanship, Peters and Hayes hooked up as partners in the doubles and won the premier honors from McConnell and Potter in three straight sets. The summary:


## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Dufrene defeated Potter, 7/5, 6/2; Doherty defeated Rainey, 6/4, 6/1, 8/6; Faust defeated Hughes, by default; Beard defeated Young, by default; Dosh defeated F. Potter, 6/4, 7/5; C. Potter defeated Gould, 6/0, 6/2; Nevins defeated Thompson, 6/2, 7/5; Scribner defeated Meyers, by default; Cowdry defeated Peterson, 6/3, 8/6; Dinning defeated Millard, by default; Donaldson defeated J. Bailey, 6/4, 7/5; Armstrong defeated Buck, by default; Wehlner defeated Koch, 4/6, 8/6, 6/1; Van Camp defeated Metz, 6/1, 6/4; Sweet defeated Hamilton, by default; Cornell defeated Martin, by default; Readinger defeated F. Hamilton, by default; C. H. Young defeated Butler, 6/4, 6/2; Peters defeated Sunderland, by default; Kohn defeated Hillis, 8/6, 6/2; Epenter defeated Webster, 4/6, 6/4, 6/3; Ced Potter defeated Caldwell, 6/2, 6/3; Blatherwick defeated Kennard, 6/2, 6/1; Cannon defeated Rassmussen, 6/0, 6/2; Megeath defeated Cooley, 2/6, 8/6, 7/5; C. O. Hiles defeated McConnell, 6/3, 6/4; Will Hillis defeated S. Sweet, 6/3, 6/2; Bliss defeated Pollard, 5/7, 6/4, 7/5; S. S. Caldwell defeated Wood, 6/4, 7/5; Jaynes defeated Collett, by default.


Second round—Whitney defeated Dufrene, 6/0, 6/1; Faust defeated Doherty, 6/1, 6/0; Dosh defeated Beard, 6/4, 6/3; C. Potter defeated Nevins, 6/1, 6/3; Scribner defeated Cowdry, 6/1, 6/3; Dinning defeated Donaldson, 6/4, 6/3; Wehlner defeated Armstrong, 6/1, 6/4; O. J. Sweet defeated Van Camp, 6/4, 7/5; Readinger defeated Cornell, by default; Peters defeated Young, 6/4, 6/1; Kohn defeated Epenter, 6/2, 6/4; Blatherwick defeated Ced Potter, 6/1, 6/2; Cannon defeated Megeath, by default; Hiles defeated Hillis, 6/0, 4/6, 6/0; Caldwell defeated Blissh, by default; Moore defeated Jaynes, 6/4, 7/5.

Third round—Whitney defeated Faust, 6/4, 6/2; C. Potter defeated Dosh, 3/6, 6/1, 6/4; Scribner defeated Dinning, 6/0, 6/4; Sweet defeated Wehlner, 6/4, 6/1; Peters defeated Readinger, 6/0, 6/0; Blatherwick defeated Kohn, 4/6, 6/2, 6/4; Cannon defeated C. O. Hiles, 8/6, 6/2; Caldwell defeated Moore, 6/8, 6/0, 8/6.

Fourth round—Whitney defeated Potter, 6/3, 6/3; Sweet defeated Scribner, 6/4, 6/1; Peters defeated Blatherwick, 6/1, 5/7, 6/3; Caldwell defeated Cannon, 6/2, 6/1.



C. S. PETERS,  
Chicago,  
Western Tri-State Champion, 1907.



O. V. VERNON,  
Kansas City Athletic Club,  
Winner of the Missouri Valley Cham-  
pionship in 1904-5-6.

Semi-final round—Whitney defeated Sweet, 6/2, 7/5, 6/2; Peters defeated Caldwell, 6/3, 6/4, 6/1.

Final round—Peters defeated Whitney, 6/0, 6/3, 7/5.

Championship round—W. T. Hayes defeated Peters, 4/6, 6/3, 6/3, 6/4.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Hillis and Donaldson defeated Potter and Koch, 6/1, 6/1.

First round—Sweet and Dosh defeated Hiles and Hitchcock, by default; Peters and Hayes defeated Nevins and Dinning, 6/0, 6/2; Readinger and Cleveland defeated Thompson and Doherty, by default; Blatherwick and Scribner defeated Hillis and Donaldson, 6/3, 6/4; Moore and Faust defeated W. Hillis and E. Sweet, by default; Young and Caldwell defeated Chambers and Hamilton, by default; J. Caldwell and McPherson defeated Whitney and partner, by default; McConnell and Potter defeated Cannon and Wehlner, 9/7, 6/8, 7/5.

Second round—Peters and Hayes defeated Sweet and Dosh, 7/5, 6/3; Blatherwick and Scribner defeated Readinger and Cleveland, 6/0, 3/6, 7/5; Young and Caldwell defeated Moore and Faust, 6/0, 6/3; McConnell and Potter defeated Caldwell and McPherson, 4/6, 6/1, 6/1.

Semi-final round—Peters and Hayes defeated Blatherwick and Scribner, 6/4, 6/3, 6/2; McConnell and Potter defeated Young and Caldwell, 6/4, 1/6, 7/5, 6/4.

Final round—Peters and Hayes defeated McConnell and Potter, 6/1, 6/1, 6/2.

### Missouri Valley Championships.


Played at Kansas City, Mo., August 26-31.

The sixth annual Missouri Valley tennis tournament was quite the biggest thing in the tennis line held in the Central West during the season of 1907, excelling in number of entries and equaling in class the Middle West event held at Omaha the week previous. The affair was given under the auspices of the Kansas City Athletic Club and was played at its new field, Thirty-second and Locust streets. Of the eighty-one entries, forty-five represented enthusiasts from points outside of Kansas City, Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, Indian Territory, Iowa, Illinois, and Nebraska. A large crowd attended the opening of the tournament, increasing daily until at the close of the week it was found difficult to accommodate all who came to witness the play.

Singles honors went to Harold Hodge of the Kansas City Athletic Club, who defeated O. V. Vernon of the same organization in the challenge round. The doubles titles went to A. E. Sterling and H. H. Brewster of the St. Joseph (Mo.) Lawn Tennis Club, winning the final match from J. S. Tritle and A. A. Hayes of the Kansas City Athletic Club, and taking the championship round from D. L. James and H. V. Jones by default, Mr. James not being present to defend the cup.

It was a grueling hot day when Hodge faced Jones in the finals, but a big crowd was present. Jones broke away fast, taking the first set 6/2, Hodge coming stronger in the second and winning, 6/3. The third and fourth sets were battle royals, each capturing one at 7/5 scores. The fifth and last set was merely a test of endurance and Hodge proved the stronger, winning 6/3. In the challenge round Hodge met O. V. Vernon, a three-time winner of the Missouri Valley title, and again proved himself a master at the game, winning a spectacular four-set match.

In the doubles the final round brought Sterling and Brewster and Tritle and Hayes together. The meeting resulted in a lobbing contest and consequently was not so interesting as some of the earlier matches. The games were very slow and little spectacular play was pulled off, five sets, however, being necessary to decide the issue in favor of Sterling and Brewster. Summaries:



A. E. Sterling (1) and H. H. Brewster (2) Winners of Doubles in St. Joseph (Mo.) Inter-State Open Tournament. 3—Robert Bradford, Kansas State Singles Champion, 1907. 4—Chas. G. Brooke, Baltimore, Md., Winner Maryland Athletic Club Open Championship.



## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—A. B. Cockerill defeated H. T. Moore, 6/4, 0/6, 6/0; H. H. Reed, Osawatomie, Kas., defeated H. H. Hale, Neodesha, Kas., by default; J. M. Chaney, Y.M.C.A., defeated B. M. Lockwood, St. Joseph, Mo., 6/4, 6/0; George T. Gordon, Nevada, Mo., defeated C. S. Peters, Chicago, by default; H. H. Will, Pryor Creek, I. T., defeated A. A. Hayes, Kansas City, 6/2, 6/0; Hubert Allen, Independence, Mo., defeated Roy DeVasher, K.C.A.C., 6/3, 6/2; E. W. Duke, St. Joseph, Mo., defeated Frank C. Wood, Meade, Kas., 8/6, 6/2; J. D. Skinner, K.C.A.C., defeated R. S. Vetter, Kansas City, by default; A. E. Sterling, St. Joseph, Mo., defeated James E. Gibson, K.C.A.C., 6/1, 6/1; Guy Kirkpatrick, St. Joseph, Mo., defeated Verne Hays, Ottawa, Kas., 6/0, 10/8; H. H. Brewster, St. Joseph, Mo., defeated Hyden J. Eaton, Union club, 6/3, 6/0; Bert Williams, Roanoke club, defeated H. H. Alley, Netherlands club, 5/7, 6/3, 6/2.

First round—George L. Zwick, St. Joseph, Mo., defeated George Simpson, Netherlands club, 6/2, 4/6, 6/1; Lamar Hoover, Ottawa, Kas., defeated T. M. James, K.C.A.C., 2/6, 6/3, 6/3; J. S. Chick, Jr., K.C.A.C., defeated C. V. Hickman, St. Joseph, Mo., 6/3, 5/7, 6/1; H. Hodge, K.C.A.C., defeated C. L. Faust, St. Joseph, Mo., 6/3, 6/2; Melville Smithers, St. Louis, Mo., defeated W. A. R. Summers, K.C.A.C., defeated D. E. A. Owens, Kansas City, by default; C. C. Cockerell, Pittsburg, Kas., defeated John P. Harris, Netherlands club, 6/2, 6/1; J. S. Tritle, K.C.A.C., defeated C. H. Holcomb, Kansas City, 1/6, 6/2, 6/1; E. P. Tyner, K.C.A.C., defeated F. H. McCune, Ottawa, Kas., 6/2, 8/6; J. H. Chandler, K.C.A.C., defeated W. L. Lanning, Netherlands club, 6/2, 5/7, 6/4; H. Waltner, Union club, defeated Paul Wiley, Neodesha, Kas., 6/4, 3/6, 6/4; F. D. Haile, Ronne Terre, Mo., defeated E. L. Platt, St. Joseph, Mo., 6/1, 6/0; A. B. Cockerell, Nevada, Mo., defeated Barry Fulton, K.C.A.C., 6/0, 6/1; Reed defeated Parker, 6/2, 9/7; Gordon defeated Chaney, 9/7, 6/3; Hayes defeated Duke, 2/6, 6/2, 6/0; Allen defeated Robertson, 6/0, 6/0; Sterling defeated Skinner, 6/2, 6/2; Brewster defeated Kirkpatrick, 6/1, 6/3; F. E. Shelden, K.C.A.C., defeated Bert Williams, Roanoke club, 6/3, 6/0; W. H. Richards, St. Joseph, Mo., defeated J. P. Bennett, Ottawa, Kas., 6/3, 5/7, 6/2; A. G. Welhener, K.C.A.C., defeated George Rasmussen, Omaha, Neb., by default; L. T. Crutcher, K.C.A.C., defeated Dick Wainscott, Kansas City, by default; W. P. Colvin, Union club, defeated O. D. Leming, K.C.A.C., by default; G. E. McMillen, Springfield, Mo., defeated H. W. Cockerell, Nevada, Mo., by default; H. G. Getman, New York, defeated W. F. Thompson, K.C.A.C., 4/6, 6/2, 6/4; Harry Rurrall, K.C.A.C., defeated E. H. Seaver, Evanston club, by default; H. H. Hays, Ottawa, Kas., defeated H. Y. Hall, Kansas City, 6/4, 6/3; Herbert V. Jones, K.C.A.C., defeated F. A. Phillips, Nevada, Mo., 6/2, 6/1; J. M. Forrester, K.C.A.C., defeated A. S. Armstrong, Pittsburg, Kas., 6/4, 6/3; J. S. Cannon, K.C.A.C., defeated J. H. Ferguson, K.C.A.C., 6/4, 6/0; Carter Wilder, K.C.A.C., defeated Rex Bowls, Nevada, Mo., 6/3, 6/2.

Second round. Winners—Hoover, Hodge, Smithers, C. C. Cockerell, Tyner, Haile, A. B. Cockerell, Hayes, Sterling, Shelden, Welhener, Crutcher, McMillen, Hays, Jones, Wilder.

Third round. Winners—Hodge, Smithers, Haile, A. B. Cockerell, Shelden, Welhener, McMillen, Jones.

Fourth round. Winners—Hodge, Haile, Shelden, Jones.

Semi-final round. Winners—Hodge, Jones.

Final round—Hodge defeated Jones, 2/6, 6/3, 7/5, 5/7, 6/3.

Challenge round—Harold Hodge, Kansas City A.C. (challenger), defeated O. V. Vernon, Kansas City A.C. (holder), 7/5, 6/1, 5/7, 6/4.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Chick and Tyner defeated Fulton and DeVasher, 6/1, 6/2; Shelden and Wilder defeated Hays and McCune, 6/3, 6/4; Sterling and Brewster defeated Felix and Hoover, 6/3, 6/0; Holcomb and Chand-





1—W. Shields and W. Robertson, Oklahoma Champions in Doubles. 2—University of Oklahoma Team—H. A. Gage, E. Ratcliffe, L. L. Hutchinson, Mgr.; W. Liebler. 3—Webster Wilder, Oklahoma Champion in Singles.

ler defeated Colvin and Stephens, 4/6, 6/1, 8/6; Parker and Chaney defeated Allen and Robertson, 6/4, 8/6, 6/4; Hale and Wiley defeated Skinner and Welhener, 6/4, 2/6, 6/2; Cockerell and Cockerell defeated Bennett and Hays, 6/0, 6/2; Cannon and Hodge defeated Pratt and Williams, 4/6, 6/0, 6/2; Tritle and Hayes defeated Zwick and Lockwood, 6/4, 7/5; Faust and Platt defeated Hare and Stover, 6/2, 4/6, 6/1; Swope and McCoy defeated Thompson and Ferguson, 3/6, 6/4, 6/2; Parsons and Haile defeated Cockerell and Phillips, 7/5, 7/5; Duke and Moore defeated Vetter and Wainscott, 6/1, 6/3; Gordon and Bowlus defeated Kirkpatrick and Campbell, 6/4, 6/3; Vernon and Jones defeated Hickman and Richards, 6/0, 6/0; Simrall and Stogdale defeated Eaton and Simpson, 6/2, 6/2.

First round. Winners—Shelden and Wilder, Sterling and Brewster, Parker and Chaney, Cannon and Hodge, Tritle and Hayes, Parsons and Hailes, Duke and Moore, Vernon and Jones.

Second round. Winners—Sterling and Brewster, Cannon and Hodge, Tritle and Hayes, Vernon and Jones.

Semi-final round. Winners—Sterling and Brewster, Tritle and Hayes.

Final round—Sterling and Brewster, St. Joseph, Mo., defeated Tritle and Hayes, Kansas City A.C., 6/2, 6/3, 2/6, 4/6, 6/4.

Challenge round—Won by default by Sterling and Brewster.

## Northwestern Championship.

Played at Burton Courts, Deephaven, Minn., Aug. 6-11.

L. H. Waidner, of Chicago, won the title of northwestern champion from Nat Emerson, the winner of the same title in 1906, by a wonderful contest, the score being 1/6, 6/3, 2/6, 6/3, 6/4, indicating the dogged nature of these finalists. Playing together in the finals in doubles, Waidner and the vanquished Emerson defeated Burton and Northrup, after a battle that lasted well into the evening. Summary:

### MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Waidner defeated Belden, 6/1, 6/0; Nat Emerson defeated Strotham, 6/1, 6/0; Ricker defeated Carnayan, 6/3, 6/4; Gear defeated Burger, 7/5, 6/2; H. D. Belden defeated Rhone, 6/3, 6/4; Larned defeated Eaton, 8/6, 4/6, 6/2.

Second round—Wheeler defeated Kenyon, 6/1, 6/3; Carnayan defeated Jones, 7/9, 6/4, 6/1; Werner defeated Williamson, 7/5, 6/2; Burton defeated Ricker, 6/2, 6/4; H. I. Belden defeated Greer, 6/2, 7/5; Emerson defeated Larned, 6/1, 6/0; Jayne defeated McKnight, 6/3, 6/0; Archer defeated Wagner, by default; Waidner defeated Wallace, 6/1, 6/2; Burton defeated Werner, 6/4, 6/2; Emerson defeated Belden, 6/0, 6/2.

Semi-final round. Winners—Waidner, Emerson.

Final round—Waidner defeated Emerson, 1/6, 6/3, 2/6, 6/3, 6/4.


### MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Larned and Eaton defeated Baird and Strothman, 4/6, 8/6, 6/3, 11/13, 6/1; Wheeler and Jayne defeated Carnayan brothers, 6/0, 6/0, 6/1; Kenyon and Krause defeated Ricker and Beyer, 6/0, 6/1, 6/3.

Second round—Waidner and Emerson defeated Eaton and Larned, 6/0, 6/1, 4/6, 6/4; Burton and Northrup defeated Kenyon and Krause, 6/3, 6/1, 7/5; Jayne and Wheeler defeated Wallace and Werner, 6/0, 7/5, 6/0; Belden and McKnight defeated Pidgeon and Williamson, 3/6, 7/5, 6/1, 6/4.

Semi-final round. Winners—Waidner and Emerson, Burton and Northrup.

Final round—Emerson and Waidner defeated Burton and Northrup, 13/11, 2/6, 6/4.



CLUB HOUSE AND COURTS OF THE KENWOOD COUNTRY CLUB, CHICAGO, WHERE THE WESTERN CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNAMENTS ARE HELD.

## Western Tennis for 1907

BY "LINE SMASH."

Not the least sensational of the events that engrossed the Western tennis experts in 1907 was the moving of the annual Western tennis championship competition from the Kenwood Country Club courts to the fashionable North Shore suburb of Lake Forest, Ill., where the Onwentsia Club put at the disposal of the crack net stars some of the finest turf courts to be found in the country. Kenwood fought hard in one of the most important tennis canvasses ever attempted to get the honor for the twelfth successive season, but a preliminary proxy vote seemed to settle the disposition of the championship beyond a doubt, and later, when the Association clinched matters by sending the tournament to Lake Forest, many critics indicated their apprehension over the courts at the big golf club with much adverse criticism. It also was urged that Lake Forest was twenty-eight miles from the center of Chicago while the Kenwood courts were readily accessible at Forty-seventh street and Ellis avenue. But tennis players annihilate a little thing like a distance of a few miles; tennis experts generally have enough leisure time to afford to play their games where the social features appeal strongest to them. For this reason Onwentsia not only won in the notable fight, but from the opening of the struggle on July 27 until the concluding events were decided in August there never was a dull hour at the fashionable club.

The effect of the vigorous season outlined by the association became apparent early when ten clubs put in bids for membership and Secretary Waidner declared that definite steps were being made toward the standard set several seasons ago when tennis seemed to enjoy its greatest Western vogue. The administration will not cease its efforts until at least thirty organizations are enrolled as members in the big Western Tennis Association family. The officials who acted during the season of 1907 and who will be foremost in the plans for the aggressive season of 1908 are as follows:

President—E. M. Ashcraft, Chicago. Secretary-Treasurer—L. H. Waidner, Chicago. Directors—Jewett Ricker, Jr., and George Dunscomb, Chicago; Ward C. Burton, Minneapolis; C. H. Young, Omaha; N. Murray, Chicago, and E. M. Ashcraft and L. H. Waidner.

Awards for the season's events were approved amid scenes of enthusiasm, all the interested net experts seeing clearly that the sport had taken on another boom. The campaign got well under way July 6 when the Illinois State championship was opened at the Aztec Tennis Club courts in Chicago. Before this tournament was ended the Oxford Tennis Club at Sidell, Ill., began its open competition.

More than ordinary interest was attached to the annual open tournament of the Wanderers Cricket Club at Parkside, Ill., because of its big entry list of stars who subsequently were to appear in the Western championship which followed right on the heels of the Parkside play. The Iowa State championship was scheduled to follow the Western and the Northwestern championship at the Minnetonka Country Club also was given acceptable August dates.

The quality of the field which competed in the Western tournament of 1907 was high, sixty-four players being named for the singles and thirty-two for the doubles. Immediately the draws were announced the conviction that Nat Emerson would be the star at the end grew into the concrete expression that he was the "best in the lot." Even when the Easterners cut a wide swath in the early rounds, the loyal Western critics stuck to Emerson as their choice. Wallace F. Johnson, of Philadelphia, holder of the Delaware championship, flashed across the horizon at one time as one of the likeliest of the stars. He cre-



ated one of the distinct sensations of the tournament by defeating E. M. Ashcraft, Jr., in the singles and by his marvelous work with J. Allan Ross, the interscholastic champion, in the doubles, removing Dousman and White in straight sets. Naturally the spotlight fell surely on F. B. Alexander and Harold Hackett, the Quakers, whose play in the doubles in the East had created a stir. That they would carry off the honors seemed obvious to all who viewed their sterling work and they were not disappointed. Nor was faith in Hackett less when he was eliminated from the singles, falling before Johnson in some of the most brilliant playing ever seen in a Western event. Hackett preferred to save himself for the doubles and defaulted to the Delaware champion, while on the same afternoon Alexander kept up his fine work in singles and eliminated Ward Burton, the Minnesota champion, although in the second set the Minnesotian struck a marvelous stride and by means of great lobs and fast net playing held the Easterner to a close score and at one time had him within a point of the set.

The championship also was marked by Alexander's default in the semi-final round to L. H. Waidner, when the Easterner had the Illinois man decisively beaten, 6/0, 6/3, in the two sets played.

Emerson showed that he had his form of the previous year at his racquet's end by his defeat of Carr Neel, the Illinois champion, simply by meeting Neel with his own style—steadiness. The match was close, however, going to 7/5, 6/4, 4/6, 6/2, and put Emerson into the finals with Waidner, who was like a novice in his match with Alexander. Neel and Waidner were not at all formidable to Hackett and Alexander in the semi-final round of the doubles on the same day, the Easterners winning in straight sets.

Emerson took the Western title to Cincinnati when he won three out of four sets from Waidner, 6/4, 2/6, 6/1, and 6/3, and by the default of Kriegh Collins, whose eyes were troubling him so that he could not defend his title.

Steadiness was the factor that counted most for Emerson. The spurts of Waidner in every set but the third invariably gave the Chicagoan an early advantage, but Emerson was not to be easily disconcerted and slowly pulled himself up into the commanding place. His service was better and he was more careful in placing and better in net work. Summary of the final round:

		First Set.											
Emerson	.....	1	2	2	4	1	4	6	4	4	4	4	—32—6
Waidner	.....	4	4	4	0	4	2	4	1	1	1	1	—25—4
		Second Set.											
Waidner	.....	4	4	4	4	1	6	2	4	2	4	—20—6	
Emerson	.....	0	1	0	0	4	4	4	4	2	—15—2		
		Third Set.											
Emerson	.....	4	7	4	4	4	4	7	6	—36—6			
Waidner	.....	1	9	2	2	2	2	5	4	—25—1			
		Fourth Set.											
Emerson	.....	4	1	2	9	5	4	4	4	4	—37—6		
Waidner	.....	0	4	4	7	7	2	2	1	2	—29—3		

Miss Carrie B. Neely's advance through all the rounds for the supremacy was in keeping with the form that her friends predicted for her. Mrs. Robert Williams, after a series of brilliant flashes, accepted the defeat at Miss Neely's hands in two interesting and well-fought out sets, 7/5 and 6/3. Mrs. L. B. Neff, the title holder, defaulted to Miss Neely, the latter taking the western title. That the title went away from Chicago in men's singles for the first time since R. D. Little won it was commented upon as likely to stimulate interest in the 1908 championship.



Another important contest that was much in the public eye was the finals in the Parkside tournament postponed on account of wet courts. In this C. S. Peters outclassed L. B. Burford, champion of the Aztec Club, and won in a four-set match. Burford's cut return puzzled the veteran not a little, and as a matter of fact drew so heavily upon the supply of stamina and strength that Peters possessed that when he met Heath Byford in the challenge match he showed his physical weakness by defaulting, although he had won two of the three sets played with Byford.

Peters on August 16 won the singles championship of the Tri-State Tennis Association Tournament at Sioux City, however, taking the final round from John Barton in three straight sets, 6/2, 6/2, 6/4, and then captured the challenge round from Walter T. Hayes, after the latter had strained the wrist of his racket hand in the ninth game of the last set. The score of the four sets before Hayes defaulted was 2/6, 5/7, 6/0, 6/1. Twice winner of the interstate trophy cup in singles, Hayes was picked by a number to defeat Peters. With the games four-all and the advantage in his favor, Peters served to Hayes, but to the surprise of the spectators, the Toledo man dropped his racket and walking to the net, remarked: "It's all over Charley. I'm all in with a bad hand."

Perhaps so long as the present generation of tennis "fans" discuss the net contests of importance, Carr Neel, the veteran, will come in for a large share of the 1907 honors for his reappearance on the courts at the Illinois State championship and his display of iron nerve and that wonderful net work that once gave him such a high ranking and his triumphant journey through this competition and his victory over Harry Waidner. The following detailed play indicates the kind of a battle that was fought:

## First Set.

Neel .....	3	2	4	4	4	5	6	4	—32
Waidner .....	5	4	2	1	1	3	4	1	—21

## Second Set.

Neel .....	4	1	5	4	3	4	4	5	4—34
Waidner .....	0	4	3	0	5	1	1	7	1—22

## Third Set.

Neel .....	4	1	1	4	6	4	4	3	1	0	2	1—31
Waidner .....	1	4	4	1	4	2	1	5	4	4	4	4—38

## Fourth Set.

Neel .....	4	4	2	5	3	2	4	4	4	4	4—36
Waidner .....	1	1	4	3	5	4	1	2	6	0	—27

It seemed odd to many of the spectators in the challenge match between the Kenwood girls, Miss Miriam Steever and Miss Carrie B. Neely, that they did not seem to have studied out each other's style of play so that the competition might have been marked by closer generalship. But the club mates put up the closest kind of a match and were repeatedly cheered. Miss Neely's easy style gave her the first game in a comparatively easy manner, but Miss Steever was not to be denied and soon brought matters even. Miss Neely won the third game and lost the fourth. Then Miss Neely took two in succession, her rival taking the seventh game with a fine rally, but Miss Neely took the lead at 5/3.

In the ninth Miss Neely had the set within a point several times, but Miss Steever rallied and took the game, and then captured the tenth and eleventh. A long deuce game followed, Miss Neely finally winning. With the games six-all, the fight was more than stubborn, but Miss Neely captured the needed games and won the set, 8/6. Miss Steever won the next set, but lost the third to the challenger.

# State Championships

## Connecticut State Championship.

The third annual tennis tournament for the championship of Connecticut was held on the courts of the Litchfield Club, Litchfield, Conn., during the week beginning July 15, 1907. Dr. P. B. Hawk, of the Belmont Cricket Club, Philadelphia, Pa., won the tournament, defeating Edward Q. Jackson, of Middletown, Conn., in straight sets, thus entitling him to challenge J. H. Chase, of Saybrook, Conn., the holder of the cup for 1906. He easily defeated Chase in straight sets, 6/2, 6/3, 6/2. The doubles championship was won by J. H. Chase and S. P. Henshaw, who defeated P. B. Hawk and R. L. James in the most brilliant match of the tournament. Score: 2/6, 6/2, 4/6, 6/0, 6/3. Summary:

### SINGLES.

Preliminary round—S. P. Williams, Jr., defeated W. P. Carter, 8/6, 6/8, 6/4; S. P. Henshaw defeated K. Boardman, 6/2, 6/0; E. Q. Jackson defeated O. Stahl, by default; R. H. Bullard defeated F. W. Holcomb, 4/6, 6/2, 10/8; R. L. James defeated W. S. Fulton, 6/1, 6/1; P. B. Hawk defeated W. E. Whiting, by default; George Wadsworth defeated W. A. Green, by default; H. B. Lewis defeated S. Watkyns, 6/3, 6/2.

First round—R. C. Deming defeated J. Porter, 6/2, 6/2; J. M. Burrill defeated A. Richards, 6/2, 7/5; Henshaw defeated Williams, 6/1, 6/1; Jackson defeated Bullard, 6/3, 6/3; Hawk defeated James, 6/4, 7/5; Lewis defeated Wadsworth, 6/3, 6/1; E. H. Coy defeated F. W. Cole, by default; G. S. Hornblower defeated J. P. Woodruff, by default.

Second round. Winners—Deming, Jackson, Hawk, Coy.

Semi-final round. Winners—Jackson, Hawk.

Final round—Hawk defeated Jackson, 6/4, 6/4, 6/4.

Challenge round—Hawk defeated Chase (holder, 1906), 6/2, 6/3, 6/2.

### DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Burrill and Deming defeated K. Boardman and A. Boardman, 6/0, 6/1; Hawk and James defeated Carter and Fulton, 6/1, 6/2; Stahl and Roberts defeated Holcomb and Williams, 6/1, 6/2.

First round—Lewis and Seymour defeated Richards and Wadsworth, 6/2, 6/0; Chase and Henshaw defeated Burrill and Deming, 6/3, 6/2; Hawk and James defeated Stahl and Roberts, 6/0, 7/5; Cole and Coy defeated Hornblower and Bullard, 6/1, 6/0.

Semi-final round. Winners—Chase and Henshaw, Hawk and James.

Final round—Chase and Henshaw defeated Hawk and James, 2/6, 6/2, 4/6, 6/0, 6/3.

### CONSOLATION SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Fulton defeated Porter, by default.

First round—Wadsworth defeated Watkyns, 6/4, 6/4; Holcomb defeated H. Boardman, 6/3, 6/3; Hornblower defeated Fulton, 6/2, 6/2; Carter defeated Richards, by default.

Semi-final round. Winners—Holcomb, Carter.

Final round—Carter defeated Holcomb, 6/3, 6/3, 6/1.

The fourth annual contest for the "President's Cup" was won by Francis W. Cole, of Hartford, Conn., defeating R. L. James, of the Belmont Cricket Club, Philadelphia, Pa., in straight sets, 6/4, 6/4, 6/3; in the challenge match Cole defeated J. H. Chase (holder, 1906) in straight sets, 6/3, 6/4, 6/2, and in so doing retains possession of this cup, having won it three times.

## Delaware State Championship.

The second annual championship of Delaware in men's singles and doubles was decided on the grounds of the Wilmington Country Club. Play in the singles was started on Saturday, July 6, and in the doubles on the following Wednesday. A large and enthusiastic gallery witnessed the best tennis of the week on the closing day, when W. F. Johnson faced P. B. Hawk, the titleholder, in a battle royal for the challenge cup. Hawk took the first set, 7/5, but the deadly cut strokes of his opponent, combined with his all-round steadiness, eventually proved too much for the Belmont man and Johnson took the next three sets, 6/2, 6/3, 6/3. In the challenge round of the men's doubles Hawk and James lost to Dewhurst and Johnson in three straight sets, 6/2, 6/3, 7/5. Summary:

### SINGLES.

Preliminary round—George N. Davis, Wilmington C.C., defeated B. W. Workman, Belmont, by default; Ewing Taylor, U. of P., defeated F. P. Wood, Philadelphia C.C., 3/6, 6/2, 6/14; William Tilden, Philadelphia C.C., defeated D. R. Branch, Wilmington C.C., 6/3, 6/0; Carroll M. Bunting, Merion C.C., defeated A. B. Cooper, Wilmington C.C., by default.

First round—F. T. Gause, Wilmington C.C., defeated Thomas Blagden, Wilmington C.C., by default; Henry M. Canby, Wilmington C.C., defeated Job H. Jackson, Wilmington C.C., 6/1, 6/2; Peter T. Wright, Wilmington C.C., defeated J. H. Rendall, Princeton, 6/1, 6/4; W. G. Tatnall, Jr., Wilmington C.C., defeated Edwin S. Ogden, Wilmington, 7/5, 6/2; Karl Preston, Ridgewood G.C., defeated Alexander Thayer, Philadelphia C.C., 6/3, 8/6; Stockton Townsend, Merion, defeated James Saulsbury, Wilmington C.C., 6/1, 6/2; J. H. Rendall, Princeton, defeated R. J. Crozier, Merion, 6/4, 6/3; Ewing Taylor, U. of P., defeated George N. Davis, Wilmington C.C., 6/1, 6/3; W. M. Tilden, Philadelphia C.C., defeated Carroll M. Bunting, Merion, 6/4, 6/2; Wallace F. Johnson, Merion, defeated Elzey S. Aitkin, Trenton, 6/2, 3/6, 6/0; C. I. Gause, Wilmington C.C., defeated A. King Aitkin, Princeton, 6/1, 6/2; Edward B. Dewhurst, Huntingdon Valley, defeated E. du Pont, by default; Eugene du Pont, Wilmington C.C., defeated H. S. Cross, Huntingdon Valley, by default; A. L. Hoskins, Belmont C.C., defeated E. L. Gilpin, Jr., Wilmington C.C., 6/1, 6/2; R. L. James, Belmont, defeated James S. Messler, Trenton, 6/3, 6/4; Meredith B. Colket, Merion, defeated J. T. Gause, Wilmington C.C., 6/0, 6/3.

Second round. Winners—H. M. Canby, T. Wright, S. Townsend, W. F. Johnson, H. J. Rendall, A. L. Hoskins, R. L. James.

Third round. Winners—Wright, Rendall, Johnson, James.

Semi-final round. Winners—Rendall, Johnson.

Final round—Johnson defeated Rendall, 4/6, 6/4, 6/1.


Challenge round—W. F. Johnson defeated P. B. Hawk (title holder), 5/7, 6/2, 6/3, 6/3.

### MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—H. M. Canby and P. T. Wright defeated Karl Preston and H. P. Bartram, 4/6, 6/2, 6/3; E. B. Dewhurst and W. F. Johnson defeated Stockton Townsend and R. G. Philler, 3/6, 6/2, 6/1; S. L. Irving and J. C. Taney defeated C. I. Gause and Ewing Taylor, 6/1, 7/5; F. H. Bates and William Jordan defeated S. P. Levis and L. S. Oliver, 6/4, 4/6, 8/6.

First round—Lynford Biddle and Alex. D. Thayer defeated W. W. Pulsey, 2d, and D. R. Branch, 6/0, 6/2; Dewhurst and Johnson defeated Canby and Wright, 6/2, 6/1; Bates and Jordan defeated Irving and Tanby, 7/5, 3/6, 6/1; C. M. Bunting and R. J. Crozier defeated H. J. Rendall and J. H. Rendall, 6/1, 6/4.

Semi-final round. Winners—Dewhurst and Johnson, Bates and Jordan.



1—C. B. Doyle, Winner of District Championship. 2—Frank Geoghegan, Runner-up for District Championship. 3—J. H. Ballinger.

National Press Association, Photos.

A TRIO OF WASHINGTON PLAYERS.

Final round—Dewhurst and Johnson defeated Bates and Jordan, 6/2, 6/2, 6/2.

Challenge round—E. B. Dewhurst and W. F. Johnson defeated P. B. Hawk and R. L. James (title holders), 6/2, 6/3, 7/5.

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

Consolation round—Final—Alex. D. Thayer defeated J. H. Rendall, 6/2, 6/1, 4/6, 13/11.

### Georgia Championship.

Although a Georgian, Eden Taylor, Jr., of Macon, won the final round in the fifth annual state championship. He put up a good fight in the challenge match, but was not quite strong enough, the title going to the holder, Dr. Karl Little, of the Inverness Club, of Cincinnati. Paired with his fellow Cincinnati, Hugh H. Bates, Dr. Little won the doubles championship also, thus making a clean sweep. As was the case last year, the tournament was held at the picturesque grounds of the Log Cabin Club at Macon, during the week of July 8-12. Eden Taylor, Jr., of Macon, proved the best of those who took part in the early rounds of the singles. He and Bates were obviously the best men in the tournament. In the final, the Macon man showed excellent form and defeated his Cincinnati opponent in straight sets, 6/1, 7/5, 6/2.

In the challenge round Dr. Little took the first set, 6/4, and Taylor turned the tables exactly in the second. The Cincinnati repeated in the third set, however, winning 6/4, and took the last one, 6/3.

Bates and Little made short work of their opponents in the doubles. They met Solomon and Taylor in the semi-finals and won, 5/7, 6/3, 6/1, and in the final defeated Mallory and Lowry. The results follow:

#### SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Mason defeated Newell, 6/3, 6/3; Malone defeated Alt-mayer, 3/6, 6/1, 6/1; Mallory defeated McNeil, 6/2, 6/3; Taylor defeated Anderson, 6/2, 6/1; Harrold defeated Dean, by default; Williamson defeated Ashe, by default; Bates defeated Hillyer, 6/3, 6/1; Clark defeated Grant, by default; Solomon defeated Brooks, 4/6, 6/3, 6/2; Griffith defeated Gunn, 8/6, 6/2; Lowry defeated Smith, 6/1, 6/1; Lowe defeated Newman, 6/2, 6/2.

First round—Wheeler defeated Burnet, 6/3, 6/2; Mason defeated Malone, 6/1, 6/0; Taylor defeated Mallory, 6/4, 6/4; Williamson defeated Harrold, 6/3, 6/2; Bates defeated Clark, 6/0, 6/0; Griffith defeated Solomon, 8/6, 6/4; Lowry defeated Lowe, 6/4, 6/2; Jones defeated Coleman, 7/5, 6/1.

Second round. Winners—Mason, Taylor, Bates, Jones.

Semi-final round. Winners—Taylor, Bates.

Final round—Taylor defeated Bates, 6/1, 7/5, 6/2.

Challenge round—Little defeated Taylor, 6/4, 4/6, 6/4, 6/3.

#### DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Mason and Anderson defeated Ashe and Grant, by default; Mallory and Lowry defeated Jones and Williamson, 6/4, 8/6; Solomon and Taylor defeated Smith and Malone, 6/2, 6/2.

First round—Griffith and Clark defeated McNeil and Gunn, 6/2, 6/4; Mallory and Lowry defeated Mason and Anderson, 6/0, 4/6, 6/2; Solomon and Thayer defeated Newell and Brooks, 6/2, 6/4; Bates and Little defeated Wheeler and Coleman, 6/0, 6/1.

Semi-final round. Winners—Mallory and Lowry, Bates and Little.

Final round—Bates and Little defeated Mallory and Lowry, 6/1, 6/4, 6/2.



## Indiana State Championship.

In the Indiana State championship, contested on the Irvington Club courts, outside of Indianapolis, during the week of September 14, Appell won the singles title over Trask, while Sutphin and Geddes won the doubles. The summary:

### MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Cane defeated Blakemore, 6/0, 6/0; Rice defeated Weer, 6/0, 6/0; Dr. Spickerman defeated Du Bray, by default; Redman defeated Wentzel, by default; Hapgood defeated Tucker, 6/1, 6/1; Tibbotts defeated Dr. White, 6/2, 6/0; Dr. Hunter defeated Prof. Coleman, 7/5, 6/2; Trask defeated Sherman, 6/0, 6/3.

First round—Rice defeated Cane, by default; Dr. Spickerman defeated Redman, 6/0, 7/5; Holton defeated Cole, 6/4, 7/5; Sutphin defeated Schaffer, 8/10, 6/1, 6/2; Appell defeated McMullen, 6/4, 4/6, 6/2; McConnell defeated Anthony, 1/6, 6/2, 6/2; Thomas defeated J. Barrett, 6/1, 6/0; Kingsbury defeated Milikan, 6/1, 5/7, 11/9; Orme defeated Brickert, 6/2, 7/5; Pray defeated Pratt, 6/4, 7/5; F. Davenport defeated Grove, by default; C. Barrett defeated Thompson, 4/6, 6/3, 7/5; Heffly defeated Ogle, 6/1, 6/0; Hapgood defeated Tibbotts, 6/1, 6/2; Trask defeated Dr. Hunter, 6/1, 6/3.

Second round. Winners—Rice, Holton, Appell, Kingsbury, Bixby, Pray, C. Barrett, Trask.

Third round. Winners—Rice, Appell, Bixby, Trask.

Semi-final round. Winners—Appell, Trask.

Final round—Appell defeated Trask, 6/2, 6/2, 6/3.

### DOUBLES.

First round—These teams drew byes: Maish and Cole, McConnell and Barrett, Hapgood and Kingsbury, Blakemore and Tucker, F. T. Davenport and Thomas, Dr. White and Levings, F. B. Davenport and Tibbotts, Mitchell and Ackerland, and Milikan and Brickert. Trask and Orme defeated Yokum and Vandewalker, 6/1, 6/4, 6/2; Anthony and Dr. Spickerman defeated Weer and Prof. Coleman, 2/6, 6/8, 6/4, 7/5, 6/2; Rice and McMullen defeated Bixby and Schaffer, 6/3, 6/3, 3/6, 6/4; Sutphin and Geddes defeated F. B. Davenport, Sr., and J. K. Kingsbury, Sr., 6/3, 6/1, 6/0; Appell and Pray defeated Redman and Dr. Hunter, 6/2, 6/3, 6/1; Ogle and Walker defeated A. Pratt and Heffly, by default; Pratt and Holton defeated Thompson and Filipino, 6/2, 6/3, 6/2.

Second round. Winners—Maish and Cole, Kingsbury and Hapgood, Trask and Orme, Sutphin and Geddes, Appell and Pray, Pratt and Holton, Tibbotts and F. B. Davenport, Mitchell and Ackerland.

Third round. Winners—Kingsbury and Hapgood, Sutphin and Geddes, Pratt, and Holton, Mitchell and Ackerland.

Semi-final round. Winners—Sutphin and Geddes, Mitchell and Ackerland.

Final round—Sutphin and Geddes defeated Mitchell and Ackerland, 8/6, 6/4, 6/1.

### MIXED DOUBLES.

First round—Mr. Walker and Miss Stillson defeated Mr. Dunn and Miss Paige, by default; Mr. Trask and Miss Potter defeated Mr. Orme and Mrs. Bain, 6/1, 6/0; Mr. Tibbotts and Miss Davenport defeated Mr. Appell and Mrs. Dorsey, by default; Mr. Holton and Miss Hutchinson defeated Mr. and Mrs. Hapgood, 6/4, 6/3.

Semi-final round. Winners—Mr. Walker and Miss Stillson, Mr. Holton and Miss Hutchinson.

Final round—Mr. Holton and Miss Hutchinson defeated Mr. Walker and Miss Stillson, 7/5, 8/6.

## CONSOLATION SINGLES.

First round—Pratt defeated Sherman, 6/0, 6/3; McMullen defeated Weer, 6/2, 6/3; Schaffer defeated J. Barrett, 6/2, 6/1; Coleman defeated Redman, 7/5, 6/4; F. B. Davenport defeated Cole, by default; Thompson defeated Dr. White, by default; Ogle defeated Tucker, by default; Anthony defeated Brickert, by default.

Second round. Winners—McMullen, Schaffer, Thompson, Anthony.

Semi-final round. Winners—McMullen, Thompson.

Final round—McMullen defeated Thompson, 6/4, 6/3, 6/2.

## Iowa State Championship.

Played at Des Moines, August 5-10, 1907.

C. S. Peters worked his way through to the finals in the Iowa State championship by sheer force of nerve and his grit, and then he lost in the challenge match to W. T. Hayes, of Toledo, O., who successfully defended his title. Scribner, the Omaha city champion, who was the victim of Peters' work in the final round, earned his way to the concluding event by fine tennis. Peters and his partner, Bradley, captured the high honors in doubles, defeating Hayes and "Doc" Blatherwick in the championship round. The summary:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—H. H. Culbertson, Cedar Rapids, defeated C. M. Stewart, Des Moines, by default; C. C. Proper, Des Moines, defeated R. D. Hutchinson, Rockwell City, by default; C. H. Goodyear, Cedar Rapids, defeated C. M. Kelchner, Des Moines, 6/3, 4/6, 6/3; J. Kingston, Des Moines, defeated W. T. Secors, Des Moines, by default; R. McKay, Ames, defeated C. L. Kahler, Des Moines, 6/3, 6/4; J. C. Hume, Des Moines, defeated R. H. Dosh, Des Moines, by default; W. E. Blatherwick, Rock Valley, defeated Manning Martin, Des Moines, by default; Olin Sweet, Des Moines, defeated G. E. MacKinnon, Des Moines, by default; J. Crawford, Des Moines, defeated J. G. Berryhill, Jr., Des Moines, by default; Fred Carr, Des Moines, defeated G. C. Hubbell, Des Moines, by default; R. Plumb, Des Moines, defeated H. Belden, Grinnell, by default; A. D. Peters, Des Moines, defeated H. E. Fredericks, Conrad, by default; C. H. Young, Omaha, defeated H. Harwood, Des Moines, 6/2, 6/4; D. T. Patton, Des Moines, defeated P. Gardner, Chicago, by default; J. Connell, Toledo, defeated E. Scott, Des Moines, 6/3, 6/3; J. T. Bailey, Albert City, defeated Charles Roe, Des Moines, by default; H. M. Huston, Ruthven, defeated F. W. Bradner, Des Moines, by default; F. W. Bradley defeated Ed Bailey, 6/2, 4/6, 6/4.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Peters and Bradley defeated Culbertson and Goodyear, 6/2, 6/2; St. Clair and Connell defeated Groves and McKay, by default; Burton and Holbrook defeated Proper and Royall, 11/9, 4/6, 6/3; Carr and Wingate defeated Rawson and Kauffman, 6/4, 3/6, 6/1; Rorem and Louck defeated Kahler and Butler, 7/5, 6/3; C. Young and Scribner defeated L. Young and Cole, 6/1, 6/4; Sweet and Watrous defeated Houston and Thatcher, by default; Bailey Bros. defeated Kelchner and Thompson, 6/3, 6/2.


Second round. Winners—Peters and Bradley, Carr and Wingate, Bailey Bros.

Semi-final round—Bailey Bros. defeated Young and Scribner, 4/6, 6/2.

Final round—Peters and Bradley defeated Bailey Bros., 6/3, 7/5, 6/4.

Championship—Peters and Bradley defeated Hayes and Blatherwick, holders, 6/3, 6/4, 4/6, 6/4.

Second round—A. H. Scribner, Omaha, defeated L. Young, Jr., Des Moines, 6/4, 6/2; C. S. Peters, Chicago, defeated E. Watrous, 6/1, 6/1; Culbertson defeated St. Clair, 7/5, default; Goodyear defeated Proper, 6/1,



**B. McKEEVER,**  
Onwentsia Club, Lake Forest, Ill.

**J. C. NEELY,**  
Chicago.

6/2; McKay defeated Kingston, 6/1, 6/0; Rorem defeated Butler, 6/1, 6/2; Burton defeated Jones, by default; Wingate defeated Guinand, 6/2, 6/2; Sweet defeated Strauss, by default; Carr defeated Plumb, 6/4, 6/4; Hamilton defeated A. D. Peters, 6/0, 6/3; C. Young defeated Patton, 6/1, 6/4; J. Bailey defeated Connell, 6/0, 6/0; Bradley defeated Huston, 6/1, 6/1.

Third round. Winners—Carr, C. S. Peters, J. Bailey, Culbertson, Scribner.

Semi-finals. Winners—Scribner, Peters.

Final round—Peters defeated Scribner, 6/1, 6/4, 6/2.

Championship—W. T. Hayes, Toledo, Ia., holder, defeated C. S. Peters, 6/4, 1/6, default.

## Illinois Championship Tourney.

Played at the Aztec Tennis Club's Courts, July 15 to 20.

The fifth annual Illinois State championship tennis tournament at the Aztec courts was marked by two features—the appearance of the veteran star Carr Neel, once ranked among the strongest tennis players in the country, and his victory in the final round over Peters and his triumph in the championship round over Harry Waidner. Besides the field of sixty enthusiastic players, many of them of the old school, this tourney proved to be a record-breaker.

Miss Carrie B. Neely won the women's premier honors and Waidner and Ross captured the men's doubles honors, defeating Byford and Gardner. Miss Neely on her sterling tennis shown in the tourney was expected to win from Miss Miriam Steever, the title holder, by many followers of the game and she did not disappoint them.

Neel unquestionably was one of the great drawing cards, many of the spectators having seen him defend the West against the invaders from the East in the '90's. They also saw a renewal of the great net work that at one time gave him such a high rank in Western tennis. Waidner fought hard and there were long and exciting rallies that brought the crowd to its feet. In short, Neel proved himself an iron man and won his honors on his merit and nerve. The summary:

### MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Castle defeated Stern, by default; McCulloch defeated Allen, by default; McKeever defeated Hammond, 7/5, 6/2; Ricker defeated Champlin, 6/2, 6/1; F. W. Castle defeated Atkinson, 1/6, 6/0, 6/2; Gardner defeated Cock, 6/1, 6/1; J. T. Bailey defeated Wynne, 6/3, 6/2; Neel defeated Lee, 6/1, 6/0; Kirk defeated Shipman, 6/2, 1/6, 6/1; Goodbody defeated Beach, 6/3, 6/1; Balmer defeated McConnell, by default; Ashcraft defeated Wilkens, 2/6, 6/4, 6/1; Burger defeated Chandler, by default; Hitz defeated Cramer, by default; Lynch defeated Donaldson, 6/0, 6/4; Burford defeated Elliott, 6/1, 6/4; Forstall defeated Crosby, by default; Henry defeated Keith, by default; Kellogg defeated Wells, 6/0, 6/0; Weadley defeated Watkins, by default; Mills defeated Eaton, by default; Peters defeated McKenzie, 6/1, 6/0; Madsen defeated Edwin Balmer, 6/4, 7/9, 7/5.

Second round—Forstall defeated Henry, 6/0, 6/3; Peters defeated Miles, 7/5, 4/C, 6/4; J. P. Bailey defeated H. P. Castle, 6/2, 7/5; Waldo defeated Wilbrenner, by default; Neely defeated McCulloch, 6/3, 6/1; Kirk defeated Watkins, 6/4, 8/6; Goodbody defeated Gookin, by default; McKeever defeated Balmer, 6/4, 4/6, 6/3; Lee defeated Hitz, by default; F. W. Castle defeated Lynch, 1/6, 6/2, 6/0; Ross defeated L. P. Burford, 6/3, 6/2; Forstall defeated Henry, 6/0, 6/3; Kellogg defeated Page, by default; Weadley defeated Ross, by default; Peters defeated R. O. Miles, 6/2, 6/1; Hayes defeated Ashcraft, 6/2, 7/5; Ricker defeated Burger, by default.

Third round—Neel defeated Bailey, 6/2, 6/2; Hayes defeated McKeever, 6/2, 6/2; Forstall defeated Lee, 6/1, 6/3; Peters defeated Kellogg, 6/2, 6/1.





1, Bower; 2, Fink; 3, Norton; 4, Larimer; 5, Wick; 6, Lux; 7, A. D. Wick; 8, Bonebrake; 9, Collinson; 10, F. Wick; 11, Neiswanger; 12, Dansey; 13, Lovell; 14, Sheldon; 15, Swan; 16, Koester.  
TOPEKA (KAN.) TENNIS CLUB.



Semi-final round—Neel defeated Hayes, 3/6, 7/9, 6/3, 6/2, 6/4; Peters defeated Forstall, 6/2, 6/8, 6/1, 6/4.

Final round—Neel defeated Peters, 6/4, 6/3, 2/6, 6/3.

Championship round—Neel defeated Waidner, 6/2, 6/3, 5/7, 6/4.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Byford and Gardner defeated Wilkens and Wilbrenner, by default; Balmer brothers defeated Klaner and partner, by default; Scudder and Mundy defeated Stern and Smith, by default; Peters and Hayes defeated Keith and Williams, by default.

Second round—Byford and Gardner defeated Balmer brothers, 6/2, 6/4, 6/2; Collins and Neel defeated Kellogg and Bailey, 6/2, 6/2, 6/4; Burford and Ricker defeated McKenzie and Fischer, 6/0, 6/1, 4/6, 6/1; Champlin and McKeever defeated Cock and Lee, 6/2, 6/4, 6/3; Kirk and Atkinson defeated Cramer and Phillipps, by default; Waidner and Ross defeated Miles and Corneau, 6/2, 6/1, 6/3; Scudder and Mundy defeated Castle brothers, 6/1, 6/2, 6/2.

Third round—Champlin and McKeever defeated Neel and Collins, 6/4, 6/3, 6/3; Waidner and Ross defeated Scudder and Mundy, 6/3, 3/6, 6/2, 6/4.

Semi-final round—Waidner and Ross defeated Hayes and Peters, 6/0, 1/6, 6/2, 6/2.

Final round—Waidner and Ross defeated Byford and Gardner, 6/2, 6/3, 3/6, 6/3.

#### WOMEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Miss Neely defeated Miss McConnell, 6/2, 6/1.

Second round—Miss Neely defeated Miss Phillips, 6/2, 6/1; Miss Lee defeated Miss Sullivan, 6/1, 6/0; Miss Marshall defeated Miss Bell, 6/1, 6/4; Mrs. Williams defeated Miss Winn, 6/1, 6/1.

Semi-final round—Mrs. Williams defeated Miss Marshall, 6/4, 3/6, 6/0.

Final round—Miss Carrie B. Neely defeated Mrs. Williams, 7/5, 6/1.

Championship round—Miss Neely (challenger) defeated Miss Miriam Steever (champion), 8/6, 3/6, 6/2.

#### WOMEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Miss Steever and Miss Neely defeated Miss Brunson and Miss Bell, 6/3, 6/0; Mrs. Williams and Miss Lee defeated Miss McConnell and Miss Marshall, 8/6, 6/2.

Semi-final round—Miss Steever and Miss Neely defeated Miss Wilkens and Miss Winn, 6/0, 6/2.

Final round—Miss Steever and Miss Neely defeated Mrs. Williams and Miss Lee, 6/0, 6/2.

#### MIXED DOUBLES.

First round—Miss Marshall and Mr. Burford defeated Miss Brunson and Mr. Weadley, 6/2, 6/3; Miss Wilkens and Mr. Wilkens defeated Miss Young and Mr. Ricker, 6/3, 7/5; Miss McConnell and Mr. Kellogg defeated Mrs. Williams and Mr. Forstall, 6/4, 3/6, 10/8.

Semi-final round—Miss Steever and Dr. Lee defeated Miss Marshall and Mr. Burford, 4/6, 6/4, 6/2; Miss McConnell and Mr. Kellogg defeated Miss Wilkens and Mr. Wilkens, 6/3, 6/0.

Final round—Miss Steever and Dr. Lee defeated Miss McConnell and Mr. Kellogg, by default.

### Kansas State Championship.

Played at Wichita Country Club, July 23-27.

The first Kansas State championship lawn tennis tournament was played on the grounds of the Wichita Country Club, Wichita, Kan., from July 23 to 27, 1907, and resulted in the success of Robert Brad-

ford in the singles and Bradford and Otto Dubach in the doubles. The grounds were very fast, and the games were hotly contested. Summary :

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—F. C. Wood defeated F. M. Truby, by default; Otto Dubach defeated E. K. Keck, 6/1, 6/0; W. Cooper defeated S. H. Hale, 6/2, 6/4; S. H. Mitchell defeated K. Cassidy, 6/2, 6/0; R. Hare defeated Hearick by default; R. Bradford defeated F. Phillips, 6/2, 6/2; A. W. Wilson defeated D. Fouts, 6/1, 3/6, 6/1; A. B. Ashley defeated O. Throckmorton, 6/2, 6/1; H. Cockerill defeated G. Griffith, 6/1, 6/2; G. Gordon defeated C. P. Clark, 6/1, 6/0; O. S. Gove defeated J. H. Turner, by default; F. Little defeated H. Gill, 6/0, 6/1; Dickinson defeated A. Oliver, 6/0, 6/1; A. B. Cockerill defeated H. Larkin, 6/0, 6/0; C. Larimer defeated P. Wheeler, 6/0, 9/7; R. Todd defeated Little, 6/2, 0/6, 7/5; F. C. Cahoun defeated R. G. Ware, by default; G. Gordon defeated O. Gove, 6/2, 6/2; C. C. Cockerill defeated A. Sternberg, 6/1, 6/3; Wilson defeated Clapp, 6/3, 6/1.

First round—Dubach defeated Wood, 6/1, 6/1; W. Cooper defeated F. S. Mitchell, 6/3, 6/4; R. Bradford defeated R. Hare, 6/2, 6/3; H. Cockerill defeated A. B. Ashley, 8/10, 6/0, 6/4; Dickinson defeated A. B. Cockerill, 0/6, 6/3, 6/4; Wilson defeated H. Cockerill, 1/6, 6/3, 4/2, default; Gordon defeated E. R. Todd, 4/6, 6/0, 6/1; C. C. Cockerill defeated E. Jackson, 2/6, 6/4, 8/6.

Second round. Winners—Dubach, Bradford, Dickinson, C. C. Cockerill.

Semi-final round. Winners—Bradford, Dickinson.

Final round—Robert Bradford defeated A. H. Dickinson, 6/4, 6/4, 6/1.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—A. B. Ashlet and S. H. Hale defeated R. H. Wilson and R. Hare, 6/4, 7/5; Truby and Mitchell defeated A. Cooper and W. Stanley, 7/5, 7/5; W. Cooper and D. Cooper defeated K. Cassidy and H. Gill, 6/2, 6/0; A. Sternberg and O. S. Gove defeated E. Jackson and C. P. Clark, by default; A. H. Dickinson and F. Cahoun defeated J. K. Munroe and G. Gorder, 14/12, 6/2; Folk and P. Leland defeated M. W. Kirkwood and M. Clapp, 6/4, 7/5; C. Cockerill and F. Phillips defeated Penley and Unkaber, 7/9, 6/2, 6/3; E. R. Todd and Fouts defeated J. W. Gibson and M. Gibson, 6/1, 6/2; R. Bradford and Dubach defeated A. B. Cockerill and H. Cockerill, 6/8, 6/1, 7/5; Cooper and D. Cooper defeated A. B. Ashlet and S. H. Hale, 7/5, 6/1; Truby and Mitchell defeated Garvin and Mooney, 6/1, 6/1.

Second round. Winners—A. H. Dickinson and F. Cahoun, W. Cooper and Don. Cooper, C. Cockerill and F. Phillips, Robert Bradford and Dubach.

Semi-final round. Winners—A. H. Dickinson and Cahoun, R. Bradford and Dubach.

Final round—R. Bradford and Dubach defeated A. H. Dickinson and F. Cahoun, 5/7, 6/4, 5/7, 8/6, 6/3.

## Kentucky State Tournament.

The highest class tennis play that has ever marked a Kentucky State tennis tournament was in evidence at the competition which ended July 22, 1907, at Estill Springs. There have been years in which there were a few more entries, but no Kentucky tourney in which those who had a chance to win out were so numerous and so evenly matched.

Players from three States—Ohio, Indiana, and Kentucky—participated, and the championship in singles was won for the third time by Dr. Karl Little, of the Westwood Tennis Club, Cincinnati, after a hotly contested match with Hugh Bates, of the Inverness Country Club, also a member of the Cincinnati Tennis League. In doubles

Bates and Little won the championship, defeating Frank Marty of the Inverness Club, Cincinnati, and Phil O'Bryan, of Louisville, in three straight sets. Miss Emily Wodall, of Covington, Ky., won the women's championship in singles from Miss Kate Bradley, also of Covington, in straight sets, 7/5, 6/2. Miss Woodall won the championship round from Miss Kellogg, of Cincinnati. Summary:

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—C. Arterburn defeated Thomas, 6/1, 6/1; Klein defeated Caldwell, 6/4, 6/3.

First round—Hunter defeated Alexander, 6/1, 12/10; Barrett defeated S. Arterburn, 6/1, 6/0; Engelhard defeated Tucker, by default; Bates defeated C. Arterburn, 6/4, 6/3; Klein defeated Kidney, by default; Blake-more defeated Rogers, 6/1, 6/2; O'Bryan defeated Garrant, 6/3, 6/4; Marty defeated McConnell, 6/1, 6/0.

Second round. Winners—Barrett, Bates, Blakemore, Marty.

Semi-final round. Winners—Bates, Marty.

Final round—Bates defeated Marty, 6/2, 6/0, 2/6, 6/4.

Challenge round—Little defeated Bates, 6/4, 2/6, 6/0, 1/6, 6/4.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Garrant and Klein defeated Kidney and Stanbery, by default; O'Bryan and Mary defeated Alexander and Caldwell, 4/6, 6/4, 6/3, 6/2; Barrett and McConnell defeated Hunter and Blakemore, 6/4, 6/3, 6/2; Little and Bates defeated Arterburn and Arterburn, 6/4, 6/3, 6/2.

Semi-final round. Winners—O'Bryan and Marty, Little and Bates.

Final round—Little and Bates defeated O'Bryan and Marty, 6/4, 6/4, 6/1.

### Maine Championship.

The final in the men's singles tennis tournament at the Kebo Valley Club was played September 9, for the Maine championship, resulting in a win for Daniel F. Rhodes of Brookline, Mass., who defeated E. Pennington Pearson of the Harvard tennis team in three straight sets. Pearson had been playing fast tennis, but in the first two sets he was completely outplayed by Rhodes, who won each at 6/2. In the third set Pearson made a splendid rally and played finely, but the superior playing of Rhodes won him the set at 7/5, and the match.

### Maryland State Championship.

E. B. Dewhurst, the former intercollegiate champion, who did not compete in any of the big tournaments, confining his play to Philadelphia and vicinity, traveled to Baltimore to defend his title as Maryland champion and holder of the handsome challenge cup of the Catonsville Country Club. He proved himself to be in his old-time form, for he put a period to the flight of R. C. Seaver, the Massachusetts champion, defeating him in the challenge round with the loss of only one set.

Seaver had come through a field of some sixty players, defeating N. W. Niles in the semi-finals and F. C. Colston, the Baltimore crack, in the final round, the latter victory being achieved in straight sets. The doubles went to F. C. Colston and Niles, who defeated R. C. Seaver and M. A. Chase, they having previously disposed of Dewhurst and C. Colston and Harvey and Leonard.

The tournament started September 10, but was interrupted by rain and carried over for ten days. Summary:



F. C. Colston.  
Chas. Leonard.

E. B. Dewhurst.  
R. C. Seaver.

A GROUP OF PROMINENT PLAYERS AT THE CATONSVILLE  
TOURNAMENT, BALTIMORE, MD.

## SINGLES.

First round—R. C. Seaver defeated A. M. Knapp, 6/1, 6/3; M. A. Chase defeated Thomas Hughes, by default; H. M. French defeated Ross Scott, by default; Curran Harvey defeated C. K. Gibson, 6/1, 6/1; Campbell Colston defeated E. B. Clary, 8/6, 6/2; William Walker defeated John Stewart, by default; Fred Colston defeated R. W. Hill, 7/5, 6/1; Charles Leonard defeated W. Stuart Symington, 6/4, 6/4; Rufus Goodnow defeated Charles Brooke, by default; Isaac S. George defeated Daniel Miller, Jr., 6/2, 5/7, 8/6; N. W. Niles defeated E. Hillman, by default; John E. Ensor defeated Dr. C. H. Beitem, 6/1, 6/0; R. B. Deford defeated T. S. Offutt, 7/9, 6/4, 6/3; John Kerr defeated W. W. Baldwin, by default; R. L. James defeated Thomas B. Harrison, 6/0, 6/3; Dr. J. Penrose defeated R. D. Davis, by default.

Second round. Winners—M. A. Chase, C. Colston, F. Colston, C. Leonard, N. Niles, J. S. Ensor, J. Kerr, R. C. Seaver.

Third round. Winners—C. Colston, F. Colston, Niles, Seaver.

Semi-final round. Winners—Seaver, F. Colston.

Final round—Seaver defeated F. Colston, 6/2, 6/3, 6/3.

Challenge round—E. B. Dewhurst defeated R. C. Seaver, 8/6, 6/4, 3/6, 6/4.

## DOUBLES.

First round—Brown and Whitehurst defeated Harrison and Chesnut, 2/6, 6/2, 6/2; Coffin and Magruder defeated Deford and Orrick, 6/2, 6/3; Colston and Niles defeated Clary and George, 6/2, 6/3; Gibson and Hammond defeated Knapp and Knapp, by default; Dewhurst and Colston defeated Symington and Hill, 6/1, 6/3; Brooke and Kerr defeated Brown and Whitehurst, 6/3, 6/3; Seaver and Chase defeated Ensor and Offutt, 6/3, 6/2; Brown and Scott defeated Walker and Bowie, by default; Harvey and Leonard defeated Hills and Ballinger, 4/6, 6/3, 7/5.

Second round. Winners—Colston and Niles, Dewhurst and Colston, Seaver and Chase, Harvey and Leonard.

Semi-final round—Niles and Colston defeated Dewhurst and Colston, 6/1, 6/3.

Final round—Niles and Colston defeated Seaver and Chase, 8/6, 6/2.

## Massachusetts State Championship.

R. C. Seaver again won the Massachusetts championship. N. W. Niles came through the tournament at Longwood, June 15, as challenger, but he was unequal to the task of wresting the title from the holder, who won in straight heats.



The fifty-five entries included all the prominent Massachusetts players, save the Wrights. The field was admirably handled, and the semi-finals were reached on the second day. At the opening of the tournament Sulloway, Foster, Niles, and Johnson were picked for the favorites, and all came through to the semi-finals. The finals brought Sulloway and Niles together. Sulloway did magnificent work, but a continuance of the brilliant play which Niles had put up all through the tournament enabled him to come out victorious. He won by making the most of the possibilities of the back court game.

The challenge match between N. W. Niles, challenger, and R. C. Seaver, defender, brought out a large audience of tennis enthusiasts. Last year Seaver won the match easily from Sulloway, and this year experts predicted that while he would win from Niles, he would have a hard fight for it. But Niles was not seen at his best and Seaver won even more easily than the score showed, 6/3, 6/3, 6/4.

### Summaries :

Preliminary round—N. W. Niles defeated C. Bishop, 6/0, 6/3; E. R. Speare defeated A. W. Blakemore, 6/2, 9/7; R. B. Gring defeated R. S. Lov-





1—Harry D. Kirkover, Champion of Western New York, 1906. 2—R. H. Palmer, a Prominent Eastern Player, Member of the Ridgewood (N. J.) Tennis Club. 3—E. P. Larned. 4—W. L. Myers. Pittsburg Field Club, Champion Western Pennsylvania.

ering, 3/6, 6/0, 6/0; R. Bishop defeated G. Beals, 6/3, 6/4; A. S. Dabney defeated C. B. Wilbar, 6/2, 6/2; D. S. Niles defeated F. B. Taylor, 6/2, 6/4; C. C. Pell defeated G. B. Kayser, 6/1, 6/1; P. H. Knight defeated J. H. Chase, by default; H. I. Foster defeated J. B. Read, 8/6, 6/4; R. J. Leonard defeated C. W. Bates, 3/6, 6/0, 10/8; W. A. Colwell defeated A. W. Jackson, by default; F. W. Cole defeated E. Whitney, 6/8, 6/2, 6/4; C. F. Johnson, Jr., defeated C. E. Barker, 9/7, 6/1; W. E. Putnam, Jr., defeated R. C. Bray, 8/6, 2/6, 8/6; H. C. Johnson defeated R. W. Coues, 6/3, 9/7; W. S. Warland defeated L. H. Martin, 6/1, 6/1; T. B. Plumptre defeated H. S. Appleton, 6/3, 6/4; E. H. George defeated H. W. Powell, by default; E. H. Fay defeated H. McG. Pierce, 7/5, 10/8; A. B. Hillman defeated F. W. Knaught, by default; F. F. De Rham defeated C. G. Plumptre, 7/5, 6/3; A. N. Reggio defeated A. S. Pier, 8/6, 6/2; F. J. Sulloway defeated W. A. Bradford, by default.

First round—G. J. Gardiner, Jr., defeated E. A. Meade, 6/0, 6/3; A. S. Sweetser defeated G. F. Spaulding, 6/2, 6/1; Niles defeated Speare, 6/3, 6/4; Bishop defeated Gring, 6/3, 6/4; Dabney defeated Niles, 6/1, 6/1; Pell defeated Knight, 6/1, 6/3; Foster defeated Leonard, 6/4, 7/5; Cole defeated Colwell, 6/4, 6/2; Johnson, Jr., defeated Putnam, 6/1, 6/0; Johnson defeated Warland, 6/3, 6/2; Plumptre defeated George, 4/6, 6/2, 6/2; Fay defeated Hillman, 6/1, 6/8, 6/0; Reggio defeated De Rham, 6/4, 4/6, 8/6; Sulloway defeated N. W. Cabot, 6/2, 6/3; R. W. De Cormes defeated J. O'Shea, 4/6, 8/6, 6/1; A. P. Hawes defeated S. L. Beals, 6/0, 4/6, 6/3.

Second round. Winners—Sweetser, Niles, Dabney, Foster, Johnson, Fay, Sulloway, Hawes.

Third round. Winners—Niles, Foster, Johnson, Sulloway.

Semi-final round. Winners—Niles, Sulloway.

Final round—Niles defeated Sulloway, 6/4, 6/1, 2/6, 7/5.

Challenge round—Seaver defeated Niles, 6/3, 6/3, 6/4.

## New Jersey State Championship.

E. P. Larned won the New Jersey State championship at Morristown, in the tourney beginning September 15, over a field including F. G. Anderson, the holder, in the challenge round; R. C. Seaver, N. W. Niles, R. H. Palmer, W. C. Grant, C. C. Kelley, C. F. Watson, Jr., and others. The doubles, mixed doubles and women's singles also filled well, and were productive of many exciting contests.

Larned put out in succession Niles, B. S. Prentice, W. C. Grant, and Seaver—no mean task, it will be seen. He finished the job by taking the measure of F. G. Anderson in a match that was hard fought but not productive of very good tennis. Larned had to fight hard to beat Grant, the latter getting the first set and carrying the deciding one to 8/6 before succumbing.

The doubles were won by T. R. Pell and Robert LeRoy, who met the new doubles team, W. C. Grant and R. H. Palmer, in the final round. Miss Elizabeth H. Moore, the former national champion, scored a deserved victory against her old opponent, Miss Marie Wagner, in the final round of the women's singles. Partnered with W. C. Grant, Miss Moore carried off the mixed doubles event, the final round accruing to them through the default of Mr. and Mrs. Clarence Hobart. The women's doubles fell to Mrs. W. H. Pouch and Miss Marie Wagner, who won in straight sets from Miss Alice L. Day and Miss Adele Kruse. The summary:

### MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Norman Johnson, New York L.T.C., defeated Robert M. Beckley, New York L.T.C., by default; Albert J. Hinck, Montclair A.C., defeated G. W. Blood, Englewood F.C., 6/3, 6/2; Lindsay Dunham, Morristown

F.C., defeated George W. Sallinger, Hollywood G.C., by default; Otto H. Hinck, Montclair A.C., defeated Josiah Macy, Morristown F.C., 6/2, 6/2; A. Roche, Morristown F.C., defeated Clifford M. Crapo, Montclair A.C., by default; N. G. Norris, Ridgewood G.C., defeated W. Colton, Morristown F.C., 7/5, 6/1; C. W. Throckmorton, Morristown F.C., defeated Louis J. Grant, New York L.T.C., 6/3, 6/3; Miles S. Charlock, Elizabeth Town and C.C., defeated Herman Behr, Morristown F.C., 6/2, 6/3; Byron S. Prentice, Seabright, defeated H. Stonier, Orange, 6/2, 6/0.

Second round. Winners—Larned, Watson, Jr., Stevens, Grant, Kelley, Hinck, Charlock, Prentice, Niles, Chase, Seaver, Smith.

Third round. Winners—Larned, Watson, Jr., Grant, Glazebrook, Seaver, Kelley, Hinck.

Fourth round. Winners—Larned, Grant, Seaver, Kelley.

Semi-final round. Winners—Larned, Seaver.

Final round—Larned defeated Seaver, 6/4, 1/6, 7/5, 6/3.

Challenge round—Larned (challenger) defeated Anderson (holder) 6/4, 8/6, 3/6, 6/4.

#### WOMEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Miss E. W. Smith defeated Miss Pierson, 6/2, 6/0; Miss Colton defeated Miss Marion Swords, 6/1, 6/1; Miss E. Souther defeated Miss S. K. Brown, 6/0, 6/2; Mrs. J. A. H. Hopkins defeated Miss Natalie Foot, 6/3, 6/4; Miss Elizabeth Moore defeated Miss Natalie Wildey, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Edna Wildey defeated Miss Kruse by default; Mrs. George L. Chapman defeated Mrs. William H. Pouch, by default; Miss Jane Swords defeated Miss Ames, 6/4, 4/6, 6/2; Mrs. B. C. Edgar defeated Miss Jewett, by default; Miss Marie Wagner defeated Mrs. Clarence Hobart, 6/3, 4/6, 6/4.

Second round. Winners—Miss Smith, Mrs. Auferman, Mrs. Edgar, Miss Moore, Miss Wagner.

Third round. Winners—Miss Souther, Mrs. Auferman.

Semi-final round. Winners—Miss Moore, Miss Wagner.

Final round—Miss Moore defeated Miss Wagner, 4/6, 6/2, 6/4.

#### WOMEN'S CHAMPIONSHIP DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Miss E. Souther and Mrs. B. C. Edgar, Orange and Ilderan, defeated Miss Pierson and Miss K. Ames, Morristown A.C., 6/0, 6/2; Miss Alice L. Day and Miss Adele Kruse, Morristown and Cincinnati, defeated Miss Jane Swords and Mrs. Marshall MacLean, Morristown F.C., 6/3, 4/6, 6/3; Miss Freeman and Miss Reynolds defeated Miss Margaret Behr and Miss Marion Swords, 4/6, 6/4, 6/2; Miss Marie Wagner and Mrs. William H. Pouch defeated Miss Edna Wildey and Miss Natalie Wildey, 6/3, 12/10.

First round. Winners—Miss Wagner and Mrs. Pouch, Miss Moore and Mrs. Chapman.

Semi-final round. Winners—Mrs. Pouch and Miss Wagner, Miss Day and Miss Kruse.

Final round—Mrs. Pouch and Miss Wagner defeated Miss Day and Miss Kruse, 6/2, 6/4.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Karl H. Behr and Edwin P. Larned defeated M. S. Borden and partner, by default; N. G. Norris and Bigelow Watts defeated Robert M. Beckley and partner, by default; S. H. Gillespie and C. H. Littell defeated Leon S. Freeman and G. F. Morris, by default; Wylie C. Grant and Richard H. Palmer defeated J. A. H. Hopkins and C. A. Richards, 6/2, 6/1; C. Frederick Watson, Jr., and George M. Miles defeated Clifford Crapo and E. S. H. Pendergast, 9/7, 6/3; N. W. Niles and R. C. Seaver defeated Dr. Francis H. Glazebrook and Walter Hazard, 6/2, 6/1; Otto H. Hinck and Albert J. Hinck defeated Neal Stevens and Miles S. Charlock, 6/1, 6/2.

First round. Winners—Stonier and Groves, Pell and LeRoy, Throckmorton and Sanford, Grant and Palmer, Behr and Larned, Roche and Platt, Grant and Wilmerding, Watson, Jr., and Miles.

Second round. Winners—Behr and Larned, Pell and LeRoy, Throckmorton and Sanford, Grant and Palmer.

Semi-final round. Winners—Grant and Palmer, Pell and LeRoy.

Final round—Theodore R. Pell and Robert LeRoy defeated W. C. Grant and R. H. Palmer, 6/2, 6/4, 7/5.

### MIXED DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Miss Elizabeth H. Moore and Wylie C. Grant defeated Miss Natalie Wildey and Dean Mathey, by default.

First round—Miss Margaret Behr and Karl H. Behr defeated Miss Natalie Wildey and Dean Mathey, by default; Mrs. B. C. Edgar and L. Dunham defeated Mr. and Mrs. William H. Pouch, 7/5, 6/3; Mrs. George L. Chapman and N. W. Niles defeated Mrs. Kate De Forest Brice and C. W. Throckmorton, 6/0, 6/3; Mrs. W. C. Auferman and Dr. F. H. Glazebrook defeated Mr. and Mrs. J. A. H. Hopkins, 6/1, 8/6; Mrs. MacLean and J. MacLean defeated Miss Colton and L. S. Freeman, 2/6, 6/4, 6/1; Miss Edna Wildey and H. McK. Glazebrook defeated Mr. and Mrs. Joseph Willis, 6/2, 6/4; Mr. and Mrs. Clarence Hobart defeated Miss Adele Kruse and R. C. Seaver, 6/2, 6/3.

Second round. Winners—Mr. and Mrs. MacLean defeated Mrs. Auferman and Dr. Glazebrook, 6/2, 6/3.

Semi-final round. Winners—Mr. and Mrs. Clarence Hobart, Miss Moore and W. C. Grant.

Final round—Miss Moore and W. C. Grant defeated Mr. and Mrs. Hobart, by default.

## New York State Championships.

Harold H. Hackett, of the West Side Tennis Club, again won the challenge round for the title of lawn tennis champion of New York State. The victory of Hackett on the courts of the New York Athletic Club over Herbert L. Westfall, of the Kings County Lawn Tennis Club, gives the holder the second leg on the silver bowl offered last year by the Staten Island Cricket and Tennis Club. The final round was between Frederick G. Anderson, a veteran at the game, and Herbert L. Westfall. There probably was small expectation that Anderson would lose, but in a hard five-set match Westfall carried off the honors.

Herbert L. Westfall and Wylie C. Grant, of the New York Lawn Tennis Club, won the championship in the doubles. The pair took the title without competition as Hugh Tallant and W. D. Lyon, the West Side pair, failed to appear. The challenge match was also dispensed with as T. R. Pell and Harry Torrance were not on hand to defend the honors which they won last year on the courts at Staten Island.


### Summary :

### MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—H. H. Burdick, Park Hill C.C., defeated R. T. Bryan, N.Y.L.T.C., by default; F. C. Inman, N.Y.L.T.C., defeated W. H. Hall, Hamilton Grange T.C., by default; R. H. Palmer, Ridgewood, defeated W. L. Righter, Plainfield, by default; H. Tallant, West Side, defeated G. Steinacher, Hamilton Grange, by default.

First round—G. W. Salinger, Hollywood, defeated A. L. Newton, N.Y.A.C., 6/4, 7/5; F. G. Anderson, Kings County, defeated E. R. Gillette, N.Y.A.C., 6/1, 6/1; G. E. Touchard, Hamilton Grange T.C., defeated W. H. Connell, N.Y.L.T.C., by default; Floyd Smith, Sleepy Hollow T.C., defeated J. C. Torrey, Harlem T.C., by default; Dr. A. G. Keane, N.Y.A.C., defeated B. S. Prentice, West Side T.C., by default; A.





GALLERY AT ONE OF THE MIDDLE WEST CHAMPIONSHIP MATCHES, OMAHA FIELD CLUB, 1907.



Eisemann, Ocean C.C., defeated D. F. Lealand, N.Y.A.C., 9/7, 6/0; W. V. Bennett, West Side T.C., defeated N. G. Johnson, N.Y.L.T.C., 6/0, 6/3; W. K. Gillette, N.Y.A.C., defeated E. F. Leo, Far Rockaway, 6/2, 6/0; N. W. Niles, Longwood C.C., defeated H. D. Montgomery, 6/3, 7/5; King Smith, N.Y.A.C., defeated M. Burt, Hamilton Grange T.C., 7/5, 7/5; R. Perry, N. Y. University, defeated O. H. Hinck, Montclair A.C., by default; A. Bassford, Jr., N.Y.L.T.C., defeated Greylock, by default; L. J. Grant, N.Y.L.T.C., defeated R. D. Palmer, by default; E. P. Fox, N.Y.L.T.C., defeated W. L. Pate, Brooklyn T.C., by default; H. S. Appleton defeated M. S. Charlock, Elizabeth T. and C.C., by default; W. D. Lyon, West Side T.C., defeated G. P. Gardner, by default; D. E. Roberts, N.Y.L.T.C., defeated A. C. Bostwick, Knollwood C.C., 6/2, 6/4; H. L. Westfall, Kings County T.C., defeated C. M. Bull, Jr., Crescent T.C., 6/2, 6/2; A. Gerlach, Princeton, defeated A. J. Delmar, N.Y.L.T.C., by default; Rosenbaum, Harlem T.C., defeated A. J. Hinck, Montclair A.C., by default; W. C. Grant, N.Y.L.T.C., defeated T. R. Pell, N.Y.L.T.C., by default; Palmer defeated Burdick, 6/1, 6/3; Tallant defeated Inman, 6/2, 6/3; R. Ritchie, University Heights F.C., defeated M. S. Clark, N.Y.L.T.C., by default; H. Mollenhauer, Kings County L.T.C., defeated H. Torrance, Englewood F.C., by default; L. H. Fitch, West Side T.C., defeated F. W. Hague, Kings County, 6/1, 6/4; B. F. Dennis, N.Y.A.C., defeated W. M. Hall, Hamilton Grange, by default; J. C. Bowden, Harvard, defeated A. L. Hoskins, Belmont C.C., by default; G. L. Wyeth, N.Y.L.T.C., defeated R. L. James, Belmont C.C., by default; H. A. Wolf, Ocean C.C., defeated A. L. Williston, Kings County, 5/7, 6/4, 8/6; C. C. Kelley, West Side, defeated F. J. Bass, N.Y.A.C., 6/0, 6/4.

Second round—F. G. Anderson defeated George W. Salinger, 6/3, 6/0; George F. Touchard defeated Floyd Smith, 6/3, 6/2; A. Gerlack defeated A. Eiseman, 6/1, 6/3; W. K. Gillett defeated W. V. Lyon, 6/3, 3/6, 7/5; R. H. Palmer defeated Wylie C. Grant, by default; L. H. Fitch defeated B. S. Dennis, 6/3, 6/2; N. W. Niles defeated J. C. Bowden, 6/0, 6/1; G. L. Wyeth defeated Walter Hazard, 8/6, 7/5; H. A. Wolff defeated King Smith, 6/0, 6/4; H. L. Westfall defeated Reginald Perry, by default; Dr. Arthur G. Keane defeated F. P. Fox, 6/2, 6/0; Dimon E. Roberts defeated Dr. William Rosenbaum, 7/5, 6/2; W. V. Bennett defeated H. S. Appleton, by default; Hugh Tallant defeated R. Ritchie, 6/1, 6/1; Henry H. Mollenhauer defeated Louis J. Grant, 6/3, 6/2; Carleton C. Kelley defeated Abraham Bassford, Jr., 6/0, 6/3.

Third round—G. Anderson defeated George F. Touchard, 6/4, 6/4; Dr. Arthur G. Keane defeated Anthony Gerlack, 6/0, 6/0; W. V. Bennett defeated Dimon E. Roberts, 6/1, 6/3; Henry H. Mollenhauer defeated Hugh Tallant, 6/3, 6/2; N. W. Niles defeated L. H. Fitch, 8/6, 6/1; G. Lorraine Wyeth defeated Henry A. Wolff, 6/4, 6/0; Herbert L. Westfall defeated Carleton C. Kelley, 6/4, 6/3; R. H. Palmer defeated W. K. Gillett, 6/2, 6/1.

Fourth round—G. Anderson defeated Dr. Arthur G. Keane, 6/0, 8/6; R. H. Palmer defeated W. V. Bennett, 6/2, 7/5; Herbert L. Westfall defeated G. Lorraine Wyeth, 6/2, 6/1; N. W. Niles defeated Henry H. Mollenhauer, 6/3, 8/6.


Semi-final round—Frederick G. Anderson defeated R. H. Palmer, 6/4, 6/3; Herbert L. Westfall defeated N. W. Niles, 6/3, 3/6, 7/5.

Final round—Herbert L. Westfall, New York L.T.C., defeated Frederick G. Anderson, Kings County L.T.C., 4/6, 7/5, 1/6, 6/3, 6/3.

Championship round—Harold H. Hackett, West Side T.C. (holder), defeated Herbert L. Westfall, Kings County L.T.C. (challenger), 10/8, 6/4, 7/5.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—A. C. Bostwick and F. C. Inman defeated Louis J. Grant and B. S. Dennis, 6/2, 6/2; R. H. Palmer and Dr. William Rosenbaum defeated David R. Todd and James Robertson, 3/6, 6/0, 6/4; D. F. Leland and J. C. Bowden, Harvard, defeated R. H. Mallory and C. C. Kelley, New York A.C. and West Side L.T.C., by default.



1—W. S. Symington, Captain of the Baltimore Country Club, which won the Waite Cup. 2—E. B. Clary, Captain Maryland Athletic Club, which finished second in the Baltimore Town Tennis Association. 3—A group of players at the first meeting.

First round—N. W. Niles and A. L. Williston, Longwood C.C. and Kings County L.T.C., defeated Dr. A. G. Keane and L. H. Freedman, New York A.C., 6/2, 6/3; D. F. Leland and J. C. Bowden, Harvard, defeated A. C. Bostwick and F. C. Inman, Knollwood C.C., by default; R. D. Palmer and Dr. William Rosenbaum, Ridgewood G.C. and Harlem, defeated E. K. Gillett and H. H. Burdick, New York A.C. and Park Hill, 6/1, 6/3; D. E. Roberts and G. L. Wyeth, New York L.T.C., defeated E. and H. Guggenheim, Long Branch, by default; Wylie C. Grant and Herbert L. Westfall defeated A. Bassford, Jr., and Frederick P. Fox, 6/1, 6/1; Hugh Tallant and W. V. Lyon defeated Georg. W. Salinger and Henry A. Wolff, 4/6, 6/4, 7/5; L. H. Fitch and Floyd Smith defeated R. Ritchie and C. F. Curtis, 3/6, 6/2, 6/3; Frederick G. Anderson and Henry H. Mollenhauer defeated George F. Touchard and E. F. Lee, 4/6, 7/5, 6/0; R. H. Palmer and Dr. William Rosenbaum defeated E. K. Gillett and H. H. Burdick, 6/1, 6/3.

Second round—Hugh Tallant and W. V. Lyon defeated L. H. Fitch and Floyd Smith, 6/4, 10/8; H. H. Mollenhauer and Frederick G. Anderson, Kings County L.T.C., defeated N. W. Niles and A. L. Williston, Longwood C.C. and Kings County L.T.C., 7/5, 6/4; W. C. Grant and H. L. Westfall, New York L.T.C., defeated D. F. Leland and J. C. Bowden, Harvard, 6/3, 6/1; R. H. Palmer and Dr. William Rosenbaum, Ridgewood and Harlem, defeated D. E. Roberts and G. L. Wyeth, New York L.T.C., 6/2, 8/10, 6/2.

Semi-final round—W. C. Grant and H. L. Westfall, New York L.T.C., defeated H. H. Mollenhauer and F. G. Anderson, Kings County, 6/2, 6/2; Hugh Tallant and W. V. Lyon, West Side, defeated R. H. Palmer and Dr. William Rosenbaum, Ridgewood and Harlem, 6/2, 6/1.

Final round—Wylie C. Grant New York L.T.C., and Herbert L. Westfall, Kings County L.T.C., defeated Hugh Tallant and W. D. Lyon, West Side T.C., by default.

#### CONSOLATION SINGLES.

Preliminary round—F. C. Inman defeated B. S. Dennis, 6/3, 6/4.

First round—R. Ritchie defeated H. H. Burdick, 6/1, 6/1.

Second round—Dr. William Rosenbaum, Harlem T.C., defeated A. L. Williston, Kings County L.T.C., 6/4, 4/6, 6/4.

Semi-final round—Dr. William Rosenbaum, Harlem T.C., defeated H. G. Montgomery, Siwanoy T.C., 6/4, 6/8, 6/3; M. Burt, Hamilton Grange L.T.C., defeated Roy Ritchey, University Heights F.C., 6/0, 6/1.

Final round—M. Burt, Hamilton Grange L.T.C., defeated Dr. William Rosenbaum, Harlem T.C., 1/6, 1/6, 8/6, 5/2, withdrawn.

### Ohio State Championship.

For the third time in succession, G. L. Wyeth, of the New York Lawn Tennis Club, New York, won the Ohio State championship, August 24, the courts of the East End Tennis Club, Cleveland, O., thus becoming owner of the cup. He defeated his clubmate, R. T. Bryan, after a contest that went the full five sets and abounded in fine play. Summary:

#### SINGLES.

First round—H. C. Wick, Jr., Cleveland, defeated W. R. Gilbert, Cleveland, 6/1, 8/6; S. T. Nash, Cleveland, defeated S. W. Cabell, Cleveland, 6/4, 6/2; J. C. Royon, Cleveland, defeated A. P. Tallman, Cleveland, 6/3, 6/3; C. W. Stage, Cleveland, defeated H. Pope, Chicago, 5/7, 6/4, 8/6; Philip Eckert, Cincinnati, defeated H. E. McMillin, Jr., Cleveland, by default; W. C. Stahle, Cleveland, defeated Joe Fogg, Cleveland, 6/1, 6/3; R. T. Bryan, New York, defeated G. A. Welch, Cleveland, 6/1, 6/0; A. B. Thompson, Cleveland, defeated N. B. Horton, Cleveland, 6/2, 6/2; J. N. Stockwell, Cleveland, defeated L. B. Blakemore, Cincinnati, 6/2, 6/1; K. C. Bolton, Cleveland, defeated R. S. Silver, Clevel-



1. Laughlin; 2. Woolson; 3. Sage; 4. Fraker; 5. Gibson; 6. Kirkbride; 7. Waldo; 8. Schilling; 9. Eddy; 10. Garlinghouse; 11. Pratt; 12. Fiske; 13. Carson, Jr.; 14. Cary; 15. Prindle; 16. Price; 17. Aschcroft; 18. Watkins; 19. Hatch; 20. Walker.  
 AMERICAN SCHOOL OF OSTEOPATHY TENNIS CLUB, KIRKSVILLE, MO., 1908. Moore, Photo.



land, 6/3, 6/2; R. C. Murphy, Oberlin, defeated Joe Foster, Jr., Cleveland, 6/4, 6/2; Harry Pettee, Cleveland, defeated Lee Malone, Cleveland, 6/0, 6/0; C. R. Apthorp, Cleveland, defeated A. C. Ingersoll, Cleveland, 6/0, 6/0; C. W. Blossom, Cleveland, defeated A. S. Ingersoll, Cleveland, 3/6, 9/7, 6/3; S. W. Hubbard, Cleveland, defeated R. P. Nash, Cleveland, 6/2, 3/6, 6/2; T. P. Goodbody, Toledo, defeated O. W. Prescott, Cleveland, 6/1, 6/4.

Second round. Winners—Nash, Royon, Eckert, Bryan, Pettee, Goodbody, Stockwell, Blossom.

Third round. Winners—Royon, Bryan, Pettee, Goodbody.

Semi-finals. Winners—Bryan, Pettee.

Final round—Bryan defeated Pettee, 6/2, 6/2, 6/1.

Challenge round—G. L. Wyeth (holder) defeated R. T. Bryan (challenger), 8/6, 0/6, 6/2, 4/6, 6/1.

#### DOUBLES.

First round—Bryan and Wick defeated Stockwell and Stage, 6/2, 6/3; A. C. and A. S. Ingersoll defeated Blossom and Foster, 8/6, 6/4; Hubbard and Royon defeated Tallman and Horton, 6/1, 6/8; Goodbody and Murphy defeated Welch and Whittemore, 6/3, 6/2.

Second round—Apthorp and Fogg defeated Blakemore and Eckert, 8/6, 6/3; Goodbody and Murphy defeated Hubbard and Royon, 6/4, 9/7; Bryan and Wick defeated Ingersoll brothers, 6/1, 6/1; Nash and Bolton defeated Prescott brothers, 6/8, 6/1, 6/2.

Semi-final round. Winners—Bryan and Wick, Goodbody and Murphy.

Final round—Bryan and Wick defeated Goodbody and Murphy, 6/3, 6/4, 6/1.

## Oklahoma State Tournament.

By A. B. BEATSON.

The second annual invitation tennis tournament of Oklahoma was held at the club courts, Oklahoma City, July 16, 17 and 18, 1907. The weather conditions were by no means favorable, owing to heavy rains on the 15th. Great interest was taken in the tournament by lovers of the sport. The visitors included players from the different sections of the State, also from Texas.

Handsome silver cups were offered by the club to the winners of the singles and doubles and gold medal rackets were kindly presented to the runners-up in the singles and doubles by A. G. Spalding & Bros.

W. Wilder of Carmen played a steady game, defeating W. Robertson in the final after a five-set match. Play throughout the tournament was of higher standard than in the previous year. Summaries:

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—R. A. Vose, Oklahoma City, defeated William Alsbaugh, Oklahoma City, 6/2, 6/4; W. Robertson, Oklahoma City, defeated C. R. Steele, Kingfisher, 6/4, 6/0; Frank Moore, Hydro, defeated Takasaki, 6/2, 6/0; H. G. Wright, Oklahoma City, defeated A. B. Beatson, Chandler, 6/8, 6/3, 6/1; R. S. Green, Dallas, Tex., defeated E. J. Peters, Shawnee, 6/3, 6/3; W. G. Shields defeated A. P. Kirk, Oklahoma City, 6/1, 4/6, 6/3; W. E. Ellington, Dallas, defeated W. A. Lybrand, Oklahoma City, 6/0, 6/1; R. E. Conger, Oklahoma City, defeated V. E. Alden, Norman, 6/4, 6/2; J. H. Ellington, Dallas, defeated Morgan, 6/2, 6/0; H. F. Prussing, Oklahoma City, defeated A. C. Robertson, Oklahoma City, 6/0, 6/0.

Second round—C. T. Speice, Kingfisher, defeated B. H. Epperson, Ada, 6/1, 6/3; R. A. Vose defeated A. M. Taber, Dallas, by default; W. Robertson defeated Frank Moore, Hydro, 10/8, 2/6, 6/2; R. S. Green defeated H. G. Wright, 6/1, 4/6, 7/5; W. G. Shields defeated W. E. Ellington,



6/1, 6/0; R. E. Conger defeated J. H. Ellington, 6/2, 6/2; N. A. Downing, Wellston, defeated H. F. Prussing, by default; W. Wilder, Carmen, defeated F. D. Lucas, Gotebo, 4/6, 6/1, 6/3.

Third round. Winners—C. T. Speice, W. Robertson, R. E. Conger, W. Wilder.

Semi-final round. Winners—W. Robertson, W. Wilder.

Final round—W. Wilder defeated W. Robertson, 2/6, 6/2, 6/1, 4/6, 6/1.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Speice and Steele defeated Moore and Robinson, 6/4, 6/0; Green and Peters defeated Beatson and Kirk, 6/2, 4/6, 6/2; Vose and Conger defeated Alden and Morgan, 6/0, 6/1; Ellington and Ellington defeated Small and Cull, by default; Alspaugh and Lybrand defeated Warren and Epperson, by default; Shields and Robertson defeated Wilder and Lucas, 6/0, 6/2.

Second round—Speice and Steele defeated Baker and Downing, 6/0, 6/0; Vose and Conger defeated Green and Peters, 6/3, 6/2; Ellington and Ellington defeated Alspaugh and Lybrand, 6/4, 6/2; Shields and Robertson defeated Wright and Prussing, 6/1, 6/2.

Semi-final round. Winners—Speice and Steele, Shields and Robertson.

Final round—Shields and Robertson defeated Speice and Steele, 8/6, 6/1, 6/1.

### Pennsylvania State Championship.

By winning by default over William J. Clothier, Joseph R. Carpenter, Jr., became the holder of the title of champion of Pennsylvania for 1907. Clothier, the old champion, won the trophy twice, and another victory would have given him the permanent possession of it. E. B. Dewhurst has also won the trophy twice, and Clothier's default will make both play through the whole tournament this year in order that either may win the trophy.

The doubles were fiercely contested from start to finish. In the very first round a most remarkable match took place, forty-two games being played in one set, which probably establishes a new record for the United States as to the longest set recorded. The contestants in this remarkable set were W. H. Trotter and F. E. Dixon, who were opposed to J. Rendall and H. J. Rendall. The Rendall brothers played remarkably well, their team work being excellent, and at times both showed excellent judgment. The first set went to W. H. Trotter and F. E. Dixon, 6/3, but the next set was the record-breaker. The games alternated until "twenty-all" was called and neither side had weakened, it looked like an all-night affair. But by cleverly placed shots the victors managed to win their twenty-first game on their opponents' serve and then the deciding one on their own serve, thus capturing the set.

The doubles championship went to W. J. Clothier and George H. Brooke, who defeated the old champions, E. B. Dewhurst and J. R. Carpenter, Jr. Summaries:

#### CHAMPIONSHIP SINGLES.

First round—Russell Thayer defeated K. Pfeleiderer, 6/2, 6/0; McCloud Thompson defeated Ewing Stille, 4/6, 6/1, 6/0; W. H. Trotter defeated P. L. Wimer, 6/3, 6/0; W. L. Landreth defeated M. L. Harris, 6/0, 6/0; M. T. Payne, Jr., defeated H. W. Tilden, 7/5, 3/6, 6/3; J. K. Willing defeated F. H. Gibbs, 8/6, 6/1; E. B. Dewhurst defeated F. J. Grugan, 6/2, 6/3; W. F. Johnson defeated H. C. Townsend, 6/2, 4/6, 6/3; H. J. Rendall defeated M. B. Colkett, 4/6, 7/5, 6/3; J. H. Fassitt defeated S. Townsend, 6/3, 6/2; W. M. Tilden defeated C. C. Willits, 7/5, 6/2; A. L. Hoskins defeated H. H. Sayres, 6/2, 6/2; R. Evans, Jr., defeated M. S. Phillips, 6/2, 8/6; R. L. James defeated F.

B. Hawk, 1/6, 6/2, 6/1; H. B. Register defeated F. McAllister, 6/0, 6/2; J. R. Carpenter, Jr., defeated A. Thayer, 6/2, 6/2.

Second round—McCloud Thompson defeated R. Thayer, Jr., 6/3, 6/3; W. H. Trotter defeated W. L. Landreth, 5/7, 6/0, 6/2; J. K. Willing defeated M. T. Pyne, Jr., 6/1, 6/4; E. B. Dewhurst defeated W. F. Johnson, 6/4, 9/7; H. J. Rendall defeated J. H. Fassitt, 7/5, 6/3; W. M. Tilden defeated A. L. Hoskins, 7/5, 5/7, 6/4; R. L. James defeated R. Evans, 6/2, 7/5; J. R. Carpenter, Jr., defeated H. B. Register, 6/1, 8/6.

Third round—W. H. Trotter defeated McCloud Thompson, 6/8, 8/6, 6/0; E. B. Dewhurst defeated J. K. Willing, 6/1, 7/5; H. J. Rendall defeated W. M. Tilden, 2/6, 6/1, 6/4; J. R. Carpenter, Jr., defeated R. L. James, 4/6, 6/0, 6/4.

Semi-final round—E. B. Dewhurst defeated W. H. Trotter, 6/0, 8/6; J. R. Carpenter Jr., defeated H. J. Rendall, 6/3, 6/5.

Final round—J. R. Carpenter defeated E. B. Dewhurst, 6/4, 6/4.

Challenge round—J. R. Carpenter, Jr., won by default from W. J. Clothier.

### CHAMPIONSHIP DOUBLES.

First round—F. H. Bates and S. H. Collins defeated C. C. Willits and J. H. Fassitt 6/2, 6/3; Ewing Stille and W. Jordan defeated S. H. Fleming and W. L. McCoy, 8/6, 6/2; J. O. Downey and M. B. Colkett defeated E. Krumbharr and V. C. Mather, by default; McCloud Thompson and M. J. Pyne defeated J. McClintock and F. McAllister, 6/4, 6/2; W. J. Clothier and G. Brooke defeated R. and A. Thayer, 6/3, 6/1; W. J. Johnson and H. B. Register defeated R. L. James and P. B. Hawk, 6/3, 7/5.

Second round—W. J. Clothier and G. H. Brooke defeated F. S. Bates and S. H. Collins, 6/3, 6/0; W. F. Johnson and H. B. Register defeated W. H. Trotter and F. E. Dixon, 4/6, 6/2, 6/3; Ewing Stille and W. Jordan defeated J. O. Downey and W. B. Colkett, 4/6, 6/4, 6/4; W. T. Landreth and J. B. Clothier defeated McCloud Thompson and M. T. Pyne, 0/6, 7/5, 6/2.

Semi-final round—W. J. Clothier and G. H. Brooke defeated H. B. Register and W. H. Trotter, 4/6, 6/2, 6/3; E. Stille and W. Jordan defeated W. T. Landreth and J. B. Barton, 6/3, 6/3.

Final round—W. J. Clothier and G. H. Brooke defeated E. Stille and W. Jordan, 6/2, 6/3.

Challenge round—W. J. Clothier and G. H. Brooke defeated E. B. Dewhurst and J. R. Carpenter, Jr., 7/5, 6/2, 6/1.

### CONSOLATION SINGLES.

Won by H. M. Tilden, who defeated P. B. Hawks in the finals, 7/5, 11/3, 9/7.

### CONSOLATION DOUBLES.

Won by H. J. Rendall and J. Rendall, who defeated R. L. James and P. B. Hawk in the final round, 6/1, 6/2, 9/7.

## Rhode Island State Championship.

Both of the Rhode Island State tennis titles—singles and doubles—changed hands in the tournament at the Agawam Hunt in September. J. D. E. Jones, of the Wannamoisett Country Club, who was defeated in the championship challenge match a year ago by Russell N. Dana, of Pawtucket, a fellow club member, won back the title at the close of a four-set match, the score being 3/6, 6/4, 7/5, 6/1, while E. Tudor Gross, Agawam Hunt and Wannamoisett clubs, and Dana recaptured the doubles title. Summaries:

## SINGLES.

First round—A. Ingraham defeated H. D. Wilcox, 6/0, 7/5; C. S. Brigham defeated Maj. J. C. W. Brookes, by default; J. O. Ames defeated C. Hale, 6/4, 6/1; E. T. Gross defeated S. Henshaw, 6/2, 6/2; E. G. Chace defeated E. R. Bancroft, by default; H. E. Thomas defeated R. Freeman, 6/4, 1/6, 10/8; E. V. Page defeated C. Greene, 6/3, 6/0; J. C. Cosseboom defeated R. Wilson, by default; T. H. Guild defeated H. W. Stiess, by default; W. W. Weeden defeated F. R. Tucker, by default; W. H. Preston defeated C. R. Branch, 7/5, 4/6, 7/5.

Second round. Winners—J. O. Ames, E. T. Gross, E. V. Page, T. H. Guild, W. H. Preston, J. D. E. Jones, A. A. Barrows, H. A. Mackinney.

Third round. Winners—Ames, Jones, Gross, Barrows.

Semi-final round. Winners—Gross, Jones.

Final round—Jones defeated Gross, 6/2, 9/7, 6/3.

Challenge round—J. D. E. Jones (challenger) defeated R. N. Dana (holder), 3/6, 6/4, 7/5, 6/1.

## DOUBLES.

First round—E. T. Gross and R. N. Dana defeated H. E. Thomas and R. Freeman, 6/3, 6/0; A. A. Barrows and D. F. George defeated C. O. Cooke and D. L. Richardson, 6/1, 6/2.

Second round—H. A. Mackinney and F. R. Budlong defeated W. E. Braman and E. T. Billings, 6/1, 6/1; E. T. Gross and R. N. Dana defeated C. Hale and S. Henshaw, 6/2, 6/4; C. S. Brigham and E. R. Bancroft defeated A. Ingraham and J. C. Cosseboom, by default; A. A. Barrows and D. F. George defeated J. O. James and E. V. Page, 6/4, 7/5.

Semi-final round. Winners—E. T. Gross and R. N. Dana, A. A. Barrows and D. F. George.

Final round—E. T. Gross and R. N. Dana defeated A. A. Barrows and D. F. George, 8/10, 3/6, 6/3, 6/1, 6/2.

Challenge round—E. T. Gross and R. N. Dana (challengers) defeated J. D. E. Jones and H. D. Wilcox (holders), 5/7, 6/3, 6/4, 6/4.

## Tennessee State Championship.

In the doubles and singles championships of Tennessee Cowan Rodgers, undoubtedly Tennessee's best player and the man who held the singles title in 1905, came back into his own. The Knoxville man played the best tennis of his career in the tournament, though the victory was not cinched at any point until the end was reached. In the doubles the Rodgers brothers, of Knoxville, champions of last year, looked like sure winners. Thornton and Scott, of Atlanta, and Day and Farrell, of Nashville, met in the finals, however, and the Nashville team won.

Cowan and Charles Rodgers, of Knoxville; Norman Farrell, Jr., and J. Floyd Day, of Nashville; and Nat Thornton and L. D. Scott, of Atlanta, were the star players in the tournament. Dr. Little lasted to the semi-finals, when Cowan Rodgers took his measure, after a fierce struggle.

Day had troubles from the start. In his very first match he had to defeat Nat Thornton, who won the Southern championship the following week. This he did, however, in straight sets, 6/2, 6/4. Next he put off his team mate, Norman Farrell, Jr., and then polished off Hugh H. Bates.

The final brought together Day and Rodgers and the affair was protracted. The full five sets were played, three of which went to duce. Rodgers won.

The tournament was played from June 27 to 29 on the courts of the Nashville Tennis Club. The summaries:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Norman Farrell, Jr., Nashville, defeated Victor Smith, Atlanta, by default; J. Floyd Way, Nashville, defeated Nat. Thornton, Atlanta, 6/2, 6/4; Hugh H. Bates, Cincinnati, defeated C. L. Winn, Nashville, 6/1, 6/2; Charles Rodgers, Knoxville, defeated Percy Cox, Nashville, by default; L. D. Scott, Atlanta, defeated E. W. Daley, Nashville, 3/6, 6/1, 6/3; Cowan Rodgers, Knoxville, defeated Hence Orne, Indiana, 6/0, 6/1; Karl Little, Cincinnati, defeated Lee Douglas, Nashville, 6/2, 6/1; A. B. Moore, University of Virginia, defeated W. D. Mooney, Nashville, 4/6, 6/0, 6/1.

Second round. Winners—Day, Bates, Rodgers, Little.

Semi-final round. Winners—Day, Rodgers.

Final round—Rodgers defeated Day, 4/6, 6/1, 7/5, 6/8, 7/5.

Challenge round—Rodgers (challenger) defeated Hunt (holder), by default.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Douglass and Vaughn, Nashville, defeated Lawrence and Moore, Nashville and U. of Va., 6/2, 6/2; Day and Farrell, Nashville, defeated Little and Bates, Cincinnati, 6/3, 10/8.

Semi-final round—Scott and Thornton defeated Douglass and Vaughn, 6/2, 6/4; Day and Farrell defeated Mooney and Orne, 6/1, 6/1.

Final round—Day and Farrell defeated Scott and Thornton, 6/3, 6/2, 7/5.

Challenge round—Day and Farrell (challengers) defeated Rodgers brothers (holders), 1/6, 6/3, 8/6, 6/4.

---

## Texas State Championship.

Claude Butlin and Paul and Harvey McQuiston, from the city of Mexico, won the Texas singles and doubles championship in the annual State tournament. Butlin and H. M. McQuiston came through to the final in the singles and Butlin won later, defeating C. C. Cresson, the holder. The McQuistons, playing together, disposed of Russ and Cresson in the final of the doubles in a five-set match, and then defeated the holders, Walthall and Walthall, in straight sets. The summary:

## SINGLES.

Preliminary round—A. M. Taber defeated R. R. Wilson, 6/2, 6/0; H. P. Wick defeated G. W. Morse, 0/6, 8/6, 6/3; W. E. Ellington defeated Henry Hay, 6/4, 4/6, 6/0; C. D. Cain defeated E. Beeman, 6/0, 6/1.

First round—W. R. Styron defeated F. C. Bishop, 6/3, 6/3; Charles Bolanz defeated O. R. Seiter, 6/4, 3/6, 6/4; L. B. McCulloch defeated L. M. Pittman, 4/6, 6/2, 6/1; Harry Munz defeated Robert Shelton, 6/0, 6/1; R. Geen defeated Tom Holland, 7/7, default; C. M. Butlin defeated C. Boaz, 6/0, 6/0; P. D. McQuiston defeated G. A. Courtney, 6/1, 6/0; Taber defeated Wick, by default; Ellington defeated Cain, 4/6, 6/1, 7/5; Semp Russ defeated H. L. Head, 6/1, 6/4; V. Richard defeated P. G. Horton, 5/7, 6/1, 6/2; R. S. Mead defeated E. A. Lee, 6/2, 6/2; W. Walthall defeated J. B. Adone, Jr., 6/4, 6/4; H. M. McQuiston defeated J. H. Ellington, 6/1, 6/4; G. R. Payne defeated Freeman Hall, 6/3, 6/2; Haller Belt defeated H. G. Fairbanks, 7/5, 6/4.

Second round. Winners—Bolanz, Munz, Butlin, McQuiston, Russ, Richard, McQuiston, Payne.

Third round. Winners—Munz, Butlin, Russ, McQuiston.

Semi-final round. Winners—Butlin, McQuiston.

Final round—Butlin defeated McQuiston, 6/4, 6/2, 7/5.

Challenge round—Butlin (challenger) defeated C. C. Cresson, Jr. (holder), 6/3, 6/2, 3/1, default.

## DOUBLES.

First round—Ellington and Ellington defeated Head and Wilson, 6/4, 6/2; Russ and Cresson defeated Shelton and Hall, 6/2, 6/1; McCulloch and Bishop defeated Taber and Wick, by default; Lee and Mead defeated Geen and Styron, 6/3, 1/6, 6/3; Fairbanks and Horton defeated Bolanz and Adone, 6/3, 4/6, 7/5; Munz and Payne defeated Suter and Belt, 6/0, 6/1; Butlin and Holland defeated Huse and Huse, 6/3, 6/2; McQuiston and McQuiston defeated Courtney and Richard, 6/3, 6/4.

Second round. Winners—Russ and Cresson, Lee and Mead, Munz and Payne, McQuiston and McQuiston.

Semi-final round. Winners—Russ and Cresson, McQuiston and McQuiston.

Final round—McQuiston and McQuiston defeated Russ and Cresson, 2/6, 7/9, 7/5, 6/1, 6/1.

Challenge round—McQuiston and McQuiston (challengers) defeated Walthall and Walthall (holders), 6/1, 6/3, 8/6.

## Tri-State Tennis Championship.

Played at the Courts of the Cincinnati (O.) Tennis Club,  
September 1-7, 1907.

Robert LeRoy, of New York, picked from one of the largest fields that ever played in the Tri-State Tennis Championship as a likely winner from the opening rounds, met R. C. Seaver, of Boston, in the final round and victory went to the young New Yorker, who thus gained possession of the George DeCamp trophy for the period of a year. LeRoy had fulfilled expectations from the start and a big throng turned out to see the finals, which were fought hard and long like many another match in this interesting tourney. LeRoy won the first set and lost the second, but came back hard and strong and captured the third and fourth and the honors.

Another feature of this tourney was the comparatively easy victory of Miss May Sutton, of California, in the women's singles, the sturdy western girl getting additional honors in the mixed doubles by winning with Mr. Wright as her partner and getting the championship round from Miss Dodd and Mr. LeRoy because of the illness of the former. Nat Emerson and his partner, R. D. Little, proved to be invincible in the doubles. The summary:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Lincoln Mitchell defeated Anthony, 6/1, 6/1; Ackerland defeated Roubush, 6/2, 6/4; Trux Emerson defeated Dodd, 7/5, 6/4; Wick defeated Ralston, 4/6, 6/1, 6/1; Dr. Karl Little defeated Middleton, 6/2, 6/3; Lewis defeated Westfall, by default; Breed defeated Eckert, 6/3, 6/1; Oehmig defeated Scott Bailey, 6/2, 6/0; Wright defeated Ritchey, 6/2, 6/4; Peebles defeated Johannigsmann, 6/0, 6/3; Garrison defeated Drewery, 6/1, 6/0; Sage defeated DuBray, 6/4, 1/6, 6/3; Dunlap defeated De Camp, 6/4, 6/1; Emerson defeated Holters, 6/1, 6/0; Esses defeated Zimmerman, 6/3, 8/1; Mitchell defeated George Bailey, 6/3, 6/2; Van Vossen defeated Mitchell, 6/2, 7/5; Morris Mitchell defeated Blakemore, 6/2, 7/5; Marty defeated Tucker, 6/1, 6/1; Stephens defeated Menzies, 7/5, 8/6; Schaf defeated Barnes, 6/1, 6/2; Hunt defeated Palmer, 6/2, 6/4; I. C. Wright defeated Rodgers, by default; Pickard defeated Kline by default; Chase defeated Pugh, 6/0, 6/1; LeRoy defeated McCall, 6/2, 6/2; Fitton defeated Kahn, 6/3, 6/1.

Second round—Breed defeated Lewis, 6/1, 6/3; Peebles defeated Nat. Wright, 6/2, 6/3; Lincoln Mitchell defeated Ackerland, 6/3, 6/2; Garrison defeated Sage, 6/3, 6/4; Apel defeated Beecher, 6/0, 6/2; Farrell defeated McEachern, by default; Farber defeated Howell, 1/6, 6/4, 6/1; Woodruff defeated Grant, by default; De Camp defeated Smith, 1/6, 6/4, 7/5; Day defeated Wentzel, 6/4, 8/6; Seaver defeated Brickert,



6/0, 6/0; Feary defeated Powell, by default; Niles defeated Marsh, by default; Thompson defeated Rodgers, by default; Pfau defeated Byer, 4/6, 6/4, 5/4; Moch defeated Colquitt, by default; Palmer defeated Greenebaum, by default; Thompson defeated Orne, 1/6, 6/2, 6/4; Dugan defeated Thornton, by default.

Third round—H. T. Emerson defeated Pickard, 6/1, 5/7, 6/2; Wright defeated Mark Mitchell, 9/7, 6/1; Marty defeated Wick, 11/9, 6/2; Little defeated Stephens, 6/1, 6/2; Chase defeated Oehmig, 6/2, 6/4; LeRoy defeated Dunlap, 6/2, 6/0; Tyler defeated Patton, 5/7, 6/4, 7/5; Nat. Emerson defeated Esses, 6/1, 6/4.

Fourth round—Robert Mitchell defeated De Camp, 6/4, 8/6; Niles defeated Feary, 6/4, 6/2; Schaaf defeated Thompson, 3/6, 6/4, 8/6; G. K. Thompson defeated Dugan, 6/4, 6/3; R. D. Little defeated Farber, 6/1, 6/4; Seaver defeated Wright, 8/6, 6/4; LeRoy defeated Nat. Emerson, 6/3, 6/3; Palmer defeated Hunt, 4/6, 6/3.

Semi-final round—Seaver defeated R. D. Little, 6/0, 2/6, 6/2, 5/7, 6/3; LeRoy defeated Palmer, 6/0, 6/3, 8/6.

Final round—LeRoy defeated Seaver, 8/6, 6/8, 8/2, 6/0.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—R. H. Palmer and Nelson Peebles defeated Mark Mitchell and Louis Woodruff, 6/2, 6/4, 6/4; Morton Feary and Norman Beecher defeated Hence Orne and C. W. McConnell, 3/6, 6/1, 6/2, 6/3; J. Floyd Day and Norman Farrell defeated E. S. Du Bray and J. H. Schaaf, 6/1, 6/1, 6/3; P. Eckert and W. A. Roubush defeated Harry Coombe and Stanley Lewis, 6/4, 6/4, 6/1; J. V. Greenbaum and A. N. Kahn defeated E. Brickert and Harvey M. Anthony, 6/2, 6/0, 6/2; John Mitchell and Francis Harrison defeated Cowan Rodgers and Charles Rodgers, by default; Robert LeRoy and Irving Wright defeated H. V. Stephens and Hill, 6/0, 6/1, 6/0; Bell Bishop and H. Johannismah defeated James Kidney and Hugh Bates, 6/1, 6/3, 7/5.

Second round—H. T. Emerson and W. F. Hunt defeated Richardson and S. J. Thompson, 6/0, 6/3, 6/0; Ackerland and Marty defeated Fitton and Pfau, 6/3, 4/6, 5/7, 6/4, 7/5; Little and Garridon defeated McCall and Wick, 6/0, 6/2, 6/4; Appel and Chase defeated Tucker and Blackmore, 6/1, 6/1, 6/3; Seaver and Niles defeated Gores and Wright, 6/4, 6/1, 6/0; LeRoy and I. C. Wright defeated Stephens and Hill, 6/0, 6/1, 6/0; Farber and Dunlap defeated Esses and Townley, 7/3, 6/3, 4/5, 6/1.

Third round—Palmer and Peebles defeated Parry and Beecher, 6/2, 6/4, 6/4; De Camp and Mills defeated Mitchell and Harrison, 6/2, 6/0, 8/6; LeRoy and I. C. Wright defeated Farber and Dunlap, 6/2, 6/3, 6/4.

Fourth round—Hunt and Emerson defeated Palmer and Peebles, 5/7, 6/1, 6/4, 9/11, 6/4.

Semi-final round—LeRoy and I. C. Wright defeated Nat. Miles and R. C. Seaver, 6/3, 7/5, 6/3; R. D. Little and Nat. Emerson defeated Trux Emerson and W. Hunt, 6/3, 9/7, 4/6, 6/1.

Final round—Nat. Emerson and R. D. Little defeated LeRoy and I. C. Wright, 5/7, 7/5, 3/6, 6/2, 6/2.

#### WOMEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Miss Frances Smith defeated Mrs. H. F. Emerson, by default.

First round—Miss Ruth Cowing defeated Miss Smith, 6/3, 6/1; Miss Breed defeated Miss Ayres, 7/5, 2/6, 6/2; Miss Kruse defeated Miss Ackerland, 4/6, 6/1, 7/5; Miss Stimson defeated Miss Miller, 6/2, 6/2; Miss Kinsey defeated Miss Taussig, 8/6, 6/1; Miss Noyes defeated Miss Bell, 6/1, 6/4.

Second round—Miss Kinsey defeated Miss Noyes, 6/4, 6/0; Miss Breed defeated Miss Wachman, 7/5, 6/2; Miss Cowing defeated Miss Stimson, 6/2, 6/0; Miss Kruse defeated Miss Fillmore, 6/1, 6/2.

Final round and championship—Miss May Sutton defeated Miss Martha Kinsey, 6/1, 6/1.



1—Miss Golda Meyer, Champion Golden Gate Club Annex. 2—George Janes, California and Golden Gate Clubs; Pacific States Doubles Champion with M. McLoughlin. 3—Miss Ida Mearns, President Golden Gate Park Club Annex.

## WOMEN'S DOUBLES.

Semi-final round—Miss Cowing and Miss Kinsey defeated Miss Ackerland and Miss Wachman, 6/0, 6/1.

Final round—Miss Cowing and Miss Kinsey defeated Miss Kruse and Miss Breed, 6/1, 6/4.

## MIXED DOUBLES.

First round—Miss Cowing and Mr. Palmer defeated Miss Mitchell and Mr. Mitchell, 6/4, 6/4; Miss Ackerland and Mr. Niles defeated Miss Kinsey and Mr. Kinsey, 6/1, 6/1.

Second round—Miss Dodd and Mr. LeRoy defeated Miss Kruse and Mr. Peebles, 6/2, 9/7; Miss May Sutton and Mr. I. C. Wright defeated Miss Tausig and Mr. Wentzel, 6/2, 6/2.

Semi-final round—Miss Sutton and Mr. Wright defeated Miss Cowing and Mr. Palmer, 6/2, 6/2.

Final and championship round—Miss Sutton and Mr. Wright defeated Miss Dodd and Mr. LeRoy, by default, Miss Dodd being ill.

## Tri-State Lawn Tennis Association Tournament.

Played at Sioux City, Ia., August 12-19, 1907.

In the Tri-State Lawn Tennis Association tournament at Sioux City, C. S. Peters showed his prowess by taking the finals from John Barton, of Sioux Falls, and then capturing the championship title from W. T. Hayes, whose wrist gave out in the competition after the battle stood even all. The same old weird, backhand stroke that Peters used with deadly execution all season, up to his appearance at Sioux City, served to win for the Chicagoan over Barton, conqueror of Blatherwick, in the finals in straight sets. The holders of the doubles championship successfully defended their title against Barton and Phillips, which gave the premier honors to Hayes and Blatherwick. The summary:

## MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Carey defeated Keith, 1/6, 6/3, 6/4; Wilder defeated Wiltse, 7/5, 6/1; Foster defeated Lynch, 3/6, 7/5, 6/4; Bailey defeated O'Connell by default; C. Van Dyke defeated Taylor by default; Holbrook defeated Ballacher, 10/8, 6/2; Barton defeated Fraser, 6/4, 6/3; Beels defeated McNeill, by default; Ashley defeated Overholster, 6/2, 6/3; Mathewson defeated King, 6/2, 6/2; LeGrange defeated D. A. Mathewson, by default; Peters defeated Couchie, by default; Gordon defeated Morgan, 6/0, 6/1; Blatherwick defeated J. W. Van Dyke, 6/1, 6/1; Hiles defeated Scribner, by default; Toy defeated Huntington, 6/0, 6/2; Stiger defeated Ellis, 6/0, 6/1; Wilcott defeated Fred Toy, by default; King defeated Burton, by default; Erskine defeated Bullem, by default; Howell defeated Skobe, 6/0, 6/0; Dale defeated Robinson, 6/0, 6/2; Eby defeated Fuller, 6/3, 6/2; Knowles defeated Foulke, 6/0, 6/0; Arthur defeated Durliner, 6/2, 7/5; Whitman defeated Westwood, 1/6, 6/1, 6/2; Pitts defeated Hammer, 3/6, 6/0, 6/3; Mitchell defeated Davis, 6/3, 6/0; Murphy defeated Ireton, by default; Rerick defeated Cornell, 6/1, 6/0; Comin defeated Clark, 6/0, 6/0; Payne defeated Dahlin, 6/2, 6/1.

Second round. Winners—Blatherwick, Bailey, Holbrook, Pitts, Barton, Murphy, Hiles, Ashley, Mathewson, Peters, Gordin, Stiger, King, Howell.

Third round. Winners—Wilder, Peters, Stiger, Howell, Blatherwick, Holbrook, Burton, Hiles.

Fourth round. Winners—Blatherwick, Barton, Peters, Howell.


Semi-final round—Barton defeated Blatherwick, 6/3, 6/3, 7/5.

Final round—Peters defeated Barton, 6/2, 6/2, 6/4.

Championship round—Peters defeated Hayes, 2/6, 5/7, 6/0, 6/1, default.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Championship round—Hayes and Blatherwick (holders), defeated Barton and Phillips, 6/4, 6/2, 6/8, 4/6, 6/4.



1—Maurice McLoughlin, Pacific States Singles Champion, and with George Janes (3), Doubles Champions. 2—Frank Hobson, Golden Gate Junior Club, Grammar School Champion. 4—Robert Strachan, Golden Gate Junior Club, Junior Champion Pacific States.

## Vermont State Championship.

Semp Russ, of San Antonio, Texas, again won the Vermont State championship. Theodore B. Parker, a Wellesley High School boy, was the winner of the tournament; but was unable to wrest the championship from the title-holder in the challenge round. Russ with Cresson won the doubles tournament and challenged Fairbanks and Sprague for the title, defeating the holders in a four-set match. The summary of the play follows:

### MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Rust defeated White, 7/5, 6/0; Lincoln defeated Bowen, by default; Spaulding defeated Bennett, by default; Peck defeated Dempsey, by default; Grover defeated Taft, 6/3, 6/1; Parker defeated Powel, 9/7, 6/4; Hooker defeated Tinker, by default; Cresson defeated Stafford, 6/1, 6/0; Knauth defeated Batchelder, 3/6, 6/2, 6/3; Wadleigh defeated Steele, 6/4, 3/6, 6/2; Ricker defeated Niles, by default; Ayer defeated Sibley, 6/1, 6/3; Harris defeated Sweetser, by default; Tomlinson defeated Nelson, 6/3, 6/2; Fairbanks defeated Sprague, 6/1, 2/6, 6/3; Kent defeated Henshaw, 6/3, 6/3.

Second round. Winners—Rust, Spaulding, Parker, Hooker, Knauth, Ayer, Tomlinson, Kent.

Third round. Winners—Spaulding, Parker, Ayer, Kent.

Semi-final round. Winners—Parker, Kent.

Final round—Parker defeated Kent, 5/7, 6/4, 6/1, 6/4.

Challenge round—Russ (holder) defeated Parker (challenger), 6/1, 6/2, 4/6, 6/1.

### MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Knauth and Powel defeated Henshaw and White, 6/4, 2/6, 6/3; Hooker and Kent defeated Grover and Nelson, 6/2, 6/2.

Second round—Rust and Tomlinson defeated Lincoln and Stafford, 6/1, 6/0; Knauth and Powel defeated Parker and Sibley, 6/3, 6/2; Hooker and Kent defeated Ricker and Spaulding, 6/2, 6/0; Cresson and Russ defeated Steele and Tinker, 6/1, 6/0.

Semi-final round. Winners—Rust and Tomlinson, Cresson and Russ.

Final round—Cresson and Russ defeated Rust and Tomlinson, 6/1, 6/1, 6/4.

Challenge round—Cresson and Russ (challengers) defeated Fairbanks and Sprague (holders), 6/0, 4/6, 7/5, 6/4.

### CONSOLATION SINGLES.

First round—Nelson defeated Steele, 6/3, 6/4; Lincoln defeated Peck, by default; Henshaw defeated White, 6/3, 6/3; Sprague defeated Powel, 5/7, 6/0, 9/7; Ricker defeated Stafford, 6/3, 6/4.

Second round. Winners—Nelson, Henshaw, Sprague, Harris.

Semi-final round. Winners—Henshaw, Harris.


Final round—Harris defeated Henshaw, 3/6, 6/4, 6/4, 6/4.

## West Virginia State Championship.

By R. A. JOHNSON.

The second annual invitation tennis tournament for the championship of West Virginia was held at the Parkersburg Country Club, Parkersburg, W. Va., September 9, 10 and 11, 1907, and was the largest and most successful event ever held at the club. The weather was all that could have been desired, and the four clay courts were in perfect condition and very fast. The entry list was unusually large, there being thirty-six entries in singles, and eighteen teams





GOLDEN GATE JUNIOR TENNIS CLUB, SAN FRANCISCO, CAL.

in doubles, and comprised players from all sections of the State. Informal affairs on the first and second days of the tournament, and a large club dance on the evening of the third day brought to a close a most successful meet.

The handsome silver challenge cup was won by Ward White in the challenge round from Everett Drennen (holder), and this match was productive of clever racket work. White showed great form and won rather easily in straight sets, 6/3, 6/1, 6/3.

Individual cups were presented to J. O. Watson, runner-up in singles, and to White and Drennen, winners in doubles. Handsome flasks were also presented to R. A. Johnson, winner in singles, and to Baker and Henderson, winners in doubles, in the consolation events. The adding of the latter was a new feature of the tournament, and proved to be a satisfactory arrangement. Summary:

### MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Fleming defeated Aleshire, by default; Hall defeated Powell, 6/0, 6/0; Burt defeated Long, 4/6, 6/3, 7/5; Niswander defeated Wilson, by default.

First round—B. White defeated Hanlon, 6/3, 3/6, 7/5; Ross defeated Henderson, 6/4, 2/6, 6/1; Purinton defeated Sale, 6/1, 6/1; Wallace defeated Palmer, 6/3, 4/6, 6/4; Kingsley defeated Kyte, by default; Hubbard defeated Weaver, by default; W. White defeated Townsend, by default; Fleming defeated Hall, 8/6, 5/2; Burt defeated Niswander, 7/5, 5/7, 6/0; Vest defeated Kincaid, 6/1, 6/1; J. Shrewsbury defeated Bloch, by default; Baker defeated Caldwell, by default; Watson defeated Johnson, 6/1, 6/2; M. Shrewsbury defeated T. Powell, by default; Burley defeated Staats, by default; Schuh defeated Humphries, by default.

Second round—Ross defeated B. White, 6/1, 4/6, 6/3; Purinton defeated Wallace, 6/0, 6/3; Hubbard defeated Kingsley, 6/0, 6/0; W. White defeated Fleming, 6/2, 6/3; Burt defeated Vest, 6/8, 6/2, 6/4; J. Shrewsbury defeated Baker, 5/7, 6/1, 6/4; Watson defeated M. Shrewsbury, 6/2, 2/6, 7/5; Burley defeated Schuh, 8/10, 6/1, 6/1.

Third round—Purinton defeated Ross, 6/3, 8/6; White defeated Hubbard, 6/2, 6/2; J. Shrewsbury defeated Burt, 7/5, 6/1; Watson defeated Burley, 6/4, 6/2.

Semi-final round—White defeated Purinton, 6/3, 6/2; Watson defeated J. Shrewsbury, 6/2, 5/7, 8/6.

Final round—White defeated Watson, 4/6, 6/3, 3/6, 6/0, 6/1.

Challenge round—White (challenger) defeated Drennen (holder), 6/3, 6/1, 6/3.

### MEN'S DOUBLES.


Preliminary round—Purinton and Watson defeated Ross and White, 6/2, 6/4; Caldwell and Bloch defeated Powell and Wilson, by default.

First round—Drennen and White defeated Baker and Henderson, 6/4, 4/6, 6/2; Halland Shrewsbury defeated Fleming and Long, 1/6, 6/3, 6/4; Kincaid and Hanlon defeated Burley and Burt, 6/3, 6/3; Purinton and Watson defeated Powell and Schuh, 6/1, 6/1; Hubbard and Palmer defeated Caldwell and Bloch, by default; Niswander and Henderson defeated Townsend and Staats, by default; Johnson and Shrewsbury defeated Weaver and Humphries, by default; Vest and Sale defeated Wallace and Kingsley, 7/5, 8/5.

Second round—Drennen and White defeated Hall and Shrewsbury, 6/3, 6/1; Watson and Purinton defeated Kincaid and Hanlon, 6/1, 6/1; Hubbard and Palmer defeated Niswander and Henderson, 6/3, 6/3; Johnson and Shrewsbury defeated Vest and Sales, 4/6, 7/5, 6/4.

Semi-final round—Drennen and White defeated Watson and Purinton, 6/3, 6/2; Johnson and Shrewsbury defeated Hubbard and Palmer, 7/5, 6/4.

Final round—Drennen and White defeated Johnson and Shrewsbury, 6/1, 7/5, 6/2.



GROUP OF PLAYERS AND SPECTATORS IN THE CONTEST AT DEL MONTE, CAL., DURING FINAL MATCHES OF PACIFIC STATES LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION ON SEPTEMBER 9, 1907.  
Arnold, Photo.

## CONSOLATION SINGLES.

First round—Schuh defeated Niswander, 7/5, 3/6, 7/5; Long defeated Baker, 6/2, 6/3; Johnson defeated Palmer, 6/1, 6/2; Shrewsbury defeated Hanlon, 5/7, 6/3, 6/1; Sale defeated Powell, 6/4, 6/3

Second round—Schuh defeated Kincaid, by default; Johnson defeated Long, 6/1, 6/0; Sale defeated Shrewsbury, 6/1, 6/2; Henderson defeated Kingsley, 6/1, 6/0.

Semi-final round—Johnson defeated Schuh, 6/3, 6/0; Sale defeated Henderson, 6/3, 6/2.

Final round—Johnson defeated Sale, 6/2, 3/5, 6/4, 6/3.

## CONSOLATION DOUBLES.

First round—Baker and Henderson defeated Fleming and Long, 6/0, 6/3; Ross and White defeated Niswander and Hall, 7/5, 6/1; Burley and Burt defeated Powell and Schuh, 6/3, 6/3.

Second round—Baker and Henderson defeated Ross and White, 4/6, 6/0, 6/3; Burley and Burt defeated Wallace and Kingsley, 6/3, 5/6, 6/3.

Final round—Baker and Henderson defeated Burley and Burt, 6/4, 7/5, 6/3.

## Wisconsin State Tennis Tournament.

Played at Milwaukee, August 12-18, 1907.

Wisconsin's state championship tennis title rests with a Philadelphia player, R. J. Crozier, who defeated Robert N. McMynn of the Cream City in the annual tourney at the Town Club courts. The Quaker was speedier in covering the courts than his Milwaukee rival, who is the senior of the champion by many years. The doubles championship remains in the State, however, as Waldemar and Henry Helmholz defeated Thornton Green and T. E. Watson.

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Helmholz defeated Riebs, 6/3, 7/5; Miller defeated Tapping, 6/0, 6/4; McMynn defeated Simeon, 6/4, 6/1; Ernest defeated Jackson by default; Brewster defeated Gibb, 6/4, 10/8; Behr defeated Whitwell by default; Spence defeated Barney, 9/7, 6/4; Whyte defeated Hibbard, 6/2, 7/5; Clarkson defeated Patton, 6/1, 6/2; O'Mera defeated Loesch, 3/6, 8/6, 6/4; R. A. Loesch defeated J. Barr, 7/5, 6/2.

First round—Green defeated Helmholz, 6/8, 12/10, 6/1; McMynn defeated Miller, 6/3, 6/1; Waterton defeated Brewster, 6/1, 3/6, 6/2; Watson defeated Herdigan, by default; Spence defeated Behr, 3/6, 6/3, 6/4; Clarkson defeated Whyte, 6/0, 6/4; Loesch defeated O'Mera, 6/4, 6/1; Crozier defeated Neuman, 6/1, 6/1.

Second round—Green defeated Watson, by default; McMynn defeated Ernest, 6/2, 9/7.

Semi-final round—McMynn defeated Green, 11/9, 6/2, 3/6, 6/4; Crozier defeated Clarkson, 11/9, 5/7, 6/2, 5/7, 7/5.

Final round—Crozier defeated McMynn, 6/4, 6/0, 6/2.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Crozier and Green defeated Graber and Melins, 6/0, 6/0; Brewster and Behr defeated Patton and Bournique, 6/3, 6/1; Gibbs and Spence defeated Barr and Weaver, 6/4, 6/2, 6/2.

Second round—Crozier and H. Green defeated Worcester and Baer, 6/2, 6/1, 7/5; W. Helmholz and H. Helmholz defeated R. Loesch and J. Loesch, 6/2, 6/2, 6/2; Watson and T. Green defeated Gibbs and Spence, 0/6, 5/7, 7/5, 6/3, 6/3; Clarkson and McMynn defeated Hibbard and Tapping by default.

Semi-final round—T. Green and T. Watson defeated McMynn and Clarkson, 7/5, 1/6, 3/6, 7/5, 6/4.

Final round—Helmholz brothers defeated Watson and Green, 0/6, 6/4, 6/2, 6/3.





1—Melville H. Long, California and Golden Gate Club, State and Southern California Champion. 2—Carlton R. Gardner, California and Golden Gate Club, Bay Counties Doubles Champion with M. Long. 3—Chas. Foley, Golden Gate Club, Ex-San Francisco Champion. Photos by San Francisco Call and the Examiner.

#### A GROUP OF PACIFIC COAST PLAYERS.



# Tennis on the Pacific Coast, 1907

By E. P. FINIGAN.

For more than a year after the San Francisco earthquake and fire on April 18, 1906, tennis was at a standstill on the coast. The lack of enthusiasm was of course felt more particularly in San Francisco and the surrounding cities and towns. Tournaments were held as usual, but the entries were few and the defaults many.

It was not until the annual State tournament, held on July 4, 1907, and for the first time on the beautiful courts in Golden Gate Park, that tennis players felt that the game had taken life again. This event brought forth some high-class tennis, four of the strongest players working their way to the semi-final and final rounds. Melville Long defeated Carlton Gardner in the semi-final round, George Janes surprised the critics by defeating Maurice McLoughlin, also in the semi-final round, but was defeated by Melville Long in the finals. In the challenge match, Long defeated his brother, Herbert, and thus captured the State championship.

The ladies' tournament brought forth some exciting matches, the best players finding their way to the finals, with the exception of Miss Ida Mearns, who was defeated by Miss Golda Meyer in the first round. Miss Meyer defeated Mrs. H. A. Niemeyer in the finals, but lost to Miss Hazel Hotchkiss in the challenge match.

The next important event was held in September on the new courts at Del Monte. This tournament brought together all the talent, both male and female, of the North and South, and it was the most successful competition held on the coast for many years. The strongest representatives of the northern part of the State were Melville Long, Maurice McLoughlin, Carlton Gardner, George Janes, Charles Foley, Herbert Long, Fred Adams, and Robert N. Whitney, of San Francisco; Reuben G. Hunt and Hamilton Murdock, of Alameda. From the southern part of California were A. E. Bell, T. C. Bundy, Simpson Sinsabaugh, Harold Braly, A. C. Spaulding and T. W. Hendricks.

The women of the North were Miss Hazel Hotchkiss, Miss Golda Meyer, and Miss Ethel Ratcliffe. Of the South were Miss Florence Sutton, her sister, Mrs. Bruce, Mrs. Robert Farguhas, formerly Miss Marion Jones, champion of the United States, and Mrs. Hendricks. The final result of this tournament was:

Men's singles, Maurice McLoughlin; women's singles, Miss Florence Sutton; junior singles, Robert Strachan; men's doubles, George Janes and Maurice McLoughlin; women's doubles, Miss Florence Sutton and Mrs. Bruce; mixed doubles, Miss Florence Sutton and S. Sinsabaugh.

Many other strong players participated in the tournament, who with better luck and another year's practice may make things interesting for those already mentioned. To Fritz Guerin, chairman of the committee, and his able assistants, Carl Gardner and Harry Rolph, together with the hotel management, is largely due the success of this tournament. Other tournaments followed with great success. It is never too cold here to play tennis even clad in the lightest apparel.

The Golden Gate Junior Club, organized and presided over by Dr. Marvin, deserves special mention. It is without a doubt the strongest and most enthusiastic boys' club on the coast. Maurice McLoughlin, Pacific States champion, is a member of this club. He is debarred from championship events as a junior on account of winning other open events. Robert Strachan and Harold Getz of this club met in the finals at Del Monte for the Pacific States Junior Championship, the former winning after a hard-fought five-set match. Other members of this club have distinguished themselves at various times.



1—Mrs. B. O. Bruce (Ethel Sutton), Co-Champion with Florence Sutton for 1907 in Women's Doubles of the Pacific States Lawn Tennis Association. 2—Mrs. H. A. Niemeyer, Golden Gate Annex Club, Runner-up in State and Bay Counties Singles. 3—Miss Hazel Hotchkiss, Claremont Country Club, Pacific Northwest and California State Champion. 4—Miss Florence E. Sutton, Pacific States Women's Singles Champion for 1907, and Co-Champion with Mrs. B. O. Bruce in Women's Doubles.

Frank Hobson won the Grammar School championship of 1907. John Strachan, known as "Shrimp," brother to Robert Strachan, is conceded to be one of the best players for his size and age in the world. He is so small that strangers often wonder how he can wield a racket and when they see him drive and smash they watch in wonderment.

The records of the players for the season from May 1 to December 31 follow :

M. Long—Defeated Gardner, State championship, 6/4, 6/1; defeated Janes, State finals, 6/1, 6/1, 6/1; defeated George Janes, club event, 7/5, 6/4; defeated Foley, club event, 6/3, 6/3; defeated Gardner, Southern California championship, 6/1, 8/6; defeated Bell, Southern California championship, 6/1, 6/2; defeated Sinsabaugh, Southern California championship finals, 6/1, 7/5, 6/3; defeated Braly, Southern championship match, 7/5, 4/6, 3/6, 7/5, 14/12; defeated Sinsabaugh, Potter championship, 6/3, 6/1; defeated Bundy, Potter championship, 6/2, 6/4; defeated Gardner, Potter championship finals, 6/0, 6/3, 6/8, 6/3.

Gardner—Defeated McLoughlin, club event, 6/4, 6/3; defeated M. Long, club finals, 8/10, 6/4, 6/3.

Janes—Defeated McLoughlin, State championship, 7/5, 6/8, 6/4; defeated Foley, State championship, 6/8, 6/4, 6/2.

Foley—Defeated M. Long, Bay counties championship, 6/4, 6/3.

Sinsabaugh—Defeated Bundy, Southern California championship, 6/1, 1/6, 7/5; defeated Foley, Pacific States championship, 6/4, 6/4.

Bell—Defeated Brown, Southern California championship, 6/4, 6/2; defeated Sinsabaugh, Pacific State championship, 6/3, 7/5; defeated Bundy, Pacific States championship, 7/5, 4/6, 8/6.


Brown—Defeated Sinsabaugh, 6/3, 7/5, 6/3.

McLoughlin—Defeated Gardner, club event, 6/3, 6/4; defeated M. Long, club finals, 3/6, 7/5, 6/2, 6/4; defeated Janes, San Francisco championship, 6/3, 8/6; defeated M. Long, San Francisco championship, 6/2, 13/11; defeated Gardner, San Francisco finals, 2/6, 6/4, 6/3, 4/6, 8/6; defeated Foley, San Francisco championship match, 9/7, 6/0, 4/6, 6/3; defeated Gardner, Pacific States championship, 11/9, 6/4; defeated Janes, Pacific States championship, 6/2, 6/1; defeated Bell, Pacific States finals, 6/0, 4/6, 5/7, 8/6, 6/2; defeated M. Long, Pacific States championship match, 13/11, 6/4, 4/6, 5/7, 6/4; defeated Gardner, club event, 8/6, 6/3; defeated M. Long, club event, 3/6, 7/5, 6/3; defeated Foley, club event finals, 6/3, 4/6, 6/2, 6/1; defeated Gardner, Bay counties championship, 6/2, 6/3; defeated Foley, Bay counties finals, 6/3, 10/8, 4/6, 6/2; defeated Janes, Bay counties championship match, 6/1, 7/5, 6/2; defeated Gardner, club finals, 4/6, 3/6, 6/4, 6/3, 6/4; defeated Gardner, Golden Gate club event, finals, 4/6, 6/4, 7/5, 8/6.

#### RANKING OF PLAYERS BY SUMNER HARDY.

- 1—McLoughlin
- 2—Mel. Long
- 3—A. Bell
- 4—Carl Gardner
- 5—Tom Bundy

- 6—George Janes
- 7—H. Braly
- 8—N. Brown
- 9—S. Sinsabaugh
- 10—Charles Foley



GEORGE WRIGHT.  
BEALS C. WRIGHT. IRVING C. WRIGHT.



## Miscellaneous Tournaments

### Southampton's Invitation Tournament.

The annual Southampton (L. I.) invitation tennis tournament was held on the courts of the Meadow Club during the week of August 12. The single drawback to the meeting was the fact that the doubles championship was scheduled to be played off at the Crescent Athletic Club at Bay Ridge the latter part of the same week. As a result W. A. Larned, Nat Thornton, and B. M. Grant, all of whom had signified their intention of playing at Southampton, decided to spend the early part of the week at Bay Ridge in preparation for the doubles, while Champion W. J. Clothier was obliged to leave after the second day, thus allowing Leonard to reach the semi-finals through his, the champion's, default.

Nevertheless there were many players of note participating, and the high class tennis brought out an unusually large gallery every day. Among the players upon whom interest especially centered were the former Western champion, Carr B. Neel, who was making his first appearance in the East after an absence of eleven years; F. C. Colston, who had just defeated Clothier at Longwood, and then played Little a close five-set match; the veteran H. W. Slocum, twice winner of the national championship; and Beals C. Wright and Karl H. Behr, the two members of the International team.

Behr had only just landed and had not had a chance to practice; as a result he was unexpectedly defeated by Beardsley in his first match. Wright, on the other hand, had returned earlier, had played in the doubles at Longwood, and was nearing his true form. He gave a brilliant exhibition of skill and generalship throughout the entire week. In fact, it was hard to realize that the Wright of 1907 was the same player who had gone down to defeat here a year ago, just after the unfortunate accident to his hand, so greatly had his game improved.

Immediately after defeating Behr, Beardsley played Westfall. He showed the effects of his hard match, and his strokes did not come off with the same sharpness, with the result that he was easily defeated. Westfall earned many points by his hard hitting for placement, his strokes throughout the whole week being by far the hardest shown by any of the players.

Westfall came through to the semi-finals by defeating F. C. Colston. The match between these two was very close, but Westfall seemed to always have just enough in reserve to win at the critical times, and defeated his opponent in straight sets, 6/4, 6/3. The other semi-finalists were Leonard, Wright, and LeRoy. Leonard obtained his bracket through Clothier's default; Wright his by an easy victory over W. C. Grant, and LeRoy by defeating J. R. Carpenter, who the round before had put out Slocum in a three-set match. LeRoy had all he could do to win from Carpenter by 9/7, 1/6, 8/6. The final between Wright and LeRoy was the best of the tournament. Both started carefully, but LeRoy struck his gait first and won, 6/4. Wright then got into his old pace and won the next two sets, 6/2, 6/2. LeRoy made a great rally in the fourth set, but Wright was too much for him.

In the doubles it was expected that the Wright-LeRoy combination would win, but they were rather easily defeated by F. C. Colston and Harry Torrance in the third round, 6/2, 6/3. The final was between Colston and Torrance and Grant and Westfall. The latter pair had had a hard match in the semi-finals against Watson and Leonard, finally winning 6/3, 5/7, 7/5. Colston and Torrance had gained





KARL H. BEHR,  
Member of United States International Team, 1907.

their position by defeating Carpenter and Johnson, 6/4, 7/5. There had been a hard rain the night before the final match, and as a result the court was a trifle slow. Grant and Westfall won the first set but Colston and Torrance took the next three.

The mixed doubles were won by Mrs. Nicholas and C. F. Watson, Jr., who defeated Mrs. Howland and F. C. Colston in the finals. Colston had already played two matches the same day, and was hardly in condition to do himself justice, but it is doubtful if this had any bearing on the result, as Mrs. Nicholas and Watson had the advantage of having played together in tournament for some years, and their team-work was excellent, Watson's net work being especially fine, while Mrs. Nicholas' drives were hard and low, and very well placed. Summaries:

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—H. Clark defeated B. M. Grant, by default; Dean Mathey defeated C. T. Richardson, by default; Clarence Pell defeated J. A. Ross, 6/2, 7/5; R. E. Coleman defeated W. P. Blagden, by default; W. C. Grant defeated R. Fincke, by default; C. B. Neel defeated A. L. Hoskins, 7/5, 6/2; G. Kobbe defeated H. Williams, 4/6, 6/4, 6/2; R. S. Lovering defeated A. N. Reggio, 6/1, 4/6, 6/3; B. C. Wright defeated G. Gallatin, 6/2, 6/2; H. H. Boyesen defeated A. Stillman, 2d, by default; J. R. Carpenter defeated C. T. Butler, 6/0, 6/0; H. B. Register defeated J. L. Breese, Jr., 6/3, 6/4; D. B. Eddy defeated W. A. Larned, by default; H. W. Slocum defeated E. B. Schley, 7/5, 6/0; G. H. Nettleton defeated H. Tallant, 6/3, 4/6, 6/2; R. LeRoy defeated R. Schley, by default; H. Torrance defeated J. C. Colston, 6/4, 6/4; J. E. Postlethwaite defeated N. Thornton, by default; Newton Rae defeated A. S. Dabney, Jr., 6/4, 1/6, 1/6, 6/0; C. F. Watson, Jr., defeated P. Boardman, by default.

Second round—E. W. Leonard defeated E. P. Rogers, 6/1, 6/2; W. F. Johnson defeated F. C. Truman, 0/6, 6/3, 6/1; W. J. Clothier defeated H. Hitchcock, 6/1, 7/5; Mathey defeated Clark, 6/3, 4/6, 6/1; Pell defeated Coleman, 6/2, 6/3; W. C. Grant defeated Neel, 8/10, 9/7, 7/5; Lovering defeated Kobbe, 4/6, 6/1, 6/3; Wright defeated Boyesen, 6/4, 6/1; Carpenter defeated Register, 6/1, 6/4; Slocum defeated Eddy, 6/1, 6/3; LeRoy defeated Nettleton, 6/3, 6/4; Torrance defeated Postlethwaite, 6/1, 6/1; Watson defeated Rae, 6/1, 6/2; F. C. Colston defeated F. B. Hague, 6/3, 6/1; H. L. Westfall defeated J. O. Downey, 6/1, 6/2; S. Beardsley defeated K. H. Behr, 6/4, 4/6, 6/4.

Third round—Leonard defeated Johnson, 7/5, 6/3; Clothier defeated Mathey, 6/1, 6/3; Grant defeated Pell, 6/4, 6/3; Wright defeated Lovering, 6/3, 6/0; Carpenter defeated Slocum, 9/7, 6/8, 6/3; LeRoy defeated Torrance, 6/4, 6/3; F. C. Colston defeated Watson, 7/5, 4/6, 6/4; Westfall defeated Beardsley, 6/1, 6/2.

Fourth round—Leonard defeated Clothier, by default; Wright defeated Grant, 6/1, 6/2; LeRoy defeated Carpenter, 9/7, 1/6, 8/6; Westfall defeated Colston, 6/4, 6/3.

Semi-final round—Wright defeated Leonard, 6/3, 6/1; LeRoy defeated Westfall, 1/6, 6/2, 7/5.

Final round—B. C. Wright defeated Robert LeRoy, 4/6, 6/2, 6/2, 6/4.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Wright and LeRoy defeated Livingston and partner, by default; Hague and Downey defeated Hitchcock and Schley, 7/5, 6/4; F. C. Colston and Torrance defeated Rae and Rodgers, 6/1, 6/0; Bull and Tallant defeated Coleman and Lawrence, 6/3, 6/3; Leonard and Watson defeated Boyesen and Gallatin, by default; L. Grant and Reggio defeated Neel and Ross, by default.

Second round—Blagden and Nettleton defeated Register and Mathey, 6/3, 6/2; Carpenter and Johnson defeated Robb and Betts, 6/3, 6/1; Wright and LeRoy defeated Hague and Downey, 6/0, 6/1; F. C. Colston and Torrance defeated Bull and Tallant, 6/0, 6/4; Leonard and Watson

defeated L. Grant and Reggio, 6/2, 6/4; J. C. Colston and Dabney defeated Beardsley and Williams, 6/4, 6/4; W. C. Grant and Westfall defeated Eddy and Butler, 6/1, 6/2; Pell and Lovering defeated Slocum and Inman, 6/4, 8/6.

Third round—Carpenter and Johnson defeated Blagden and Nettleton, 6/3, 6/4; F. C. Colston and Torrance defeated Wright and LeRoy, 6/2, 6/3; Leonard and Watson defeated J. C. Colston and Dabney, 8/6, 6/0; Grant and Westfall defeated Pell and Lovering, 6/2, 6/2.

Semi-final round—Colston and Torrance defeated Carpenter and Johnson, 6/4, 7/5; Grant and Westfall defeated Leonard and Watson, 6/3, 5/7, 7/5.

Final round—F. C. Colston and Henry Torrance, Jr., defeated W. C. Grant and H. L. Westfall, 5/7, 6/2, 10/8, 6/1.

#### MIXED DOUBLES.

First round—Miss M. Clark and Fincke defeated Miss Cryder and Leonard, 9/11, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Wildey and Mathey defeated Miss Bailey and Butler, 6/4, 6/4; Miss E. Clark and J. S. Clark defeated Miss Kissel and Rae, 6/3, 4/6, 8/6; Mrs. Nicholas and Watson defeated Miss Coster and Hitchcock, 10/8, 6/1; Mrs. G. Livingston and R. Livingston defeated Miss Sheldon and Blagden, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Livingston and Westfall defeated Mr. and Mrs. Minot, 6/0, 6/4; Mrs. Howland and F. C. Colston defeated Miss Parsons and Rogers, 6/2, 6/2.

Second round—Miss M. Clark and Fincke defeated Miss Wildey and Mathey, 4/6, 6/4, 7/5; Mrs. Nicholas and Watson defeated Miss E. Clark and J. S. Clark, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Livingston and Westfall defeated Mrs. S. Livingston and R. Livingston, 6/1, 6/3; Mrs. Howland and F. C. Colston defeated Miss Kobbe and Tallant, 7/5, 6/2.

Semi-final round—Mrs. Nicholas and Watson defeated Miss Clark and Fincke, 6/4, 6/4; Mrs. Howland and Colston defeated Miss Livingston and Westfall, 6/1, 6/4.

Final round—Mrs. Nicholas and C. F. Watson, Jr., defeated Mrs. Howland and F. C. Colston, 6/3, 7/5.

### Metropolitan Championship.

F. B. Alexander won the Metropolitan championship again at the West Side Club during the week of June 3. Raymond Little came through as challenger, but Alexander took two sets easily and the fourth set after a rally had landed the third for the challenger. Little defeated Mollenhauer with difficulty in the final, the match taking five sets to decide, while both men had some trouble in winning their way into the final over F. G. Anderson and Harry Torrance.

Alexander's winning in the championship singles gave him his third straight win and the cup, which also has engraved on it the names of Holcombe Ward, R. D. Little and Harold Hackett.

Summary of tournament follows:

Preliminary round—A. Bassford, New York Tennis Club, defeated F. C. Inman, West Side Tennis Club, 3/6, 5/4, 6/4; A. S. Cragin, W.S.T.C., defeated H. S. Mellick, W.S.T.C., 6/4, 6/4; W. L. Pate, Brooklyn, defeated W. L. Righter, Plainfield, N. J., 6/1, 6/2; J. Smith, W.S.T.C., defeated G. Slinger, Hollywood, 6/0, 7/5; T. R. Pell, N.Y.T.C., defeated B. S. Prentice, Longwood T.C., 6/1, 7/5; H. A. Plummer, Richmond County T.C., defeated W. V. Bennett, W.S.T.C., 7/5, 3/6, 6/3; H. H. Mollenhauer, Kings County, defeated G. P. Touchard, Hamilton Grange T.C., 6/2, 6/3; L. H. Fitch, W.S.T.C., defeated Dr. W. W. Wough, Kings County, 8/6, 6/2; R. M. Robinson, Englewood F.C., defeated Dr. Glazebrook, Morristown F.C., 6/3, 7/5; A. J. Calhoun, W.S.T.C., defeated O. C. A. Child, N.Y.T.C., 6/0, 6/3; H. D. Montgomery, New Canaan, Conn., defeated L. H. Hughes, Brooklyn, 6/2, 6/4; F. G. Anderson, Kings County, defeated H. P. Smith, Montclair, 6/4, 4/6, 6/0; W. S. Slade, W.S.T.C., defeated Dr. Rosenbaum, Harlem T.C.,

6/1, 6/4; Dr. R. Floyd, W.S.T.C., defeated H. B. Hatch, Brooklyn, 6/3, 7/5; R. Allen, W.S.T.C., defeated G. M. Bodman, W.S.T.C., 6/1, 6/2; R. H. Rowe, W.S.T.C., defeated W. D. Chase, Hamilton Grange T.C., 6/4, 3/6, 6/3; R. Burchard, W.S.T.C., defeated J. C. Tomlinson, Jr., W.S.T.C., 6/4, 7/5; O. H. Hinck, Montclair T.C., defeated A. L. Hoe, Ardsley, 6/4, 6/4.

First round—G. A. L. Dionne, W.S.T.C., defeated F. E. Ferris, W.S.T.C., 3/6, 6/0, 7/5; C. Crapo, Plainfield, N. J., defeated L. Hatzfeld, W.S.T.C., 6/1, 7/5; H. Torrance, W.S.T.C., defeated C. M. Sternfeld, W.S.T.C., 1/6, 6/3, 6/3; A. Bassford, N.Y.T.C., defeated H. H. Hackett, W.S.T.C., by default; W. L. Pate, Brooklyn, defeated A. S. Cragin, W.S.T.C., 6/2, 6/2; T. R. Pell, N.Y.T.C., defeated J. Smith, W.S.T.C., 6/0, 6/3; H. H. Mollenhauer, Kings County, defeated H. A. Plummer, Richmond County T.C., 2/6, 6/1, 6/2; L. H. Fitch, W.S.T.C., defeated R. M. Robinson, Englewood F.C., 6/1, 6/2; H. D. Montgomery, New Canaan, Conn., defeated A. J. Calhoun, W.S.T.C., 6/1, 5/7, 6/4; F. G. Anderson, Kings County, defeated W. S. Slade, W.S.T.C., 6/4, 6/2; R. Allen, W.S.T.C., defeated Dr. R. Floyd, 6/3, 7/5; R. Burchard, W.S.T.C., defeated R. H. Rowe, W.S.T.C., 6/1, 6/3; O. H. Hinck, Montclair T.C., defeated H. L. Westfall, Kings County, 6/4, 4/6, 6/3; W. M. Hall, Hamilton Grange, defeated W. D. Lyon, W.S.T.C., 6/4, 6/1; R. D. Little, W.S.T.C., defeated A. Holmes, Knollwood Country Club, 6/1, 6/1; D. E. Roberts, N.Y.T.C., defeated E. P. Frothingham, Brooklyn, 6/2, 6/3.

Second round—G. A. L. Dionne defeated C. Crapo, 6/1, 6/1; H. Torrance defeated A. Bassford, 6/4, 5/7, 6/1; T. R. Pell defeated W. L. Pate, 6/2, 6/1; H. H. Mollenhauer defeated L. H. Fitch, 6/4, 7/5; F. G. Anderson defeated H. D. Montgomery, 6/3, 6/0; R. Burchard defeated R. Allen, 7/6, 1/6, 6/3; O. H. Hinck defeated W. M. Hall, 6/3, 6/3; R. D. Little defeated D. E. Roberts, 6/3, 6/3.

Third round—H. Torrance defeated G. A. Dionne, 6/3, 6/4; H. H. Mollenhauer defeated T. R. Pell, 7/5, 6/4; F. G. Anderson defeated R. Burchard, 6/3, 6/0; R. D. Little defeated O. H. Hinck, 9/7, 6/2.

Semi-final round—H. H. Mollenhauer defeated H. Torrance, 6/3, 6/8, 6/3; R. D. Little defeated F. G. Anderson, 6/3, 5/7, 7/5.

Final round—R. D. Little defeated H. H. Mollenhauer, 0/6, 2/6, 8/6, 6/2, 6/2.

Championship round—F. B. Alexander defeated R. D. Little, 6/4, 6/2, 6/8, 6/2.

Metropolitan handicaps. (Open to all comers)—R. Burchard, W.S.T.C., defeated E. P. Fischer, W.S.T.C., 6/4, love/6, 6/2, 6/3.

Ladies' singles—Miss Moore, W.S.T.C., defeated Miss Edna Wildey, W.S.T.C. (in the finals), 2/6, 6/3, 6/4.

Doubles—H. H. Hackett and F. B. Alexander won without losing a set, and defeated Grant and Westfall in the finals, 6/1, 6/0, 6/1.

## Englewood Field Club's Tournament.

Both in the number of entries and in the high class of the players the annual tournament of the Englewood Field Club, which was played during the week of July 15 at Englewood, N. J., was remarkable. Fred G. Anderson, last year's winner, came through from one side of the draw by consistently steady play, and met his fellow clubman, Henry Mollenhauer, who had progressed in a similar manner, but with more difficulty; and these two friendly foes once more fought out their endless battle on a court water-soaked and heavy from the morning's rain. Under these circumstances the Kings County champion vanquished his formidable opponent rather easily, Mollenhauer getting only one set.

Play in the men's doubles was commenced on Tuesday, and here again very fast tennis prevailed, Wright and Torrance winning.

The matches in ladies' singles were not inferior to those of the men's singles. Those who observed them were treated to rare tennis. Summary :

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—H. Mollenhauer, Kings County T.C., defeated A. R. Van Orden, Port Washington T.C., 6/2, 6/3; Henry Torrance, Jr., Englewood F.C., defeated Alex. Amend, Englewood F.C., 6/0, 7/5; L. H. Fitch, West Side T.C., defeated W. Hazard, Crescent A.C., 6/8, 6/3, 6/4; R. H. Palmer, Ridgewood G.C., defeated E. F. Leo, New York T.C., 6/4, 6/2; D. E. Roberts, New York T.C., defeated H. H. Curran, Englewood F.C., 6/3, 6/2; J. W. C. Bowden, Harvard, defeated Roy Ritchie, West Side T.C., 6/8, 6/4, 6/0; W. M. Tilden, Philadelphia C.C., defeated A. Bassford, Jr., West Side T.C., 6/4, 9/7; G. A. L. Dionne, West Side, defeated A. W. Warden, Richmond County C.C., 6/1, 6/3; W. P. Conway, Orange T.C., defeated L. Reimer, Knickerbocker F.C., 6/2, 1/6, 6/2; E. B. Dewhurst, Huntingdon Valley C.C., defeated H. A. Davis, Richmond County C.C., 6/3, 6/0; J. A. Delmar defeated by default; G. L. Wyeth, West Side T.C., defeated Dr. W. Rosenbaum, 6/4, 7/5; W. W. Herrick defeated H. P. Banks, 6/4, 6/4; N. W. Miles, Harvard, defeated M. Burt, Hamilton Grange T.C., by default; A. Roche, Jr., defeated K. Easton, 6/4, 6/4; L. G. Billings, Jr., defeated W. D. Lyon, New York A.C., by default; L. D. Woodbury, Orange L.T.C., defeated W. L. Righter, 6/2, 6/2; Dean Mathey, Elizabeth T. and C.C., defeated E. S. Cameron, Knickerbocker F.C., 6/4, 9/11, 6/1; Irving C. Wright, Crescent A.C., defeated C. S. Rathbun, Port Washington T.C., 6/3, 6/1; E. W. Blood defeated Floyd Smith, by default; H. P. Bartram defeated Calhoun Cragin, 7th Regiment, by default; F. G. Anderson, Kings County T.C., defeated G. L. Nankivel, 6/3, 6/4.

First round. Winners—W. V. Bennett, C. F. Watson, Mollenhauer, Palmer, Roberts, Dionné, Dewhurst, Wyeth, Niles, Billings, Woodbury, Wright, Anderson, Hugh Tallant, Adolph Amend, F. H. Bates.

Second round. Winners—Watson, Mollenhauer, Dionne, Dewhurst, Niles, Wright, Anderson, Bates.

Third round. Winners—Mollenhauer, Dewhurst, Wright, Anderson.

Semi-final round. Winners—Mollenhauer, Anderson.

Final round—Anderson defeated Mollenhauer, 6/1, 3/6, 7/5, 6/3.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Roberts and Cragin defeated Conway and Woodbury, 8/6, 6/3; Palmer and Tailer defeated Hazard and Foster, 6/3, 6/4; Watson, Jr., and Miles defeated Anderson and Mollenhauer, 6/4, 6/3; Wyeth and Billings, Jr., defeated Hinck and Morrison, 6/3, 6/3; Wright and Torrance, Jr., defeated Easton and Banks, 6/0, 6/1; Davis and Warden defeated Leo and Ritchie, 8/6, 6/4; Bowden and Leland defeated A. and C. Lindley, by default; Herrick and White, Jr., defeated Steinacher and Rosenbaum, 8/6, 7/9, 7/5; Dewhurst and Bates defeated Righter and Wadsworth, 6/0, 6/1; Tallant and Lyon defeated Fitch and Bennett, 3/6, 6/3, 6/4; Cameron and Reimer defeated Gordon and Reynolds, 6/4, 6/2; Homans and Coppel defeated Blood and Roche, Jr., 6/0, 6/4.

First round—Niles and Tilden defeated Salinger and Amend, 8/6, 6/2; Palmer and Tailer defeated Roberts and Cragin, 6/3, 6/0; Watson and Niles defeated Wyeth and Billings, by default; Wright and Torrance defeated Davis and Warden, 6/2, 6/1; Herrick and White defeated Bowden and Leland, 6/3, 6/4; Dewhurst and Bates defeated Tallant and Lyon, 6/2, 6/0; Homans and Coppel defeated Cameron and Reimer, 6/1, 1/6, 6/1; Mathey and Gerlach defeated Bartram and Platt, 1/3; 5/7, 6/2.

Second round. Winners—Niles and Tilden, Wright and Torrance, Dewhurst and Bates, Mathey and Gerlach.

Semi-final round. Winners—Wright and Torrance, Dewhurst and Bates.

Final round—Wright and Torrance defeated Dewhurst and Bates, 6/3, 6/3, 6/2.



## WOMEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Miss E. W. Smith defeated Miss Freeman, Orange L.T.C., 6/3, 3/6, 6/3; Miss Rotch, Longwood C.C., defeated Miss E. Moore, 6/1, 0/6, 6/3; Miss Margaret Johnson, Staten Island, defeated Miss Marie Wagner, Hamilton Grange, 6/1, 0/6, 7/5; Mrs. W. H. Pouch, Staten Island, defeated Miss G. Hamilton, by default; Mrs. George L. Chapman, Nyack, defeated Mrs. Clark, 6/2, 6/0; Miss E. Souther, Orange L.T.C., defeated Miss E. Jewett, Orange L.T.C., 6/3, 6/2.

First round—Mrs. Auferman, Nyack, defeated Miss E. W. Smith, 2 sets; Miss Rotch defeated Miss Johnson, 6/3, 6/4; Mrs. Pouch defeated Mrs. Chapman, 5/7, 6/1, 6/3; Miss Souther defeated Miss Edith Handy, 6/4, 3/6, 9/7.

Semi-final round. Winners—Miss Rotch, Mrs. Pouch.

Final round—Mrs. Pouch defeated Miss Rotch, 13/11, 6/4.

## WOMEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Miss E. H. Moore and Mrs. Pouch defeated Mrs. Brumstead and Mrs. Haight, 6/4, 2/6, 6/1.

First round—Miss Smith and Mrs. Auferman defeated Miss Freeman and Miss Reynolds, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Moore and Mrs. Pouch defeated Miss Rotch and Miss Hamilton, 9/7, 6/4; Miss Fish and Miss Fish defeated Miss Johnson and Miss Lee, 6/4, 13/11; Mrs. Chapman and Mrs. Platt defeated Miss Handy and Miss Jewett, 6/0, 6/2.

Semi-final round. Winners—Miss Moore and Mrs. Pouch, Miss Fish and Miss Fish.

Final round—Miss Moore and Mrs. Pouch defeated the Misses Fish, 6/3, 7/5.

## MIXED DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Miss Freeman and Merrill defeated Miss Clara Amend and A. Amend, 7/5, 6/4.

First round—Miss M. Wagner and H. Mollenhauer defeated Miss E. Handy and Davis, 6/2, 6/3; Miss Rotch and N. W. Niles defeated Miss G. Hamilton and H. Torrance, Jr., 6/4, 3/6, 6/1; Mrs. George L. Chapman and Mr. Coppell defeated Miss Margaret Johnson and W. Powers, 6/1, 6/4; Mr. and Mrs. Pouch defeated Miss Freeman and Merrill, 6/4, 7/5; Mrs. C. W. Brumstead and E. B. Dewhurst defeated Miss E. Jewett and Conway, 10/8, 6/4; Miss E. Moore and F. G. Anderson defeated Miss Alice Fish and W. Burt, 6/2, 7/5; Miss Souther and C. F. Watson, Jr., defeated Mrs. L. Haight and S. Homans, Jr., 8/6, 6/3; Mr. and Mrs. L. S. Coe defeated Miss Fish and M. Burt, 6/2, 7/5.

Second round. Winners—Miss Wagner and H. Mollenhauer, Mrs. Chapman and Coppell, Mrs. Brumstead and E. B. Dewhurst, Miss Souther and Watson.

Semi-final round. Winners.—Miss Wagner and Mollenhauer, Miss Souther and Watson.

Final round—Miss Wagner and Mollenhauer defeated Miss Souther and Watson, 6/3, 8/6.

## East Jersey Lawn Tennis Association.

By MILES S. CHARLOCK.

This Association was organized in February, 1904, the original membership consisting of ten clubs. Four association championship tournaments and an open tournament have been held each year.

In the championship tournaments, the events are men's singles, women's singles, men's doubles, and mixed doubles, open only to members of the association. First and second places in each event count in points toward the club championship trophy, which was presented by Gardiner D. Miller, the first president of the associa-

tion. This cup was won in 1904 by the Ilderan Outing Club of Rahway, in 1905 by the Bergen Point Lawn Tennis Club, and in 1906 and 1907 by the Elizabeth Town and Country Club. The trophy must be won three times, not necessarily in succession, to become the property of any club outright.

The men's singles in the annual open tournament for the East Jersey Association Cup, presented by Sydney L. Smith, was won by Frederick G. Anderson in 1904, and Karl H. Behr in 1905 and 1906. F. G. Anderson was the defender and runner-up respectively in the last two years named. In 1907 upon consent of the former holders of the cup and pursuant to an announcement made by the association in 1906, the form of the competition was changed, the defender being required, in order to win again, to play through and not stand out. The challenge round was abolished. Edward P. Larned won the singles, playing Carleton C. Kelley in the finals. The score was 4/6, 4/6, 6/1, 6/4, and 6/1.

The association is growing in membership, and the entries in all events of the association have increased from year to year until in 1907 they surpassed all previous years.

The winners of the various events of the association tournaments in 1907 were:

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

Final round—W. B. Cragin, Jr., Elizabeth Town and Country Club, defeated G. W. Case, Elizabeth Town and Country Club, 6/1, 6/2, 6/2.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

Final round—R. A. West and Dean Mathey, Elizabeth Town and Country Club, defeated H. Cochran and W. Brown, Plainfield Country Club, 6/3, 6/2, 6/1.

#### MIXED DOUBLES.

Final round—Miss Marcus and G. B. Fielder, Danforth T.C. of Jersey City, defeated Miss Handy and E. S. H. Pendergast, Elizabeth Town and Country Club, 9/7, 6/4.

#### WOMEN'S SINGLES.

Final round—Miss E. Wildey, Plainfield C.C., defeated Miss N. Wildey, Plainfield C.C., 6/3, 6/4.

The annual open tournament of the association was held at the Elizabeth Town and Country Club, commencing August 27 and finishing on Labor Day, September 2, 1907. This tournament has become both as to class, number of events and entries one of the largest in the country. The winners in the various events (final rounds) were:

#### MEN'S SINGLES.

Final round—Edward P. Larned defeated Carleton C. Kelley, 4/6, 4/6, 6/1, 6/4, 6/1.

#### MEN'S CONSOLATION SINGLES.

Final round—H. A. Wolf defeated H. A. Ritchey, 6/3, 6/2.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES.

Final round—Miles S. Charlock and W. B. Cragin, Jr., defeated C. C. Kelley and Calhoun Cragin, 10/8, 2/6, 6/4, 7/5.

#### MIXED DOUBLES.

Final round—Mrs. B. C. Edgar and Lindsay Dunham defeated Miss Johnson and Wallace F. Johnson, 2/6, 6/2, 6/2.

#### WOMEN'S SINGLES.

Final round—Mrs. W. H. Pouch defeated Miss Marie Wagner, 6/1, 0/6, 6/1.

#### WOMEN'S DOUBLES.

Final round—Miss Marie Wagner and Mrs. W. H. Pouch defeated Miss Handy and Miss Irving, 6/0, 6/2.

The precedent established in 1907 by this association in regard to putting the winner of the previous year on the same footing as all other competitors, it is believed will eventually be followed in the majority of tournaments throughout the country. Special attention has always been paid by the Tournament Committee and referee in regard to furnishing umpires and linesmen for all the matches, including the women's events, and this pleasant feature of tournament play has been very favorably commented upon both by the press and the competitors. The unfortunate occurrences which arise from not having the proper officials to conduct the matches are thereby avoided.

The Association this year consists of twelve clubs, as follows:

Bergen Point Lawn Tennis Club,	Ilderan Outing Club, Rahway.
Claremont Tennis Club,	Morristown Field Club,
Cranford Golf Club,	Park Club, Plainfield,
Danforth Tennis Club, Jersey City,	Plainfield Country Club,
Elizabeth Town and Country Club,	Roselle Casino,
Fanwood Tennis Club,	Trenton Country Club.

The dates for the Association championships have been fixed as follows:

Men's Doubles—Will be played at the Plainfield Country Club, from May 23 to 30.

Women's Singles—Will be played at the Plainfield Country Club, from May 23 to 30.

Men's Doubles—Will be played at the Park Club, Plainfield, from June 13 to 20.

Men's Singles—Will be played at the Elizabeth Town and Country Club, from June 27 to July 4.

The officers of the Association for the present year are: President, Edward A. Weeks, of the Elizabeth Town and Country Club; secretary and treasurer, H. L. McGee of the Park Club of Plainfield; Executive Committee, two representatives from each club.

## Championship Doubles.

Persistence was fittingly rewarded at Bay Ridge, Brooklyn. Harold H. Hackett and Fred B. Alexander, after years of striving, are doubles champions of the United States, having won the title in the end through the default of the holders, Holcombe Ward and Beals C. Wright. Prior to this, however, they had made a whirlwind campaign, winning in succession the Western championship and defeating the Eastern and the Southern champions without the loss of a set and without having been at any time in the slightest danger of losing one. This virtual walk-over was the result of their putting into splendid execution a plan of campaign cleverly conceived and completely successful. To the spectators at Bay Ridge it seemed that the mantle of Ward and Wright had descended upon shoulders admirably fitted to wear it.

At Bay Ridge on August 16 and 17 the new system of having a three-cornered contest as a preliminary to the challenge round at Newport was inaugurated, except that the omission of the challenge round itself was known to be a certainty before the preliminaries were played. At Chicago the Western doubles championship had been decided, Hackett and Alexander having won over Nat. Emerson and John C. Neely, Jr., at the Onwentsia Club. The Eastern champions had been determined at Longwood (Boston), W. A. Larned and W. J. Clothier defeating all comers, while at Atlanta, earlier in the season, the South had seen Nat. Thornton and B. M. Grant selected as its



N. C. NILES,  
Harvard University.



E. W. LEONARD,  
Member of Ranking Committee.

representatives. The drawing had brought the East and West together for the first time, and the winner and the Southern team were to play the final. These two matches were scheduled to be fought out on the fine grass courts of the Crescent Athletic Club at Bay Ridge, Brooklyn, N. Y., on August 16 and 17.

Although they had stayed out of the Southampton tournament, which was in progress at the time, and had practiced at Bay Ridge during the week, Larned and Clothier failed utterly to shine. They made mistakes worthy of schoolboys, particularly toward the end, when their defeat was seen to be inevitable.

Thornton and Grant were no better able to defeat the Western title holders and they came through victorious by a 6/2, 6/1, and 6/1 score. The summary:

First Set.												
Hackett-Alexander .....	2	5	4	4	4	4	2	5	4	—34—6		
Clothier-Larned .....	4	3	2	6	2	1	4	3	1	—26—3		
Second Set.												
Hackett-Alexander .....					4	4	4	4	4	—24—6		
Clothier-Larned .....					1	2	0	1	1	—7—0		
Third Set.												
Hackett-Alexander .....	5	4	3	4	2	4	2	1	4	—33—6		
Clothier-Larned .....	3	0	5	1	4	1	4	4	0	—22—4		
First Set.												
Alexander and Hackett.....	5	4	4	2	5	4	2	4	—30—6			
Grant and Thornton.....	3	0	2	4	3	1	4	1	—18—2			
Second Set.												
Alexander and Hackett.....	3	4	6	5	7	4	4	—33—6				
Grant and Thornton.....	5	1	4	3	5	2	0	—20—1				
Third Set.												
Alexander and Hackett.....	4	4	4	4	1	5	4	—26—6				
Grant and Thornton.....	1	2	2	2	4	3	1	—15—1				

## Metropolitan Tennis League.

Played at the New York Lawn Tennis Club, October 19, 1907.

By a margin of one point the championship of the Metropolitan Tennis League was decided in favor of the New York Lawn Tennis Club, the West Side champions for 1906 going down to defeat. The double victory of the New Yorks in the singles contests, which were played first, LeRoy defeating Little and Pell proving too much for Hackett, decided the dispute, and the subsequent win of the West Side in the doubles did not alter the result.


The Little-LeRoy match was easily the feature of the day, the men having met twice before during the season and each having a victory to his credit. LeRoy won, 4/6, 6/2, 6/2.

Meanwhile a hot match was in progress on the adjoining court between Theodore Roosevelt Pell, the National indoor champion, and H. H. Hackett, holder, with F. B. Alexander, of the National doubles championship. The latter was picked to win by reason of his higher ranking and the fact that he had yet to lose his first match to Pell. The first two sets were played almost entirely from the base line, each taking one set, but Pell won the deciding set, 6/3, 2/6, 6/1.

The title having been won by the New York Lawn Tennis Club by reason of the two victories in the singles, the doubles attracted comparatively little attention, being indifferently played by both teams, Hackett and Little finally defeating Pell and LeRoy, 7/5, 6/4.

The full summary of the three series follows:





1—Frederick Anderson, Kings County Tennis Club. 2—Henry Mollenhauer, Kings County Tennis Club. 3—H. L. Westfall, Kings County Tennis Club. 4—The Brooklyn Daily Eagle Cup for the Long Island Championship; first leg won by Irving C. Wright, 1907.

October 5, at West Side—Pell defeated Kelley, 6/2, 4/6, 6/4; Hackett defeated Grant, 6/3, 6/2; Hackett and Little defeated Pell and LeRoy, 6/2, 2/6, 6/3.

October 12, at New York—Pell defeated Kelley, 6/3, 6/0; Behr defeated LeRoy, 1/6, 8/6, 6/3; Pell and LeRoy defeated Behr and Hackett, 6/3, 6/1.

October 19, at New York—LeRoy defeated Little, 4/6, 6/2, 6/2; Pell defeated Hackett, 6/3, 1/6, 6/1; Hackett and Little defeated Pell and LeRoy, 7/5, 6/4.

In the preliminary series the clubs in the two sections of the League stood:

	SECTION 1.	Won.	Lost.
West Side .....	11	4	
Kings County .....	9	6	
Crescent Athletic Club.....	9	6	
Knickerbocker Field Club.....	8	7	
Hamilton Grange .....	8	7	
New York Athletic Club.....	0	15	
SECTION 2.			
New York Lawn Tennis Club.....	12	0	
Montclair Athletic Club.....	9	3	
Staten Island Cricket and Lawn Tennis Club..	5	7	
Brooklyn Lawn Tennis Club.....	4	8	
Englewood Field Club .....	0	12	

## Long Island Championship.

The Kings County Tennis Club of Brooklyn opened the Metropolitan District season May 20 by reviving the Long Island championship. Irving C. Wright came through the week's play a winner, defeating Henry Mollenhauer in a four-set match.

The tournament furnished plenty of good playing and close matches, as well as some surprises. Chief among the latter were the defeat of H. L. Westfall by H. E. Roberts, Knickerbocker F.C., and H. H. Hackett by J. T. McMahon.

The doubles championship fell to Grant and Westfall in a long match that was closely contested and at times productive of excellent tennis. Torrance was the weak member of his team, repeatedly smashing into the net when points counted. He was played at consistently, however, a wholesome respect being entertained for his partner, Irving Wright. By winning the third set, Wright and Torrance staved off defeat temporarily, and put up a good fight in the fourth set, but Grant and Westfall were a bit too strong and carried it out, 6/4, thus winning the match. Summary from the second round:


### SINGLES.

Second round—T. R. Pell, New York L.T.C., defeated E. L. Moore, Kings County L.T.C., 6/0, 6/1; Wylie C. Grant, New York L.C., defeated S. H. Rowe, Kings County T.C., 6/1, 7/5; E. Cameron, Knickerbocker F.C., defeated C. L. Mitchell, Kings County T.C., 6/2, 6/3; H. Mollenhauer, Kings County T.C., defeated Otto Hinek, Montclair A.C., 6/1, 6/4; J. T. McMahon, Kings County T.C., defeated H. H. Hackett, West Side T.C., 6/2, 6/4; H. E. Roberts, Knickerbocker F.C., defeated H. L. Westfall, Kings County T.C., 7/5, 6/4; Irving Wright, Longwood C.C., defeated F. W. Heitkamp, Kings County T.C., 6/1, 6/2; F. G. Anderson, Kings County T.C., defeated W. B. Cragin, Jr., West Side T.C., 6/3, 6/4.

Third round. Winners—Anderson, Grant, Mollenhauer, Wright.

Semi-final round. Winners—Mollenhauer, Wright.

Final round—Wright defeated Mollenhauer, 8/6, 8/6, 2/6, 6/3.



BEHR DEFEATING WRIGHT ON THE CRESCENT ATHLETIC CLUB COURTS, BAY RIDGE, JUNE 4, 1907.

## DOUBLES.

Semi-final round—Wright and Torrance defeated Mollenhauer and Williston, 6/1, 6/1; Grant and Westfall defeated Anderson and McMahon, 6/4, 6/1.

Final round—Grant and Westfall defeated Wright and Torrance, 11/9, 8/6, 2/6, 6/4.

## Country Club of Westchester Doubles.

Raymond D. Little and Harold H. Hackett won the invitation doubles on the courts of the Country Club of Westchester in July, with a record of four straight victories. In completing their schedule they defeated William A. Larned and George L. Wrenn, Jr., in straight sets by 6/4, 6/4, and 6/0. In the second match of the day Theodore Roosevelt Pell and Robert Le Roy easily defeated Edwin P. Larned and Irving C. Wright, also in straight sets at 6/3, 7/5, and 6/4.

Hackett and Little outclassed C. F. Watson, Jr., and E. F. Leonard. Four sets were necessary before Hackett and Little won by the score 5/3, 6/4, 6/8, and 6/4. I. C. Wright and Edwin P. Larned defeated William A. Larned and George L. Wrenn, Jr., after five sets 8/10, 7/5, 3/6, 6/2, and 6/4.

Little and Hackett had things all their own way against T. R. Pell and Robert Le Roy, and defeated them 6/1, 7/5, and 6/0. E. P. Larned and I. C. Wright won the other after five sets of loose in-and-out playing, in which they defeated C. Frederick Watson, Jr., and E. W. Leonard, 6/3, 3/6, 6/3, 0/6, and 6/1. The summary:

	W.	L.		W.	L.
Little and Hackett.....	4	0	Watson and Leonard.....	1	3
E. P. Larned and Wright....	2	2	Pell and LeRoy.....	1	3
W. A. Larned and Wrenn... 2	2				

## Americans Practice for International Trophy.


The American challengers for the Davis trophy went through a stiff preliminary tournament play to prepare them for the international matches. Beginning in Philadelphia on May 20, the preliminary work came to an end at Bay Ridge, Brooklyn, June 8. The best exhibition of the three weeks was given June 7 at Bay Ridge, when Champion W. J. Clothier came from Philadelphia rather unexpectedly and partnered with former champion W. A. Larned to give Wright and Behr a try-out and see what they could do against a team that many close observers believe to be the best in America, barring Ward and Wright. By dashing play Clothier and Larned got a commanding lead, and it looked like a runaway match. But at 5/2 and 40/love against them, Wright and Behr took a brace and in a fine display of brilliant, if somewhat erratic, tennis, pulled up even and then ran the set out, 10/8.

Summary of the preliminary play follows:

## AT MERION, PA.

B. C. Wright defeated E. B. Dewhurst, 6/3, 6/3.  
 K. H. Behr defeated W. C. Clothier, 4/6, 6/4, 6/4.  
 K. H. Behr defeated B. C. Wright, 7/5, 3/6, 6/3.  
 W. C. Clothier defeated E. B. Dewhurst, 6/3, 6/2.  
 E. B. Dewhurst and W. J. Clothier defeated B. C. Wright and K. H. Behr, 12/10.  
 B. C. Wright defeated W. J. Clothier, 8/6, 6/4.  
 K. H. Behr defeated E. B. Dewhurst, 9/7, 8/6.





BEALS C. WRIGHT AND KARL H. BEHR,  
In All-England Championship at Wimbledon.  
Photos by the Sport and General Illustrations Co., London.



## AT MANHEIM, PHILADELPHIA.

B. C. Wright defeated R. D. Little, 6/4, 5/7, 6/4, 6/1.  
 K. H. Behr defeated W. J. Clothier, 4/6, 6/1, 7/5, 6/0.  
 K. H. Behr defeated R. D. Little, 8/6, 6/2, 6/3.  
 B. C. Wright defeated W. J. Clothier, 2/6, 12/10, 8/6, 6/3.  
 B. C. Wright defeated K. H. Behr, 6/3, 6/3.  
 W. J. Clothier defeated E. B. Dewhurst, 2/6, 7/5, 6/3.

## AT WESTCHESTER, N. Y.

Holcombe Ward defeated E. P. Larned, 6/4, 6/2.  
 R. D. Little defeated W. A. Larned, 6/4, 6/4.  
 B. C. Wright defeated E. P. Larned, 6/2, 6/3.  
 K. H. Behr defeated R. D. Little, 6/2, 6/4.  
 B. C. Wright defeated W. A. Larned, 6/1, 6/4.  
 R. D. Little defeated H. Ward, 6/4, 6/8, 7/5.  
 B. C. Wright defeated K. H. Behr, 6/3, 3/6, 6/3.  
 B. C. Wright defeated H. Ward, 6/1, 6/2.  
 K. H. Behr defeated E. P. Larned, 6/2, 6/8, 6/4.

## AT KNOLLWOOD.

B. C. Wright defeated R. D. Little, 8/6, 6/2.  
 K. H. Behr vs. W. A. Larned, 4/6, 8/6 (unfinished).  
 B. C. Wright defeated K. H. Behr, 6/3, 6/4.  
 K. H. Behr defeated H. Ward, 1/6, 6/2, 6/3.  
 R. D. Little defeated E. P. Larned, 8/6, 6/2.

## AT BAY RIDGE, BROOKLYN.

K. H. Behr defeated B. C. Wright, 9/7, 3/6, 6/3.  
 R. LeRoy defeated E. P. Larned, 6/0, 6/2.  
 B. C. Wright defeated C. Hobart, 6/2, 6/3.  
 W. A. Larned defeated C. Hobart, 6/3, 7/5.  
 B. C. Wright and K. H. Behr defeated H. Ward and R. D. Little, 6/3, 6/3, 3/6, 6/2.  
 W. A. Larned defeated B. C. Wright, 6/3, 6/4.  
 K. H. Behr vs. R. LeRoy, 7/5, 6/3 (unfinished).  
 W. A. Larned defeated K. H. Behr, 9/7, 6/4.  
 R. LeRoy defeated C. Hobart, 8/6, 6/1.  
 Wright and Behr vs. Hobart and LeRoy, 7/5, unfinished.  
 B. C. Wright and K. H. Behr defeated W. J. Clothier and W. A. Larned, 10/8, 6/3, 6/2.  
 B. C. Wright defeated R. LeRoy, 6/1, 7/5.  
 K. H. Behr defeated C. Hobart, 4/6, 6/2, 6/2.

## New York Athletic Club Tournament.

Singles honors in the lawn tennis tournament of the New York Athletic Club, which was completed on the courts at Travers Island, went to King Smith of the Seventh Regiment. Brodie and Dennis won the doubles title. The competitors in the singles were divided into two sections, Smith winning in Class A and Bourne in Class B. The former was handicapped at minus 15 and the latter at scratch. In the final Smith played a fast placing game, winning by the score, 6/1, 6/3, 6/1. The summary:

## CLASS A SINGLES.

Semi-final round—Smith defeated Dr. Keane, 6/2, 6/2; Freedman defeated Mallory, by default.

Final round—Smith defeated Freedman, 6/2, 8/6.

## CLASS B SINGLES.

Semi-final round—Wasserman defeated Wilson, 6/4, 6/1; Bourne defeated Lyons, 4/6, 8/6, 6/1.

Final round—Bourne defeated Wasserman, 8/6, 7/5.

## DOUBLES.

Semi-final round—Dr. Keane and Freedman defeated Davis and Roundey, 6/4, 6/4; Brodie and Dennis defeated Newton and Bourne, 6/2, 2/6, 6/2.  
Final round—Brodie and Dennis defeated Dr. Keane and Freedman, 7/5, 6/2, 6/1.

## Chicago Tennis League, 1907.

**Kenwood Country Club vs. University of Chicago.**—Roff, Kenwood, defeated Torrey, 6/4, 6/4; Hayes, Kenwood, defeated Kinsley, 6/2, 6/4; Garrett, Kenwood, defeated Michaelson, 6/1, 6/3; Knight, Kenwood, defeated Kroesch, 6/3, 6/0; Cornean, Kenwood, defeated McIntosh, 6/3, 6/3.

**Evanston Country Club vs. River Forest Tennis Club.**—McKenzie, River Forest, defeated Lord, 6/0, 6/3; Beye, River Forest, defeated Augur, 8/6, 6/4; Herrick, River Forest, defeated Walter, 6/3, 11/9; DeGolyer, Evanston, defeated Gale, 6/3, 6/4; Cornell, Evanston, defeated Packard, 6/4, 6/4; Moore, Evanston, defeated Davis, 4/6, 6/4, 6/3. Doubles—McHenry and Beye defeated Waller and Augur, 6/3, 6/2; Herrick and Gale defeated Cornell and DeGolyer, 6/1, 6/2; Packard and Davis defeated Lord and Howard, 7/5, 6/0.

**Aztec Tennis Club vs. Wanderers' Cricket Club.**—Keeley, Wanderers, defeated Forestall, 9/7, 6/3; Wilking, Aztec, defeated Goodbody, 6/4, 4/6, 6/4; Darrow, Wanderers, defeated Ricker, 6/4, 6/2; Wilbrenner, Aztec, defeated Cock, 9/7, 4/6, 6/4; Hillow, Aztec, defeated Coppen, 6/4, 6/4; Weidler, Aztec, defeated Winnans, 3/6, 6/4, 9/7. Doubles—Neely and Goodwin, Wanderers, defeated Wilkins and Baumann, 6/2, 6/2; Darrow and Cock, Wanderers, defeated Wilbrenner and Ricker, 9/7, 2/6, 6/2; Kellogg and Weidler, Aztec, defeated Coppens, 6/2, 6/4.

**Kenwood vs. River Forest.**—Ross, Kenwood, defeated Seabury, 6/2, 6/3; Peters, Kenwood, defeated Herrick, 6/2, 8/6; Hayes, Kenwood, defeated Beye, 6/2, 6/2; McKeever, Kenwood, defeated Packard, 6/4, 6/3; Gardner, Kenwood, defeated Davis, 6/3, 6/3; Miles, Kenwood, defeated Gale, 6/2, 8/6. Doubles—Gardner and Ross, Kenwood, defeated Seabury and Beye, 6/2, 6/4; Peters and Hayes, Kenwood, defeated Herrick and Gale, 6/3, 6/3. Total points—Kenwood, 8; River Forest, 0.

**Evanston vs. Wanderers' Cricket Club.**—Neely, Wanderers, defeated Lord, 6/0, 6/4; Darrow, Wanderers, defeated Walter, 7/9, 6/3, 6/2; Bond, Wanderers, defeated Augur, 6/4, 6/4; Poppen, Wanderers, defeated White, 6/1, 6/4; Connell, Evanston, defeated Cock, 6/1, 7/5; Goodbody, Wanderers, defeated DeGolyer, 6/1, 7/5. Doubles—Neely and Goodbody, Wanderers, defeated Connell and DeGolyer, 6/3, 6/4. Total points—Wanderers, 6; Evanston, 1.

**Aztec vs. University of Chicago.**—Torrey, Chicago, defeated Burford, 6/4, 6/2; Proctor, Chicago, defeated Weadley, 6/3, 6/1; Kinsley, Chicago, defeated Wilbrenner, 6/8, 6/3, 6/1; Kellogg, Aztec, defeated Michaelson, 6/3, 6/1; Kroesel, Chicago, defeated Baumann, 6/2, 6/4; Ham, Chicago, defeated Kraner, 6/4, 4/6, 6/3. Total points—Chicago, 5; Aztec, 1.

**Aztec vs. Kenwood.**—Ross, Kenwood, defeated Burford, 3/6, 6/0, 6/2; Peters, Kenwood, defeated Loesch, 8/6, 6/2; Gardner, Kenwood, defeated Wilkins, 6/3, 5/7, 6/4; Byford, Kenwood, defeated Wilbrenner, 6/3, 6/4; McKeever, Kenwood, defeated Ricker, 6/4, 6/1; Knight, Kenwood, defeated Kellogg, 5/7, 6/2, 7/5. Doubles—Gardner and Byford, Kenwood, defeated Wilkins and Wilbrenner, 6/3, 6/2; Peters and Ross, Kenwood, defeated Loesch and Forestall, 13/11, 4/6, 6/4; Burford and Ricker, Aztec, defeated McKeever and Knight, 6/3, 6/4. Total points—Kenwood, 8; Aztec, 1.

**Evanston vs. University of Chicago.**—Toney, Chicago, defeated Lord, 6/4, 10/8; Proctor, Chicago, defeated Connell, 6/4, 6/3; Kinsley, Chicago, defeated Augur, 6/4, 6/4; Kroesch, Chicago, defeated DeGolyer, 6/3, 9/7; McIntosh, Chicago, defeated Walter, 6/1, 8/6; Patterson, Evanston, defeated Ham, 6/3, 6/0. Doubles—Toney and Proctor, Chicago, defeated Lord and Patterson, 6/4, 10/8; Angus and Walter, Evanston, defeated Kinsley and Michaelson, 7/5, 4/6, 6/2; Kroesch and McIntosh, Chicago, defeated Connell and DeGolyer, 4/6, 6/2, 9/7. Total points—Chicago, 7; Evanston, 2.

**Wanderers vs. River Forest.**—Bond, Wanderers, defeated McKenzie, 6/2, 6/3; Neely, Wanderers, defeated Beye, 6/1, 7/5; Goodbody, Wanderers, de-

feated Herrick, 6/3, 6/4; Darrow. Wanderers, defeated Packard, 8/6, 6/4; Poppen, Wanderers, defeated Davis, 8/6, 6/4; Gale, River Forest, defeated Beck, 2/6, 6/2, 7/5. Doubles—Bond and Darrow, Wanderers, defeated McKenzie and Beye, 6/4, 6/4; Goodbody and Neely, Wanderers, defeated Gale and Herrick, 6/2, 2/6, 6/3; Poppen and Beck, Wanderers, defeated Packard and Davis, 8/10, 7/5, 7/5. Total points—Wanderers, 8; River Forest, 1.

**University of Chicago vs. Wanderers.**—Proctor, Chicago, defeated Neely, 2/6, 6/2, 6/4; Bond, Wanderers, defeated Kinsley, 3/6, 6/4, 6/1; Kroesch, Chicago, defeated Darrow, 3/6, 6/4, 6/4; Adams, Chicago, defeated Cheney, 3/6, 6/4, 6/3; McIntosh, Chicago, defeated Poppen, 6/3, 4/6, 6/4; Ham, Chicago, defeated Cock, 4/6, 6/1, 6/3. Doubles—Proctor and Kinsley, Chicago, defeated Neely and Cheney, 4/6, 6/4, 7/5; French and McIntosh, Chicago, defeated Darrow and Cock, 6/1, 6/1; Adams and Ham, Chicago, defeated Bond and Poppen, by default.

**Evanston vs. Kenwood.**—Lord, Evanston, defeated Knight, 6/3, 3/6, 9/7; Miles, Kenwood, defeated DeGolyer, 5/7, 6/3, 6/2; Walters, Evanston, defeated O'Brien, 6/4, 9/7; Augur, Evanston, defeated Corneau, 6/3, 1/6, 6/4; Connell, Evanston, defeated Warren, 6/0, 7/5; Wells, Kenwood, defeated Searing, 6/4, 1/6, 6/2. Doubles—Knight and O'Brien, Kenwood, defeated DeGolyer and Lord, 6/2, 6/4; Connell and Searing, Evanston, defeated Baldwin and Lockett, 3/6, 6/1, 6/4; Augur and Walters, Kenwood, vs. Miles and Corneau, 6/4, 5/7, postponed.


**Aztec vs. River Forest.**—Forstall, Aztec, defeated Seabury, 6/0, 6/4; Burford, Aztec, defeated McKenzie, 6/3, 6/2; Gale, River Forest, defeated Wilkens, 3/6, 6/4, 6/4; Wilbrenner, Aztec, defeated Packard, 6/1, 8/6; Klancr, Aztec, defeated Davis, 8/6, 4/6, 6/2; Hall, River Forest, defeated Keith, 3/6, 6/3, 6/4. Doubles—Gale and McKenzie, River Forest, defeated Burford and Forstall, 3/6, 6/4, 8/6; Wilkens and Wilbrenner, Aztec, defeated Davis and Packard, 6/3, 4/6, 6/2; Klancr and Kellogg, Aztec, defeated Seabury and Hall, 6/3, 6/4.

**Aztec vs. Kenwood.**—Knight, Kenwood, defeated Burford, 7/5, 3/6, 6/3; Miles, Kenwood, defeated McCulloch, 6/3, 6/1; Cramer, Aztec, defeated Crozier, 5/7, 6/3, 6/1; Kirk, Aztec, defeated Lockett, 15/13, 6/4; Hart, Kenwood, defeated Redheffer, 8/6, 6/1; Modica, Aztec, defeated Moody, 13/11, 6/3; Atkinson, Aztec, defeated Haben, 1/6, 8/6, 7/5; Naper, Aztec, defeated Esson, 6/2, 4/6, 6/1; Watkins, Aztec, defeated Watson, 6/3, 6/4; Ullman, Kenwood, defeated, Reddick, 6/1, 8/6; Taylor, Kenwood, defeated Merrill, 6/0, 6/1; Shorts, Kenwood, defeated Madsen, 6/4, 7/5; De Beers, Aztec, defeated Cincy, 3/6, 6/4, 6/3; Munger, Kenwood, defeated Ross, 6/0, 6/3; Mills, Kenwood, defeated Haines, 6/1, 6/4; Lattimer, Kenwood, defeated Stroud, 6/1, 6/4.

**Kenwood vs. Aztec.**—Forstall, Aztec, defeated McKeever, 6/3, 7/9, 6/3; Kellogg, Aztec, defeated Buttolph, 2/6, 6/4, 6/1; O'Brien, Kenwood, defeated Ricker, 12/10, 9/7; Baldwin, Kenwood, defeated Baumann, 6/2, 6/1; Klauer, Aztec, defeated Chickering, 6/4, 6/3; Waidley, Aztec, defeated Corneau, 7/5, 6/1; Keith, Aztec, defeated West, 4/6, 8/6, 6/3; E. B. Baumann, Kenwood, defeated Williams, 7/5, 6/0; Banford, Aztec, defeated Barker, 6/4, 6/2; Powers, Aztec, defeated Boyle, 6/2, 6/3; Webster, Kenwood, defeated Witt, 6/1, 6/0; Naper, Aztec, defeated Richards, 4/6, 6/2, 6/3; Linnell, Aztec, defeated Crocker, 4/6, 6/2, 9/7. Total points—Aztec, 16; Kenwood, 13.

## Wanderers' Cricket and Athletic Club Tourney.

From a big entry list for the "curtain-raiser" for the Western championship—the Wanderers' Cricket and Athletic Club Tourney—played at the Parkside, Ill., courts, July 22-27, hard play sifted out the stars for the final contests, Peters having earned his way to the finals by reason of his brilliant defeat of McKeever and Burford getting that far along by reason of his success over Gardner. In the last match Peters removed Burford upon whom he seemed to have the "Indian sign" and then came Peters' famous default to Heath Byford,



1—Harold L. Beyer, Grinnell, Iowa, Singles Champion Central Iowa Tennis Association. 2—Ralph Burns, Secretary-Treasurer Toronto Tennis Club; Holder with E. S. Glassco of the Canadian Doubles Championship, and formerly Canadian and Ontario Singles Champion. 3—Geo. J. Gordon, Nevada, Mo. 4—H. W. Cockerill, Nevada, Mo.



when the latter seemed about to be beaten by Peters. The latter was fatigued and knew best the extent of his strength and resisted all efforts on the part of Byford to get him to rest while the match was postponed. The summaries:

#### SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Beck defeated Bailey, 7/9, 6/3, 6/2; Wilkins defeated Cheney, 3/6, 6/3, 6/4; Petus defeated Hale, 6/0, 6/1; Keith defeated Lynch, 5/7, 6/0, 6/2; Cock defeated Robertson, 7/5, 5/7, 6/3; Goodbody defeated Elmsley, 6/2, 6/1; Burford defeated Tucker, 6/0, 6/2; Rockwell defeated R. T. French, 6/4, 6/3.

First round—Ross defeated Miles, 6/3, 6/2; Wolf defeated Henry, 6/3, 4/6, 6/4; Beach defeated West, 6/3, 6/4; Carr defeated O'Brien, 3/6, 6/4, 6/2; Darrow defeated A. O. Lee, 3/6, 6/3, 6/4; Kellogg defeated Winston, 6/3, 7/5; Lucius defeated Boodle, 6/3, 7/5; Poppen defeated Ricker, 8/6, 6/2; Wilkens defeated Beck, 6/3, 6/2; Peters defeated Keith, 6/4, 7/5; Goodbody defeated Cock, 6/1, 6/0; Burford defeated Rockwell, 6/2, 6/2; Ashcraft defeated Wilson, by default; Donaldson defeated Carver, by default; Ballantine defeated Seymour, 6/3, 6/4; Wood defeated Miller, by default; Hayes defeated Dunscombe, 4/6, 6/0, 6/0; Fearing defeated Simpson, 6/0, 6/1; Shipman defeated Campbell, 6/8, 8/6, 7/5; Waldo defeated Barker, 6/4, 8/6; Klauer defeated R. Roche, 6/3, 7/5; Gardner defeated F. C. Fearing, 6/2, 6/1; Knight defeated A. J. Lee, 7/5, 6/2.

Second round. Winners—Poppen, McKeever, Kellogg, Ashcraft, Ross, Beach, Peters, Burford, Hayes, McLawry.

Third round. Winners—Kellogg, Hayes, Ross, Burford, Gardner, Stern, McKeever.

Fourth round. Winners—McKeever, Gardner, Peters, Burford.

Semi-final round. Winners—Peters, Burford.

Final round—Peters defeated Burford, 3/6, 6/3, 6/2, 6/2.

Championship round—Byford defeated Peters, 7/5, 4/6, 9/7, default.

### Central Iowa Tennis Association.

The second annual tournament was held on the courts of the Toledo (Iowa) Lawn Tennis Club, July 10-13, 1907, and was productive of many close and interesting matches. Dr. J. W. Cogswell, of Toledo, won his way to the challenge round, which brought out some fast tennis. Harold L. Beyer, of Grinnell, the leader of last year's tournament, won by three sets to one.

The doubles were captured by W. A. Kaufman and M. A. Trumbull, of Conrad. Their playing was characterized by steadiness and persistence, their team work was excellent, and their strokes were clean-cut and strong. This tournament has become a permanent annual event at Toledo. Summary of the tournament follows:

#### SINGLES.

First round—G. C. Rorem, Radcliffe, defeated S. H. Overholser, Toledo, 6/2, 6/3; A. A. Blatherwick, Grinnell, defeated John Connell, Toledo, 6/3, 6/3; George Hutchinson, Toledo, defeated H. Y. Simmons, Cedar Rapids, 6/3, 1/6, 6/2; J. W. Cogswell, Toledo, defeated F. P. St. Clair, Toledo, 6/3, 1/6, 6/2; H. F. McMurray, Grinnell, defeated Smith, Eagle Grove, by default.

Second round—Carl Goodyear defeated Rorem, 6/1, 6/0; Blatherwick defeated Hutchinson, 6/2, 4/6, 6/4; Cogswell defeated McMurray, 6/0, 6/4; Kaufman defeated Shambaugh, 6/3, 6/2.

Semi-final round. Winners—Blatherwick, Cogswell.

Final round—Cogswell defeated Blatherwick, 7/5, 3/6, 6/4, 6/2.

Challenge round—Beyer, Grinnell (holder) defeated Cogswell (challenger), 6/3, 6/3, 4/6, 6/1.



## DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—J. W. Cogswell and E. C. Halsey, Toledo, defeated S. H. Overholser and G. H. Struble, Toledo, 7/5, 6/1.

First round—A. A. Blatherwick and H. L. Beyer, Grinnell, defeated George Hutchinson and John Connell, Toledo, 6/4, 6/0; Cogswell and Halsey defeated H. E. Fredericks and Herment, Conrad, 6/3, 3/6, 6/1; S. S. Shambaugh, Booneville, and G. C. Rorem, Radcliffe, defeated H. F. McMurray, Grinnell, and R. P. Kepler, Toledo, 6/2, 6/2; W. A. Kaufman and M. A. Trumbull, Conrad, defeated Carl Goodyear and H. Y. Simmons, Cedar Rapids, 6/3, 10/8.

Semi-final round. Winners—Cogswell and Halsey, Kaufman and Trumbull.

Final round—Kaufman and Trumbull defeated Cogswell and Halsey, 6/1, 6/8, 6/2, 6/1.

## Northeastern Iowa Tennis Association Tournament.

The second annual tournament of the Northeastern Iowa Tennis Association was held on the courts of the Cresco Tennis Club, August 28, 29 and 30, 1907. The success of the event was such that an annual meet is now assured. The local club had entire control of a programme of entertainment on which they cleared over \$1,000. Hon. William J. Bryan, Governor Cummins and Father McCortey were among the afternoon speakers and a high class of artists entertained in the evening in a tent erected for the occasion.

Thirty-eight players contested in the singles and fifteen pairs in the doubles. First and second prizes were given the winners in all events except the consolations, in which first prizes only were given. The prize winners were:

Singles—First prize, Dr. Edward Bailey, Charles City, Ia.; second prize, Dr. E. H. Allen, Cresco, Ia.

Doubles—First prize, Dr. Allen, Cresco, and J. Vernon, Prairie du Chien, Wis.; second prize, Dr. Lappin and Dr. Walker, Riceville, Ia.

Consolation singles—Prize to A. T. Nierling, Waukon, Ia.

Consolation doubles—Frank Baker and Ray Algyar, Decorah, Ia.

## MEN'S SINGLES.

Semi-final round—Bailey defeated Vernon, 6/4, 6/2, 6/4; Allen defeated Sobolik, 6/4, 6/1, 6/2.

Final round—Allen defaulted to Bailey.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Semi-final round—Allen and Vernon defeated Nierling and Niehaus, 4/6, 6/1, 6/1, 6/2; Lappin and Walker defeated Kerwin and Roy, 6/4, 6/2, 6/2.

Final round—Allen and Vernon defeated Lappin and Walker, 8/6, 6/2, 3/6, 6/1.

## Intercollegiate Championships

A new Intercollegiate champion was made at Philadelphia, when out of four Harvard men, left in the semi-finals, G. P. Gardner, the darkest of dark horses and the player least looked to as the ultimate winner, played N. W. Niles to a standstill and grasped a well-deserved victory, with the loss of only one set. The doubles went to Harvard, too, Niles and A. Dabney defeating the Princeton pair, S. Gordon and A. Gerlach, in straight sets, the Cambridge men thus making a clean sweep.

The annual battle for the college blue ribbons was fought, as usual, on the fine grass courts of the Merion Cricket Club at Haverford, Pa., beginning October 1. The weather conditions were anything but good, the rain, cold and wind causing the meeting to drag along until Tuesday, October 8. The contenders for the honors previously won by such giants of the past dozen years, to go back no farther, as Hovey, Larned, Chace, Whitman, Davis, Ware, Alexander, Little, Clothier, Dewhurst, and LeRoy, were sufficiently numerous and classy to give promise of good sport, a promise that was kept only in part. There were fewer hard-fought matches than usually result from a tournament of such importance, and this lack of evenness extended even to the finals. The summaries:

### SINGLES.

First round—S. Gordon, Princeton, defeated E. M. Kelker, Cornell, 6/1, 3/4; N. W. Niles, Harvard, defeated A. J. Spaulding, Yale, 7/9, 6/1, 6/3; A. Thayer, Pennsylvania, defeated Chase, Cornell 6/1, 6/4; H. Bundy, Yale, defeated E. Miller, Haverford, 6/0, 6/3; Clarence Pell, Harvard, defeated J. K. Thompson, Williams, 6/3, 6/4; W. Gerlach, Princeton, defeated H. P. Parks, Columbia, by default; R. M. Philler, Pennsylvania, defeated N. C. Stevens, Yale, 6/2, 4/6, 6/2; H. Furness, Haverford, defeated L. Merris, Cornell 6/4, 3/6 6/3; G. P. Gardner, Harvard, defeated M. T. Pyne, Princeton, 1/6, 6/3, 6/2; N. H. Bundy, Yale, defeated R. Hendrick, Columbia, by default; H. B. Register, Pennsylvania, defeated J. Allison, Haverford, 6/1, 6/4; F. Greer, Cornell, defeated F. E. Dixon, Princeton, 10/8, 4/6, 6/3.

Second round—W. M. Tilden, Pennsylvania, defeated F. Bailey, Haverford, 6/1, 8/6; A. Dabney, Harvard, defeated S. J. Thompson, Williams, 6/2, 6/0; W. Niles defeated Gordon, 2/6, 6/2, 6/3; Bundy defeated Thayer, 9/7, 6/3; Pell defeated Gerlach, 6/3, 6/2; Philler defeated Furness, 6/1, 4/6; Gardner defeated Bundy, 6/3, 9/7; Register defeated Greer, 6/1, 6/3; Dabney defeated Thompson, 6/2, 6/0.

Third round—Niles defeated Tilden, 6/3, 6/4; Pell defeated Bundy, 6/3, 9/7; Gardner defeated Philler, 6/2, 6/1; Dabney defeated Register, 6/1, 1/6, 6/2.

Semi-final round—Niles defeated Pell, 6/4, 6/1; Gardner defeated Dabney, 6/2, 6/3.

Final round—Gardner defeated Niles, 6/4, 4/6, 6/1, 6/2.

### DOUBLES.

First round—Tilden and Register, Pennsylvania, defeated Spaulding and Bundy, Yale, 2/6, 6/4, 6/4; Gerlach and Gordon, Princeton, defeated Hendrick and Banks, Columbia, by default; Morris and Kolker, Cornell, defeated Bailey and Furness, Haverford, 6/3, 6/3; Niles and Dabney, Harvard, defeated Payne and Dixon, Princeton, 8/6, 6/4; Jones and Bundy, Yale, defeated S. J. and T. K. Thompson, Williams, 6/4, 6/4; Philler and Thayer, Pennsylvania, defeated Greer and Chase, Cornell, 6/2, 6/2.

Second round—Philler and Thayer, Pennsylvania, defeated Thomas and Allison, Haverford, 6/3, 6/2; Gardner and Pell, Harvard, defeated Tilden and Register, Pennsylvania, 3/6, 6/1, 6/3; Gerlach and Gordon,

Princeton, defeated Merrill and Kelker, Cornell, 6/0, 6/4; Niles and Dabney, Harvard, defeated Jones and Bundy, Yale, 7/5, 6/3.

Semi-final round—Gerlach and Gordon, Princeton, defeated Gardner and Pell, Harvard, 6/2, 6/4; Niles and Dabney, Harvard, defeated Philler and Thayer, Pennsylvania, 6/4, 3/6, 6/4.

Final round—Niles and Dabney defeated Gerlach and Gordon, 6/2, 6/3, 7/5.

## Previous Intercollegiate Champions.

### SINGLES.

In 1883 and 1884 the championships were held at Hartford; 1885 to 1899, inclusive, at New Haven; since 1900, at Philadelphia.

1883 (Spring)—J. S. Clark (Harvard); (Fall)—H. A. Taylor (Harvard). 1884—W. P. Knapp (Yale). 1885—W. P. Knapp (Yale). 1886—G. M. Brinley (Trinity). 1887—P. S. Sears (Harvard). 1888—P. S. Sears (Harvard). 1889—R. P. Huntington, Jr. (Yale). 1890—F. H. Hovey (Harvard). 1891—F. H. Hovey (Harvard). 1892—W. A. Larned (Cornell). 1893—M. G. Chace (Brown). 1894—M. G. Chace (Yale). 1895—M. G. Chace (Yale). 1896—M. D. Whitman (Harvard). 1897—S. G. Thomson (Princeton). 1898—L. E. Ware (Harvard). 1899—D. F. Davis (Harvard). 1900—R. D. Little (Princeton). 1901—F. B. Alexander (Princeton). 1902—W. J. Clothier (Harvard). 1903—E. B. Dewhurst (University of Pennsylvania). 1904—R. LeRoy (Columbia). 1905—E. B. Dewhurst (University of Pennsylvania). 1906—R. LeRoy (Columbia). 1907—G. P. Gardner (Harvard).

### DOUBLES.

1883 (Spring)—J. S. Clark and H. A. Taylor (Harvard); (Fall)—H. A. Taylor and P. E. Presbrey (Harvard). 1884—W. P. Knapp and W. B. S. Thorne (Yale). 1885—W. P. Knapp and A. L. Shipman (Yale). 1886—W. P. Knapp and W. L. Thatcher (Yale). 1887—P. S. Sears and Q. A. Shaw, Jr. (Harvard). 1888—V. G. Hall and O. S. Campbell (Columbia). 1889—O. S. Campbell and A. E. Wright (Columbia). 1890—Q. A. Shaw, Jr., and S. T. Chase (Harvard). 1891—F. H. Hovey and R. D. Wrenn (Harvard). 1892—R. D. Wrenn and F. B. Winslow (Harvard). 1893—M. G. Chace and C. R. Budlong (Brown). 1894—M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote (Yale). 1895—M. G. Chace and A. E. Foote (Yale). 1896—L. E. Ware and W. M. Scudder (Harvard). 1897—L. E. Ware and M. D. Whitman (Harvard). 1898—L. E. Ware and M. D. Whitman (Harvard). 1899—Holcomb Ward and D. F. Davis (Harvard). 1900—F. B. Alexander and R. D. Little (Princeton). 1901—H. A. Plummer and S. L. Russell (Yale). 1902—W. J. Clothier and E. W. Leonard (Harvard). 1903—B. Colston and E. Clapp (Yale). 1904—Behr and Bodman (Yale). 1905—Dewhurst and Register (Pennsylvania). 1906—Wells and Spaulding (Yale). 1907—N. W. Niles and A. Dabney (Harvard).

## New England Intercollegiate Tournament.

While the New England Intercollegiate tournament, which was played on the dirt courts of the Longwood Cricket Club, Boston, May 28, 29, and 30, was as a whole productive of mediocre play, there were enough closely contested matches to lend it interest. J. I. B. Larned, formerly of Harvard, but playing for Technology, carried off the honors, winning the championship in singles and, with Coffin, the doubles. F. R. Budlong, Brown, a brother of C. R. Budlong, was runner-up in singles and pushed Larned to the full five sets.

In the final Budlong and Larned faced each other, and the first set was won by Budlong by a great pull-up after his opponent had him five games to two. By forcing the play in the second set he added that to his score by 6/2. The third set brought out brilliant tennis on both sides, particularly on that of Larned, who won out, 6/4. The fourth set was stubbornly contested, but it was plain to see the Brown man was tiring. Larned winning the set, 6/4. The last set was easy for Larned, who captured it, 6/1, thereby winning the championship.

In the doubles there were two good matches, they being between the Technology team, composed of Larned and Coffin, the Dartmouth team, composed of Rotch and McLane, and the Brown team, composed of Budlong and Pyle. The Technology team played Dartmouth in the first round and was perhaps the best contest in the doubles. Both teams played well together, making many brilliant smashes and cut-offs. Technology was more steady at critical points and won out, 6/2, 6/3.

In the second round Technology played Brown, and though it was a good contest the former won easily, 6/3, 6/1. The other matches were easy for Larned and Coffin, who won from the Amherst team, composed of Graham and Wolff, in the finals by the score of 6/3, 6/2, 6/0.

The standing of the colleges on the eight-point cup was as follows: Williams 6, Technology 6, Brown, 4, Amherst 2½, Dartmouth 2, Bowdoin 1, Tufts 1, Wesleyan ½, and Vermont 0.

## Southern Intercollegiate Championships.

When the entries for the first tournament ever held by the Southern Intercollegiate Lawn Tennis Association were made known and two Wake Forest men appeared on the list, there was a tendency to ask, "Where is this Wake Forest?" Before the tournament was over, however, the best college players of Georgia knew, to their sorrow; for the Wake Forest team took the championship, furnished the runner-up in the singles and captured the doubles with conspicuous ease. The Wake Forest team consisted of H. M. Poteat and E. B. Earnshaw. Mr. Poteat becomes the president of the Southern Intercollegiate Tennis Association as a result of winning the singles. The results follow:

### SINGLES.

Preliminary round—R. R. Stewart, Tech., defeated H. D. Watson, Miss. A. and M., by default.

First round—H. H. Deane, Ga., defeated E. R. Blanton, Miss., by default; H. M. Poteat, Wake Forest, defeated R. B. Scott, Ga., 6/0, 6/0; J. D. Dawson, Tech., defeated G. W. Wight, Gordon, 6/1, 7/5; B. B. Davis, Ga., defeated Stewart, 6/4, 2/6, 6/3; W. G. Gary, Ga., defeated V. S. Dawson, Tech., 7/5, 7/5; E. V. Carter, Jr., Ga., defeated T. H. Burriss, Miss. A. and M., by default; G. C. Middlebrooks, Ga., defeated W. B. Coleman, Gordon, 6/1, 6/2; E. B. Earnshaw, Wake Forest, defeated W. Watson, Miss. A. and M., by default.

Second round. Winners—Poteat, Davis, Carter, Earnshaw.


Semi-final round. Winners—Poteat, Earnshaw.

Final round—Poteat defeated Earnshaw, 5/7, 6/0, 6/1, 6/0.

### DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Poteat and Earnshaw, Wake Forest, defeated Deane and Davis, Ga., 6/0, 6/0.

First round—Swift and Scott, Ga., defeated Blanton and Burriss, Miss. A. and M., by default; Poteat and Earnshaw defeated Fleming and Cald-



**WALLACE JOHNSON,**  
Holder of the Championship of Phila-  
delphia and Vicinity.



**DEAN MATHEY,**  
Princeton Interscholastic Champion.



well, Tech., 6/1, 6/1; Dawson and Dawson, Tech., defeated Wight and Coleman, Gordon, 6/3, 6/3; Carter and Middlebrooks, Ga., defeated Watson and Watson, Miss. A. and M., by default.

Semi-final round. Winners—Poteat and Earnshaw, Carter and Middlebrooks.

Final round—Poteat and Earnshaw defeated Carter and Middlebrooks, 6/0, 3/6, 6/2.

## Western Intercollegiate Tournament.

Played at the University of Chicago courts, May 27 to June 1.

Inclement weather interfered seriously with the progress of the annual tournament of the Western Intercollegiate Tennis Association at the University of Chicago courts. But Gray, a maroon expert, won the final honors by defeating Carr, his university mate, by default. The doubles were won by the Chicago pair, defeating Loesch and Roehm, the Wisconsin players, in a grand battle of five sets. The summary:

### MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Carr, Chicago, defeated Perrin, Illinois, 6/1, 6/2; Loesch, Wisconsin, defeated Donohoe, Illinois, 6/0, 6/2; Stone, Minnesota, defeated Oliver, Iowa, 4/6, 6/1, 6/1; Seig, Iowa, defeated Northwestern man, by default.

First round—Gray, Chicago, defeated Muir, Minnesota, 7/5, 8/10, 6/0; Roehm, Wisconsin, defeated Northwestern man, by default; Stone, Minnesota, defeated Oliver, 4/6, 6/1, 6/2; Loesch defeated Donohue, 6/0, 6/2.

Second round—Loesch defeated Seig, 7/5, 6/4; Carr defeated Stone, 6/1, 6/2.

Semi-final round—Carr defeated Roehm, 6/3, 6/3; Gray defeated Loesch, 6/4, 8/6.

Final round—Gray defeated Carr, by default.

### MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Loesch and Roehm, Wisconsin, defeated Donohue and Perrin, Illinois, 6/3, 6/1; Oliver and Seig, Iowa, defeated Muir and Stone, Minnesota, 6/4, 4/6, 6/3.

Semi-final round—Gray and Carr defeated Oliver and Seig, 6/0, 7/5.

Final round—Gray and Carr defeated Loesch and Roehm, 3/6, 6/3, 11/9, 6/10, 6/1.

## National Interscholastic Championship.

Semi-final round—W. F. Johnson, University of Pennsylvania, defeated J. Reimer, Columbia University, 6/0, 6/1; Arthur Sweetser, Harvard University, defeated Dean Mathey, Princeton University, 6/4, 4/6, 6/2.

Final round—W. F. Johnson, University of Pennsylvania, defeated Arthur Sweetser, Harvard University, 7/6, 6/3, 7/9, 7/5.

## Previous Interscholastic Champions.

The championships in 1891 and 1892 were played at Cambridge; since 1893 at Newport, when they are held during the week of the National Championships.

1891—R. D. Wrenn (Harvard), Cambridge Latin. 1892—M. G. Chace (Harvard), University Grammar, Providence, R. I. 1893—C. R. Budlong (Harvard), High, Providence. 1894—W. C. Parker (Columbia), Tutor, New York. 1895—L. E. Ware (Harvard), Roxbury Latin. 1896—Rex Fincke (Yale), Hotchkiss, Lakeville, Conn. 1897—Rex Fincke (Yale), Hotchkiss, Lakeville, Conn. 1898—B. C.

Wright (Harvard), Hopkinson School, Boston, 1899—B. C. Wright (Harvard), Hopkinson School, Boston, 1900—I. C. Wright (Harvard), Hopkinson School, Boston, 1901—E. P. Larned (Princeton), Chatham Prep. School, 1902—H. H. Whitman (Harvard), Volkmann's School, Boston, 1903—H. K. Behr (Princeton), Lawrenceville School, 1904—N. W. Niles (Harvard), 1905—N. W. Niles (Harvard), 1906—J. Allan Ross (University of Chicago), 1907—W. F. Johnson (University of Pennsylvania).

## Western Interscholastic Tournament.

E. Adams of Evanston Township High School captured the "prep" school tennis tourney that Prof. A. A. Stagg supervised at the Midway courts of the University of Chicago on June 6-11, 1907. In order to get the final honors young Adams had to play a stiff game in the concluding match with Modisette, a Cleveland High School lad, the match requiring four sets to bring a decision. Evanston Township also got the title in doubles, for the finals in this competition were taken by Adams and his partner, Moore, who defeated Toy and Kinfield, the Morgan Park Academy team, in a forty-three game match. Summary:

### MEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Moore, Evanston Township, defeated Hayes, Evanston Academy, 6/1, 6/1; Phelps, Morgan Park, defeated Daniels, Marshall, 6/1, 6/4; Loesch, Francis Parker, defeated Child, Winnetka, 7/5, 5/7, 6/3; Du Vall, Morgan Park, defeated Yule, Evanston Township, 9/7, 6/4; Adams, Evanston Township, defeated Nudham, Morgan Park, 6/1, 6/1; Atkinson, Lakeview, defeated Foster, Evanston Academy, 6/2, 6/4; Wynne, North Division, defeated Schrode, Marshall, 6/4, 6/3; Kinfield, Morgan Park, defeated Peck, Evanston Academy, 2/6, 6/1, 7/5.

Second round—Guthrie, Englewood, defeated Wynne, 6/0, 6/0; Adams defeated Atkinson, 6/1, 6/1; Phelps defeated Clever, North Division, 6/1, 6/4; Toy, Morgan Park, defeated Moore, 2/6, 6/1, 7/5; Loesch defeated DuVall, 6/4, 6/1; Modisette, East High, Cleveland, defeated Bragg, Evanston Academy, 6/2, 6/4; Coleman, East High, Cleveland, defeated Kinfield, 6/0, 6/1; Thomas, Winona Academy, defeated Thompson, Evanston Academy, 6/4, 6/2.

Third round. Winners—Modisette, Coleman.

Semi-final round. Winners—Adams, Modisette.

Final round—Adams defeated Modisette, 1/6, 6/2, 6/3, 6/4.

### MEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Moore and Adams defeated Naylor and Dewey, Marshall, 6/1, 6/0; Hayes and Foster defeated Thomas and Thomas, Winona, by default; Yule and Squires, Evanston Academy, defeated Phares and Phelps, Morgan Park, by default; Kinfield and Toy defeated Clever and Wynne, 6/3, 6/0; Enis and Lew's, Oak Park, defeated Bragg and Thompson, 6/3, 6/3; DuVall and Needham defeated Schrader and Daniels, 6/3, 6/3.

Second round. Winners—Hayes and Foster, Moore and Adams.

Final round—Moore and Adams defeated Toy and Kinfield, 6/3, 6/2, 4/6, 3/6, 6/1.

## Women's National Championships

The new name marked on the record list of women champions is that of Miss Evelyn Sears of Boston. In the persons of Miss Sears and Miss Carrie B. Neely of Cincinnati the East and the near-West put the issue of skill to the test in the women's national championships, played at the Philadelphia Cricket Club grounds, Wissahickon Heights, Philadelphia, beginning June 25. The East won almost hands down, although Miss Neely made a better fight than the score, 6/3, 6/2, indicates.

The singles list contained the names of Miss Carrie B. Neely, the Cincinnati expert; Miss Evelyn Sears of Boston, winner of the Pennsylvania championship last year; Mrs. W. H. Pouch and Mrs. G. L. Chapman, Mrs. Barger-Wallach, Miss Marie Wagner, the Misses Edna, Mildred and Natalie Wildey, Miss Weimer of Washington, Miss Emily W. Scott, and a large delegation of Philadelphia players who have figured prominently in the interclub competition in that city. These included Miss Clara Chase, Miss Harlan, the Misses Ostheimer, Miss Sharswood, Miss Phyllis Green and others. With such a field of stars an abundance of good tennis was confidently expected, and for once expectations were not disappointed.

The match of the first round, and one of the best of the tournament, was that between Miss Neely and Mrs. Barger-Wallach. Skill and long experience on the courts were behind the representatives of the East and the Middle West and a hard-fought and most exhausting match resulted. Miss Neely looked like a winner in the first set, securing it at 6/2, but the second one resulted in a battle royal. The New Yorker used every resource at her command, and despite Miss Neely's spirited and varied defence captured the set at 10/8. It proved to be a Pyrrhic victory, however, for in the third and deciding set Mrs. Barger-Wallach was seized with cramps and play had to be stopped until she recovered. Her efforts to snatch victory were unavailing, Miss Neely winning by 6/4.

One of the most interesting matches of the doubles was between Miss Neely and Miss Weimer, who were opposed to Mrs. Chapman and Mrs. Pouch. The victory of the first pair was due to the team work which they exhibited. Time after time they would carefully lure their opponents to the center of the court and then send a smashing shot down the exposed alley. Miss Marion Sharswood and Miss Helen Rodgers put up a great game when they defeated the Misses Ostheimer.

The mixed doubles were also a source of considerable interest, the first round being particularly so, especially the first match in which Miss Wagner and E. B. Dewhurst defeated Mrs. Chapman and N. W. Niles, 6/2, 7/5. Niles was in good form, his service being particularly deadly, especially in the second set, when he won every service game, but this availed merely to carry the score to deuce, when Miss Wagner and Dewhurst ran it out and with it the match.

In the extra event, the men's singles, one match stood out prominently—that between J. R. Carpenter, Jr., and N. W. Niles. The former had just won the Pennsylvania State championship, while Niles had lost the challenge match for the Massachusetts championship to R. C. Seaver. As in the mixed doubles Niles' service did yeoman service, enabling him to prolong the set, but the Philadelphian finally pulled it out 10/8, following it up by a 6/3 victory in the second and deciding set. Champion W. J. Clothier lost a set to R. M. Brookfield by 2/6, but came back by winning the next set and match 6/1. This was in the first round, and in the second Clothier defaulted to A. L. Hoskins. Summaries:



MISS EVELYN SEARS,  
Boston,  
National Women's Champion, 1907.

## WOMEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Miss Agnes Groome, Merion C.C., defeated Miss Hodge, Philadelphia C.C., 6/2, 6/2; Miss Marie Weimer, Washington, defeated Miss Claire Kulp, Trenton, N. J., 6/3, 6/1; Mrs. Thomas Elwyn, Philadelphia C.C., defeated Miss Gertrude Fetterman, Philadelphia C.C., by default; Miss Phyllis Green, Merion C.C., defeated Miss Matilda Borda, Philadelphia C.C., 7/5, 6/4; Miss Edna Wildey, Plainfield, N. J., defeated Miss Caroline Clothier, Merion C.C., 6/2, 6/0; Miss Mildred Wildey, Plainfield, N. J., defeated Marie Disston, Philadelphia C.C., 6/4, 6/4; Miss Rachel Harlan, Belmont C.C., defeated Miss Lucy Disston, Philadelphia C.C., 6/3, 6/4; Miss Margaret Roberts, Germantown C.C., defeated Miss Duhing, Philadelphia C.C., 6/4, 6/4.

Second round—Miss E. G. Ostheimer, Huntingdon Valley C.C., defeated Miss Martha Groome, Merion C.C., 6/2, 7/5; Miss N. Ostheimer, Huntingdon Valley C.C., defeated Miss Hopkins, Philadelphia C.C., 6/3, 7/5; Miss Evelyn Sears, Boston, Mass., defeated Miss G. Ostheimer, Huntingdon Valley C.C., 6/0, 6/1; Miss Marie Wagner, New York, defeated Miss Catherine McFadden, Philadelphia C.C., 6/3, 6/0; Mrs. G. L. Chapman, Nyack, N. Y., defeated Mrs. William J. Clothier, Merion C.C., 6/0, 6/1; Miss Marie E. Weimer defeated Miss Agnes Groome, 6/2, 6/2; Miss Green defeated Mrs. Elwyn, 6/2, 6/0; Miss Edna Wildey defeated Miss Mildred Wildey, 6/2, 6/1; Miss Harlan defeated Miss Roberts, 6/1, 6/4; Mrs. Barger-Wallach, New York, defeated Miss Rodgers, Philadelphia C.C., 6/2, 6/0; Miss Neely, Cincinnati, Ohio, defeated Miss L. Ostheimer, Huntingdon Valley C.C., 6/3, 6/1; Miss Margaret LeRoy, Philadelphia C.C., defeated Miss Mary Sears, Merion C.C., by default; Mrs. W. H. Pouch, Englewood, N. J., defeated Miss Lewis, Philadelphia C.C., 6/1, 6/0; Miss Mary Coates, Merion C.C., defeated Miss E. Thompson, Philadelphia C.C., 6/3, 6/0; Miss Clara Chase defeated Miss Natalie Wildey, 3/6, 8/6, 7/5; Miss E. Scott defeated Miss N. Williams, 6/2, 6/2.

Third round—Miss E. G. Ostheimer, Philadelphia, defeated Miss N. Ostheimer, Philadelphia, 6/2, 6/1; Miss Evelyn Sears, Boston, defeated Miss Marie Wagner, New York, 6/2, 6/4; Mrs. G. L. Chapman, Nyack, N. Y., defeated Miss C. T. Chase, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Phyllis Green, Philadelphia, defeated Miss Marie Weimer, Washington, 4/6, 6/4, 6/2; Miss Rachel Harlan, Philadelphia, defeated Miss Edna Wildey, Plainfield, N. J., 6/1, 6/2; Miss Carrie B. Neely, Cincinnati, defeated Mrs. Barger-Wallach, New York, 6/2, 8/10, 6/4; Miss Emily Scott, New York, defeated Miss Margaret Leroy, Philadelphia, 6/3, 6/1; Mrs. W. H. Pouch, Englewood, N. J., defeated Miss Mary Coates, Philadelphia, 6/2, 6/4.

Fourth round—Miss Evelyn Sears defeated Miss E. G. Ostheimer, 6/1, 6/1; Mrs. G. L. Chapman defeated Miss Phyllis Green, 6/2, 6/0; Mrs. W. H. Pouch defeated Miss Emily Scott, 6/4, 6/2; Miss Neely defeated Miss Harlan, 2/6, 6/4, 6/3.

Semi-final round—Miss Sears defeated Mrs. Chapman, 6/2, 6/1; Miss Neely defeated Mrs. W. H. Pouch.


Final round—Miss Sears, Boston, defeated Miss Neely, Cincinnati, 6/3, 6/2.

## MIXED DOUBLES.

First round—Miss Sayres and Wallace F. Johnson defeated Miss Agnes Groome and W. H. Rowland, 6/4, 6/8, 6/4; Miss McFadden and F. E. Dixon defeated Miss Harlan and Dr. P. B. Hawk, 6/3, 7/5; Miss Mildred Wildey and W. Morris Tilden defeated Miss Coates and A. E. I. Jackson, 6/4, 6/4; Mrs. F. B. Gilbert and A. L. Hoskins defeated Miss Caroline Clothier and A. Porter, 6/1, 6/2.

Second round—Miss Edna Wildey and D. Mathey defeated Miss Borda and A. Thayer, 6/2, 6/2; Miss Cutter and William Jordan defeated Miss Kulp and O. Olyphant, 6/3, 6/1; Mrs. William J. Clothier and H. B. Register defeated Miss E. Howell and George Willing, Jr., 6/2, 3/6, 7/5; Miss Rastall and R. L. James defeated Miss Martha Groome and F. Hood, 6/2, 6/1; Miss LeRoy and R. Thayer defeated Miss Fetterman





SCENES IN FINAL OF THE LADIES' SINGLES CHAMPIONSHIP AT WIMBLEDON, 1907; MISS SUTTON (ON LEFT) VS. MRS. CHAMBERS.

Photos by the Sport and General Illustrations Co., London.

and R. N. Brookfield, 6/2, 6/4; Miss Marie Wagner and Dr. E. B. Dewhurst defeated Mrs. Chapman and N. W. Niles, 6/2, 7/5.

Third round—Miss Sayres and W. F. Johnson defeated Mrs. W. J. Clothier and H. B. Register, 6/2, 4/6, 6/3; Miss Wagner and Dr. E. B. Dewhurst defeated Miss LeRoy and R. Thayer, 6/4, 6/2.

Semi-final round—Miss Sayres and W. F. Johnson defeated Miss E. Wildey and Deane Mathey, 6/4, 6/2; Miss Wildey and W. M. Tilden defeated Miss Wagner and Dr. E. B. Dewhurst, 6/1, 2/6, 6/4.

Final round—Miss Sayres and W. F. Johnson defeated Miss Wildey and W. M. Tilden, 6/1, 7/5.

#### WOMEN'S DOUBLES.

First round—Miss Marion Sharswood and Miss Helen Rodgers defeated the Misses Ostheimer, 6/4, 9/11, 6/3; Misses Wildey defeated Miss LeRoy and Mrs. Clement Wainwright, 6/1, 6/1; Miss McFadden and Miss Agnes Groome defeated Miss E. Taylor and Miss K. Morris, 6/1, 6/2; Miss Helen Hodge and Miss Ross defeated Miss N. Ostheimer and Miss Caroline Clothier, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Neely and Miss Weimer defeated Mrs. K. L. Chapman and Mrs. W. H. Pouch, 6/3, 7/5; Mrs. William J. Clothier and Miss Martha Groome defeated Miss Hopkins and Miss Martin, 6/2, 6/1.

Second round—The Misses Wildey defeated Miss McFadden and Miss Agnes Groome, 8/6, 6/4; Miss Neely and Miss Weimer defeated Miss Hodge and Miss Ross, 6/2, 6/3; Mrs. W. J. Clothier and Miss M. Groome defeated Miss Disston and Miss Duhring, 6/4, 6/4.

Semi-final round—The Misses Wildey defeated Miss Chase and Miss Sears, by default; Miss Neely and Miss Weimer defeated Mrs. W. J. Clothier and Miss Groome, 6/2, 6/1.

Final round—Miss Neely and Miss Weimer defeated the Misses Wildey, 6/1, 2/6, 6/4.

### Pennsylvania Women's Championship.

By defeating Miss Eleanora Sears, Miss Edith Rotch, of Boston, won the coveted title of woman champion of Pennsylvania and the Eastern States at Philadelphia, June 10. The play started on Monday, June 3, at Haverford, on the grounds of the Merion Cricket Club, with twenty-four entries. Although not numerous, the entries were of a higher class than formerly, and some extremely good tennis resulted. Miss Rotch, whose play, through the whole tournament, was regular, deserves great credit for her victory over the former champion. At no time during the matches did any of her opponents score more than two games, except in the finals, when Miss Sears got four games, but even she failed to win a set.

On the first day of play Miss Sears found a hard problem in Miss Rachel Harlan, of Belmont. The latter won the first set, 6/1, while the second set was just the reverse, going to Miss Sears, 6/1. The deciding set was the best of the day. After the score stood six-all, Miss Sears steadily forced her opponent from her position at the net, compelling her to guard the back line, and gradually scored the last two games by cleverly placed side line shots.

The surprise of the second day was the easy way in which Miss Eleanora Sears and Miss Marion Fenno, of the Longwood Cricket Club, defeated Miss Edith Rotch and Miss Clara T. Chase in the women's doubles.

On the last day of the championships a large crowd turned out, expecting to see Miss Sears again carry off the honors. But a surprise was in store for them when Miss Rotch, with apparent ease, won, 6/1, 6/4. The feature of the day's play was the mixed doubles, when Miss Phyllis Green and C. M. Bunting defeated Miss K. McFad-



MISS M. MADARAS,  
Champion of Hungary in Singles and Doubles.



E. ZSIGMONDY,  
Champion of Hungary.



MISS K. CSERY,  
Champion of Hungary in Ladies' Doubles.

den and S. E. Dixon, 6/3, 3/6, and 8/6. The former two played a great game, keeping their opponents continuously on the run to cover the alleys and back-line.

The results of later rounds:

#### SINGLES.

Fourth round—Miss M. Fenno defeated Miss Sayres, 6/3, 6/3; Miss Eleanora Sears defeated Miss Phyllis Green, 6/2, 6/4; Miss Rotch defeated Miss M. Rostall, 6/2, 6/2; Mrs. J. G. Hibbs defeated Mrs. H. W. Lewis, 6/0, 6/1.

Semi-final round—Miss Sears defeated Miss Fenno, 6/2, 6/4; Miss Rotch defeated Mrs. J. G. Hibbs, 6/0, 6/1.

#### WOMEN'S DOUBLES.

Semi-final round—Mrs. J. G. Hibbs and Mrs. F. B. Gilbert, Jr., defeated Miss E. G. Ostheimer and Miss L. Ostheimer, 6/1, 5/7, 6/4; Miss E. Sears and Miss Fenno defeated Miss Phyllis Green and Miss Scott, 6/4, 6/1.

Final—Championship round—Miss Eleanora Sears and Miss Fenno defeated Mrs. Hibbs and Mrs. Gilbert, 6/1, 6/2.

#### MIXED DOUBLES.

Semi-final round—Miss Chase and E. B. Dewhurst defeated Miss Rostall and R. L. James, 7/5, 6/4; Miss Marlan and P. B. Hawk defeated Miss Phyllis Green and C. M. Bunting, 6/8, 6/2, 7/5.





MISS MAY SUTTON.  
Winner Ladies' English Championship, 1907.  
Photo by the Sport and General Illustrations Co., London.



## Canadian Championship

### International Tourney at Niagara-on-the-Lake.

Miss May Sutton was the conspicuous figure in the tourney at Niagara-on-the-Lake and proved the winner of the woman's championship. Playing with Kirkover, however, she was defeated for the mixed doubles title. Miss Edith Rotch, whom she defeated in the singles, was the instrument of her downfall in the doubles and won the title with Niles, in the final, defeating Miss Bessie Moore and Irving Wright. Foulkes defeated Niles in the final of the international singles, but could not defeat Irving Wright, the holder, in the challenge round.

The Canadian championships went to Foulkes in the men's singles, Miss Moyes in the women's singles, and Burns and Glassco in the men's doubles. Wright and Niles won the international doubles. The summary:

#### MEN'S INTERNATIONAL SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Smith defeated Routh, 6/1, 3/6, 7/5; Crangle defeated McNeely, 6/2, 7/5; Macklem defeated Schreiber, 5/7, 6/4, 6/2; Foulkes defeated Brown, 6/3, 4/6, 7/5; Sherwell defeated Everall, 6/1, 6/0; Jones defeated Clunn, 6/0, 6/3.

First round—Albro defeated Barrows, 6/2, 9/7; Bissell defeated Cutler, 11/9, 6/2; Masklem defeated Crangle, 6/4, 6/4; Smith defeated Silverthorne, 6/2, 6/2; McEachren defeated Carroll, 3/6, 6/1, 12/10; Kerr defeated Harney, 6/2, 6/2; Zucker defeated Moore, 4/6, 6/3; Dr. Coldham defeated Fox, 6/3, 6/1; Kirkover defeated Ross, 10/8, 6/2; Foulkes defeated Sherwell, 6/1, 5/7, 8/6; Jones defeated Bryant, 6/2, 6/4; Gaunt defeated Moss, 6/2, 4/6, 6/2; Davis defeated Campbell, 6/3, 6/3; Brown defeated Cassils, 7/5, 3/6, 6/4.

Second round. Winners—Albro, Bissell, Smith, McEachren, Zucker, Foulkes, Jones, Brown.

Third round. Winners—Bissell, McEachren, Foulkes, Brown.

Semi-final round. Winners—McEachren, Brown.

Final round—Brown defeated McEachren, 8/10, 6/4, 6/3, 9/7

#### MEN'S SINGLES, CANADIAN CHAMPIONSHIP, CANADIANS ONLY.

Preliminary round—Foulkes defeated Kerr, 6/0, 6/2; Burns defeated Ross, 6/2, 6/3.

First round—Hall defeated Buell, 6/1, 6/0; McEachren defeated Everall, 6/1, 6/3; Campbell defeated Schreiber, 6/1, 4/6, 6/3; Foulkes defeated Gaunt, 6/0, 6/1; Burns defeated Brown, 7/5, 7/5; Cassils defeated Routh, 4/6, 6/4, 6/3; Macklem defeated Clunn, by default; Sherwell defeated Foulkes, 6/3, 6/1.

Second round. Winners—Hall, Foulkes, Burns, Sherwell.

Semi-final round—Foulkes defeated Hall, 6/1, 6/2; Burns defeated Sherwell, 6/3, 6/4.

Final round—Foulkes defeated Burns, 6/3, 6/8, 6/3, 6/4.

#### LADIES' SINGLES, CANADIAN CHAMPIONSHIP.

Preliminary round—Mrs. Hale defeated Miss Shepard, 7/5, 6/3.

Semi-final round—Miss Hague defeated Mrs. Hale, 6/1, 6/1; Miss Hedley defeated Miss Gregg, by default.

Final round—Miss Hague defeated Miss Hedley, 6/1, 6/1.

Championship round—Miss Moyes (holder) defeated Miss Hague (challenger), 3/6, 6/4, 6/3.

## INTERNATIONAL OPEN SINGLES.

- Preliminary round—Kirkover defeated Brown, 6/2, 6/2; Cutler defeated Gaunt, 6/2, 6/2; Bissell defeated Cassils, 6/4, 6/2; Smith defeated Jones, 6/3, 6/1; Hall defeated Ross, 6/2, 6/4; Niles defeated Albrow, 6/2, 6/2; Smith defeated Routh, 6/2, 2/6, 6/0; Sherwell defeated Carroll, 6/2, 6/2; Macklem defeated Kerr, 6/3, 7/5; Chase defeated Bryant, 6/1, 6/2.
- First round—Burns defeated Campbell, 6/1, 6/4; Kirkover defeated Cutler, 6/4, 6/2; Bissell defeated Smith, 6/1, 6/3; Niles defeated Hall, 9/7, 8/6; Sherwell defeated Macklem, 6/1, 6/2; Chase defeated Schreiber, 6/2, 6/2; Foulkes defeated Crangle, 6/3, 6/2.
- Second round—Burns defeated Kirkover, 6/3, 3/6, 6/0; Niles defeated Bissell, 6/2, 6/3; Sherwell defeated Smith, 6/1, 6/2; Foulke defeated Chase, by default.
- Semi-final round—Niles defeated Burns, 6/8, 6/3, 6/2; Foulkes defeated Sherwell, by default.
- Final round—Foulkes defeated Niles, 6/2, 2/6, 8/6, 6/4.
- Challenge round—I. C. Wright (holder) defeated Foulkes (challenger), 6/1, 6/4, 6/2.

## LADIES' INTERNATIONAL SINGLES.

- Preliminary round—Miss Sutton defeated Miss Harney, 6/0, 6/0; Miss Rotch defeated Miss Hague, 10/8, 6/0.
- First round—Miss Hedley defeated Mrs. Hale, 6/4, 6/1; Miss Sutton defeated Miss Sheppard, 6/0, 6/0; Miss Rotch defeated Miss Gregg, 6/1, 6/0; Miss Moyes defeated Mrs. Johnston, 6/0, 6/1.
- Semi-final round—Miss Sutton defeated Miss Hedley, 6/0, 6/0; Miss Rotch defeated Miss Moyes, 6/2, 6/4.
- Final round—Miss Sutton defeated Miss Rotch, 6/2, 6/1.

## MEN'S INTERNATIONAL DOUBLES.

- Preliminary round—Foulkes and Ross defeated Sherwell and Cassils, 6/2, 6/3; Kerr and Coldham defeated Hara and Hara, 6/3, 6/3; Hall and Macklem defeated Carroll and Smith, 8/6, 4/6, 6/1; Burns and Glasco defeated Carroll and Smith, 6/4, 6/3; Campbell and Brown defeated Moore and Crangle, 6/4, 6/1; Bissell and Routh defeated Schreiber and Foulkes, 6/4, 6/3.
- First round—Wright and Niles defeated Foulkes and Ross, by default; Hall and Macklem defeated Kerr and Coldham, 6/2, 6/0; Burns and Glasco defeated Campbell and Brown, 7/5, 8/6, Chase and Kirkover defeated Bissell and Routh, 3/6, 6/1, 6/4.
- Semi-final round—Wright and Niles defeated Hall and Macklem, 6/1, 6/2; Case and Kirkover defeated Burns and Glasco, 6/3, 6/3.
- Final round—Wright and Niles defeated Chase and Kirkover, 6/4, 6/2, 6/4.

## MEN'S DOUBLES, CANADIAN CHAMPIONSHIP.

- Preliminary round—Cassils and Kerr defeated Ross and Schreiber, 6/2, 6/2; Campbell and Brown defeated Macklem and Hall, 6/4, 6/2.
- Semi-final round—Cassils and Kerr defeated Suckling and Sherwell, 7/5, 6/2; Campbell and Brown defeated Foulkes and Foulkes, 6/1, 2/6, 6/3.
- Final round—Campbell and Brown defeated Cassils and Kerr, 6/3, 6/1, 6/2.
- Challenge round—Burns and Glasco (holders) defeated Campbell and Brown (challengers), 7/5, 6/3, 6/3.

## MIXED DOUBLES.

- Preliminary round—Mrs. Hale and Bissell defeated Mrs. Harney and Brown, 6/3, 7/5.
- First round—Miss Moore and Wright defeated Miss Hague and Hall, 6/4, 6/3; Miss Moyes and Foulkes defeated Mrs. Hale and Bissell, by default; Miss Sutton and Kirkover defeated Miss Hedley and Burns, 6/0, 6/1; Miss Rotch and Niles defeated Mrs. Bryant and Carroll, by default.
- Semi-final round—Miss Moore and Wright defeated Miss Moyes and Foulkes, 6/2, 0/6, 6/1; Miss Rotch and Niles defeated Miss Sutton and Kirkover, 5/7, 6/4, 6/2.
- Final round—Miss Rotch and Niles defeated Miss Moore and Wright, 3/6, 6/4, 6/3.

## International Matches for Davis Cup, 1907

America's hopes of bringing back the Davis Cup to the United States faded in the preliminary match against Australasia. The American challengers, Beals Wright and Karl Behr, made a good fight, however, and the Australians' victory was no easy one.

Brookes' two victories were decisive and it must be acknowledged that to-day he stands at the very top of the lawn tennis ladder. He has mastered the art of service to a degree beyond that reached by anyone else; his volleying at the net is as good as Beals Wright's at its best, and in the matter of temperament, Brookes is well qualified to be a champion. He is always calm, determined and careful.

In Wilding, Wright and Behr found an opponent who was a tremendous fighter, a player who had as much tennis in him as they themselves had, and who was their superior in endurance. Wilding cannot kill lobs and he is not the equal of Beals Wright as a tennis tactician, and perhaps this explains why Wright was able to defeat him. In both his matches, however, the New Zealander played very high grade tennis, and his victory over Behr was won by superior physical condition alone.

Behr lost both his matches, it is true, but it would be unjust to accuse him of playing anything but the very best of tennis. Indeed the London papers were so much impressed by his playing that they refer to him as the best of the younger men and a future champion. The English critics have much to say in praise of his game, and indeed they should, for Behr fought Brookes harder than anyone else has been able to do.

The final set between Behr and Wilding was a remarkable one. Wilding served the first game and won it, and then followed four successive love games, three of which went to the American. With a lead of 3/2 and 30/0 on his own service it looked as though Behr might win in spite of the fact that he was now thoroughly exhausted while his opponent was still apparently fresh. Wilding made the score 3/all, however, and then took three more games and the set and match. The seventh game was the critical one. Behr won the first three points, and then two beautiful shots which brought the gallery to their feet just missed the line. Wilding made it deuce, and three times deuce was called before the game went to Australasia. Wilding ended the match with a burst of speed which Behr was in no condition to answer, and the last two games were easily his.

The doubles match was played on Monday and there was very little confidence in the American camp that Wright and Behr could win it. Brookes and Wilding had defeated them in the finals of the English championships, 6/4, 6/4, 6/2, and there seemed to be no reason to suppose that this decisive result would be reversed.

Summary of the matches follows:

### AMERICA VS. AUSTRALASIA.


Brookes, Australasia, defeated Wright, America, 6/4, 6/4, 6/2.

Wilding, Australasia, defeated Behr, America, 1/6, 6/4, 3/6, 7/5, 6/3.

Wright, America, defeated Wilding, Australasia, 6/8, 6/3, 6/3, 7/5.

Brookes, Australasia, defeated Behr, America, 6/1, 4/6, 1/6, 2/6.

Wright and Behr, America, defeated Wilding and Brookes, Australasia, 3/6, 12/10, 4/6, 6/2, 6/3.



Gore Wright

Behr

Barrett

INTERNATIONAL TOURNAMENT FOR DAVIS CUP—WRIGHT AND BEHR VS. BARRETT AND GORE.

Copyright Photo by "Halftones," Ltd., London.



## Americans in British Championship.

The continuance of Beals Wright and Karl Behr in the All-England Championship at Wimbledon was short lived. To most unfavorable weather was added the misfortune of a wretched drawing. Wright and Wilding, on paper the only two who might defeat the mighty Brookes, were drawn against each other in the first round, and the winner of this match was immediately called upon to play the Australian. Behr was also in the same half and had to meet Brookes in the fourth round. The first match, played in a hard rain storm, was a practical farce. Wilding, fresh from a long series of tournament victories, was on his game and defeated Wright 6/2, 8/6, 7/5. Wright had had but six practice sets since landing in England and was not at all keen.

When Wilding met Brookes the former was thought by many to be a possible champion and he nearly defeated his fellow colonial. An immense gallery saw Brookes finally win.

Karl Behr made his debut in the All-England Championship by playing T. M. Mavrogordato, recently President of Oxford University Lawn Tennis Club. Here again Behr showed evident signs of having had but slight practice, as Mavrogordato, by dint of excellent steady play and neat passing shots, not only took a set off the American, but ran him quite close in the other three, the final score in Behr's favor being 6/2, 6/8, 6/4, 6/4. On the two following days Behr successfully disposed of H. Wilson Fox by 6/2, 6/2, 6/4, and J. C. S. Rendall by 6/2, 9/7, 4/6, 6/3.

This brought together Norman Brookes and Karl Behr, and Brookes had to do all he knew to get home.

The second round in the doubles championship brought about the meeting of A. W. Gore and H. Roper-Barrett, and Beals C. Wright and Karl Behr. With two sets all, the first three games in the fifth set went, curiously enough against the service; but with Behr winning his service America once more led at 3/1, and both Americans continuing to play well, they annexed the deciding set by 6/2 and the match by 8/10, 4/6, 6/1, 6/4, 6/2.

The final, and as Smith and Riseley were not defending, also the championship round, of the doubles championship afforded one an opportunity of seeing the Davis Cup doubles teams of Australasia, N. E. Brookes and A. F. Wilding, and America, B. C. Wright and K. H. Behr, opposed to one another. The first two sets were stubbornly contested, and in each case, after some level play, four-all was called, when Wright in the first set, and Behr, in the second, dropped a service game and enabled the Australians to run out at 6/4. With two sets up Brookes and Wilding played very determinedly, and capturing two services of the American team took the set at 6/2 and the match by three sets to love.

Summary of the tournament follows:

Wilding, Australasia, defeated Wright, America, 6/2, 8/6, 7/5.

Brookes, Australasia, defeated Wilding, 6/3, 6/2, 2/6, 6/3.

Behr, America, defeated T. M. Mavrogordato, England, 6/2, 6/8, 6/4, 6/4.

Behr, America, defeated H. Wilson Fox, England, 6/2, 6/4, 6/4.

Behr, America, defeated J. C. S. Rendall, England, 6/2, 9/7, 4/6, 6/3.

Brookes, Australasia, defeated Behr, America, 6/4, 6/2, 2/6, 3/6, 6/1.

Wright and Behr, America, defeated Gore and Roper-Barrett, England, 8/10, 4/6, 6/1, 6/4, 6/2.

Brookes and Wilding, Australasia, defeated Wright and Behr, America, 6/4, 6/4, 6/2.



## Miss May Sutton in England

One of the brilliant features of England's lawn tennis season of 1907 was the visit of Miss May Sutton, America's premier woman player. The California girl not only returned with the English championship title which she lost to Mrs. Chambers at Wimbledon in 1906, but fairly electrified both the men and women experts on the other side of the Atlantic Ocean by what was termed phenomenal exhibitions.

Starting in All-England women's championship on June 27, Miss Sutton won all her matches and, furthermore, never lost a set. In the championship round at Wimbledon on July 5, Miss Sutton and her most formidable opponent, Mrs. Chambers, met and the American won rather handily, the score being 6/1, 6/4. After her victory Miss Sutton received a remarkable ovation. The crowds in the stands stood up and applauded, while the band played "See the Conquering Hero Comes." The Championship Committee presented a large bouquet of flowers to the popular American girl, and there were loud cries for a speech. Miss Sutton was only able to say: "I have won the ladies' championship of England twice, and I am going to try to win it a third time."

Miss Sutton's first appearance on the courts at Wimbledon was on June 27 in a game with Miss Lowcock, whom she defeated very easily by 6/2 and 6/0. On the following day the American and Miss T. Lowther were rivals for supremacy, and while the latter showed more strength than Miss Lowcock, Miss Sutton secured her second victory by 6/4, 6/4.

It was in her match with Miss Morton, on June 29, that Miss Sutton created the first great enthusiasm that subsequently attended her efforts while abroad. It was confidently expected that Miss Morton would compel her celebrated opponent to exert herself to the limit, but Miss Sutton had the easiest kind of a time to secure a victory, taking the first game at 6/0 and the second at 6/2. The Californian was in splendid form and played with the old dash that won for her so many friends when she gained the championship in 1905.

In the large and fashionable attendance that witnessed the match were the Prince and Princess of Wales. The Princess especially seemed to enjoy Miss Sutton's style of play, and vigorously applauded her clever strokes. Miss Morton never had a chance to win a set. On Monday, July 1, Miss Sutton continued upon her victorious march and defeated Miss Meyer, 6/0, 6/3. On July 3 the American entered upon the semi-final round with Miss Bosworth, and won by 6/2, 6/0. In the final round on America's Independence Day, July 4, Miss Sutton placed another victory to her credit by defeating Miss Wilson, 6/4, 6/2.

Besides playing in the singles Miss Sutton appeared in the mixed doubles in the English championship, having Beals C. Wright of Boston as a partner. In their first match at Wimbledon on Tuesday, July 2, they put A. F. Wilding and Mrs. Chambers to rout, after losing the first set, by 3/6, 6/2, 6/3. The match was interesting and the victory of the Americans was loudly applauded. In the final round on July 5, Mr. Wright and Miss Sutton defeated A. D. Prebble and Miss Boothby, 6/1, 6/3.

## The Year in Great Britain


(Reprinted from Spalding's Official British Lawn Tennis Annual, published by the British Sports Publishing Company, London, England.)

In reviewing another year in the Lawn Tennis calendar it must be admitted right at the start that 1907 was a lean year for English—or rather British—Lawn Tennis at a time when the supremacy of the "Islanders," as Rudyard Kipling loves to call us, in all branches of sport was threatened as it had never been threatened before, Lawn Tennis suffered more, perhaps, than any other game. Indeed, all the three important championships competed for annually at classic Wimbledon were taken out of the country, a thing, except in one instance, which had never occurred before. Included, of course, in this wave of adversity was the All-England Singles Championship which has rightly become known as the Blue Ribbon of the Lawn Tennis world. Ever since the championship was instituted in 1877, down to 1906, a matter of thirty years, the title had been won by a Britisher. But last year Mr. N. E. Brookes of Australia succeeded at the second attempt in seeing his name enrolled with that of Doherty and the other famous men who had won the Wimbledon final. In the Ladies' Singles Miss May Sutton (America) repeated her victory of 1905, and in the Gentlemen's Doubles' final Messrs. N. E. Brookes and A. F. Wilding defeated B. C. Wright and K. H. Behr.

It will be remembered that in only one of these three all-important events was a championship round played and here the Lawn Tennis enthusiast inserts a plea of extenuating circumstances. Neither Mr. H. L. Doherty (singles) nor Messrs. F. L. Riseley and S. H. Smith (doubles) defended their titles and, without wishing in any way to detract from the splendid Tennis displayed by the present holders of the above-mentioned titles, one is forced to think that the results here and later in the Davis Cup might have been very different. True the Lawn Tennis problem of Doherty vs. Brookes is a difficult one and the answer cannot be furnished offhand, but the fact remains that in their only "official" meeting, viz., in the 1905 Wimbledon Final, the Londoner won. There is of course what is now popularly termed the garden party contest at Wimbledon after the championship in the above-mentioned year, when Brookes won, but too much importance must not be attached to this. Consequently everybody was hoping that the pair would meet in the championship round last July, but the gods and Mr. H. L. Doherty thought otherwise. Adhering to a resolution made months before, the "Little Do" did not take any part in the Wimbledon or any other meeting and as Brookes stated at a certain dinner the newspapers were enabled to announce that N. E. Brookes defeated A. W. Gore for the championship of English Lawn Tennis in three straight sets of 6/4, 6/2, 6/2.

There were those who thought that Mr. H. L. Doherty might have pocketed his resolution and come forth even at the eleventh hour to uphold the old country's honor but it must never be forgotten that Lawn Tennis is an amateur sport and, like Mr. F. S. Jackson refusing to captain the English team against South Africa, "H. L." was quite within his rights in doing exactly as he thought fit. As was pointed out at the time, Mr. Doherty had defeated all comers since 1901 (including Brookes himself) and was quite entitled to "take a day off." There is, of course, a game called golf that Mr. Doherty plays—but that's another story altogether. So much for the Singles.

In the Doubles it was the old firm of Messrs. Smith & Riseley that did not "open shop" for the championship and another title went to Australia. The facts are that Mr. F. L. Riseley's arm had troubled him a good deal during the closing stages of the previous season and



Brookes vs. Behr.

Brookes and Wilding vs. Barrett and Gore.

INTERNATIONAL LAWN TENNIS—SCENES AT CONTEST FOR DAVIS CUP.

Photos by "Halftones," Ltd., and the Sport and General Illustrations Co., London.

being, as he wrote me personally, "more than busy" during the season of 1907 the famous pair got no practice together and although Mr. Sidney Smith tried to get in form for the Davis Cup he did not feel fit enough to come into the fighting line.


With regard to the Ladies' Singles we did get a championship round, but, alas and alack, our champion, the lately married Mrs. Lambert Chambers, was not the Miss D. K. Douglass of yore and was, into the bargain, far from herself on the fatal day she met the sturdy and fascinating American girl, Miss May Sutton. She consequently found the Californian's improvement too much for her. This reads very much like an essay in apologetics, but my only reason for laboring these points is to encourage the downcast and show that there is still life in the old country after all, things being not half as bad as they look. As shown in the Davis Doubles our own countrymen can still play Lawn Tennis.

Outside the playing arena the "Reformers" have done their best to carry on that programme of remodelling the government and the game, but have not made any very tangible progress. They brought numerous resolutions forward at the annual meeting of the Lawn Tennis Association in November, but not one of them gained the necessary two-thirds majority.

Despite all these things, however, the game has made considerable strides in public favor, even so far as attracting the attention of English royalty for the very first time in the history of the game. The Prince and Princess of Wales, to be exact, paid a visit to Wimbledon during the championship meeting and subsequently His Royal Highness accepted the presidency of the club and presented a silver challenge cup. This trophy is to go to the winner of the All-Comers' Singles and bears the inscription: "Presented by George, Prince of Wales, to the All England Lawn Tennis Club, to be held for the year by the winner of the All-Comers' Singles (Championship of the World)." Lord Desborough, too, is now the President of the Lawn Tennis Association.

No new light of any considerable brilliancy has appeared on the English playing horizon and a claimant to Doherty's mantle has yet to arise. Brookes made a sad mess of anything we could put up and beat men like Gore and Ritchie "hands down," as the phrase goes. But meantime the young men of other lands are coming along at a great pace and there is no use denying the fact that, apart from Brookes, we shall have a hard job to hold the honors "fast for England."





R. F. Doherty, Umpiring in the Doubles.  
 Wilding      Brookes      Barrett      Gore  
 AT THE INTERNATIONAL TOURNAMENT FOR THE DAVIS CUP.  
 Photos by the Sport and General Illustrations Co., London.



## National Indoor Championships

For the fourth time in nine years Wylie C. Grant won the National indoor singles championships at the Seventh Regiment Armory courts, New York, defeating G. F. Touchard for the honor in a four-set match. The tournament started February 21, 1908, and was finished February 29. The entry list showed a record-breaking number—fifty-five players—and some excellent contests. In coming through to the final Grant defeated M. S. Clark in the semi-final and Calhoun Cragin in the third round, but his match in the final was much the most severe.

Hackett and Alexander were the winners of the doubles, defeating Cragin and Charlock in the final. They had no serious trouble at any time in coming through the tourney. The consolation went to G. L. Wyeth. The summary follows:

### MEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—M. S. Clark, N.Y.L.T.C. defeated F. C. Uhl, Oxford University, England, 6/1, 4/6, 6/3; N. C. Stevens, Yale, defeated W. D. Chase, 6/3, 8/10, 6/3; L. J. Dreyfus, N.Y.C., defeated R. L. James, Belmont C.C., by default; M. Wertheim, N.Y.C., defeated W. F. Johnson, Merion C.C., Philadelphia, 6/3, 3/6, 6/2; W. C. Grant, N.Y.L.T.C., defeated L. J. Grant, N.Y.L.T.C., 6/1, 6/3; Irving Wright, Skivi L.T.C., defeated M. S. Charlock, E.T.&C.C., 8/6, 6/2; Leo Leventritt, N.Y.C., defeated Harold Moore, 7th Regt., 8/6, 6/4; T. R. Pell, Skivi L.T.C., defeated Roy Richie, Ocean C.C., 6/1, 6/3; M. Goldman, N.Y.C., defeated C. C. Edwards, Hollywood G.C., 7/5, 6/4; J. L. Eckerson, N.Y.C., defeated S. D. Dahl, N.Y.C., by default; W. Rosenbaum, Harlem T.C., defeated D. W. Peiser, Columbia, 6/8, 7/5, 6/2; C. Cragin, 7th Regt., defeated G. L. Wyeth, N.Y.L.T.C., 6/2, 6/2; M. S. Weil, N.Y.C., defeated F. H. Gates, Morristown F.C., 6/1, 6/4; King Smith, 7th Regt., defeated E. S. Aitkin, Trenton C.C., 6/3, 7/5; S. W. Merrihew, Plainfield C.C., defeated A. G. Norris, Stevens, 6/2, 4/6, 6/3; O. M. Bostwick, Skivi, defeated F. M. Donohue, N.Y.L.T.C., 6/0, 6/2; R. H. Palmer, Ridgewood G.C., defeated L. H. Fitch, 7th Regt., 6/2, 8/6; G. F. Touchard, Hamilton G.T.C., defeated L. H. Fishel, N.Y.C., 6/2, 6/3; R. M. Beckley, N.Y.L.T.C., defeated A. L. Hoskins, Belmont C.C., 8/6, 6/3; Dean Mathey, E.T.&C.C., defeated F. F. Leo, Ocean C.C., 4/6, 6/2, 6/3; A. S. Cragin, 7th Regt., defeated P. Foster, Indoor T.C., 6/0, 6/3; W. C. De Mille, Skivi, defeated P. L. Schuyler, Boston, 4/6, 6/4, 6/2; J. M. Steinacher, Hamilton G.T.C., defeated W. J. Banks, N.Y.C., 6/3, 6/4.

First round—R. Bishop, Longwood C.C., Boston, defeated G. C. Schafer, West Side T.C., 5/7, 6/3, 7/5; H. H. Foster, T.T.&C.C., defeated T. Lindberg, N.Y.C., by default; Clark defeated Stevens, 6/4, 6/0; Wertheim defeated Dreyfus, 8/6, 6/3; Grant defeated Wright, 6/3, 6/2; Pell defeated Leventritt, 6/0, 6/2; Goldman defeated Eckerson, 6/0, 6/1; C. Cragin defeated Rosenbaum, 6/4, 6/2; Smith defeated Weil, 7/5, 6/3; Bostwick defeated Merrihew, 6/0, 6/1; Touchard defeated Palmer, 6/4, 8/6; Mathey defeated Beckley, 6/1, 6/3; Cragin defeated De Mille, 8/6, 6/2; Steinacher defeated H. S. Rothschild, Germany, 6/3, 6/1; W. B. Cragin, Jr., 7th Regt., defeated H. P. Smith, Montclair, 6/0, 6/3; G. S. Groesbeck, N.Y.C., defeated H. K. Murphy, N.Y.C., 9/7, 6/1.

Second round—Bishop defeated Foster, 6/1, 6/3; Clark defeated Wertheim, 6/0, 6/2; Grant defeated Pell, 4/6, 6/1, 6/2; Cragin defeated Goldman, 6/2, 6/3; Bostwick defeated Smith, 7/5, 6/4; Touchard defeated Mathey, 8/6, 6/3; A. S. Cragin defeated Steinacher, 6/0, 2/6, 6/1; W. B. Cragin, Jr., defeated Groesbeck, 6/3, 6/2.

Third round—Clark defeated Bishop, 6/4, 6/1; Grant defeated Cragin, 5/7, 7/5, 6/0; Touchard defeated Bostwick, 6/4, 6/3; W. B. Cragin, Jr., defeated A. S. Cragin, 6/0, 6/1.

Semi-final round—Grant defeated Clark, 6/4, 6/3; Touchard defeated W. B. Cragin, Jr., 6/4, 6/3.

Final round—Grant defeated Touchard, 6/4, 3/6, 6/2, 6/4.

## MEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—S. W. Merrihew and G. S. Groesbeck, Jr., defeated H. D. Montgomery and partner, by default; M. Goldman and J. Marcus defeated E. P. Grosvenor and partner, by default; G. F. Touchard and F. F. Leo defeated H. S. Rothschild and P. Foster, 11/9, 6/4; W. B. Cragin, Jr., and M. S. Charlock defeated L. J. Grant and T. M. Donohue, 6/0, 6/1; Calhoun Cragin and A. S. Cragin defeated R. Bishop and P. L. Schuyler, 6/4, 6/2; Leventritt and Peiser defeated R. Ritchie and W. D. Chase, 7/5, 6/4; Irving Wright and T. R. Pell defeated J. M. Steinacher and Wm. Rosenbaum, 6/4, 6/4; L. J. Dreyfus and L. H. Fishel defeated T. C. Trask and A. L. Hoskins, 8/6, 2/6, 6/4; M. Wertheim and F. C. Uhl defeated E. S. Aitkin and A. G. Norris, by default; L. H. Fitch and G. S. Schafer defeated G. L. Wyeth and M. S. Clark, 6/3, 3/6, 6/4; H. H. Hackett and F. B. Alexander defeated C. C. Edwards and partner, by default.

First round—H. E. Holt and H. K. Murphy defeated H. A. Plummer and partner, by default; Goldman and Marcus defeated Merrihew and Groesbeck, 6/2, 6/2; W. B. Cragin, Jr., and Charlock defeated Touchard and Leo, 8/6, 6/2; C. Cragin and A. S. Cragin defeated Leventritt and Peiser, 5/7, 6/3, 6/4; Wright and Pell defeated Dreyfus and Fishel, 6/0, 6/1; Fitch and Schafer defeated Wertheim and Uhl, 6/4, 7/5; Alexander and Hackett defeated W. C. Grant and R. H. Palmer, 7/5, 6/1; O. M. Bostwick and W. C. De Mille defeated W. F. Johnson and Dean Mathey, 6/4, 7/5.

Second round—Goldman and Marcus defeated Holt and Murphy, 4/6, 6/1, 6/4; Cragin and Charlock defeated Cragin and Cragin, 12/10, 4/6, 6/4; Wright and Pell defeated Fitch and Schafer, 6/4, 7/5; Alexander and Hackett defeated Bostwick and De Mille, 6/4, 6/1.

Semi-final round—Cragin and Charlock defeated Goldman and Marcus, 6/4, 6/3; Hackett and Alexander defeated Wright and Pell, 6/2, 6/2.

Final round—Hackett and Alexander defeated Cragin and Charlock, 6/3, 6/1, 6/4.

## Women's Indoor National Championship.

On Lincoln's Birthday, February 12, 1908, in the Seventh Regiment Armory, New York, Miss Marie Wagner won the women's National indoor championship. She defeated Mrs. Frederick Schmitz in the final round. Miss Elizabeth Moore, the former champion, played Miss Wagner in the second round of the tournament and succumbed in a three-set match, 6/1, 7/9, 6/4. Mrs. Chapman entered the semi-final with Miss Wagner and gave her a hard match, so that the champion well earned her honors.

Miss Moore got some consolation by winning the doubles with Mrs. W. H. Pouch, disposing of Mrs. A. H. McCarthy and Miss Margaret Johnson in the final. The scores:

## SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Miss Marcus defeated Miss Edith Handy, by default; Mrs. A. G. Miles defeated Miss Adele Cragin, 6/0, 6/1; Mrs. Schmitz defeated Miss Reynolds, 6/2, 6/2; Miss Alice L. Day defeated Miss Colton, 6/2, 6/4; Miss Marie Scharmann defeated Miss Edna Wildey, by default; Mrs. Walter Aufermann defeated Mrs. L. S. Coe, by default; Miss Fannie Fish defeated Mrs. Van Winkle, by default; Miss Elizabeth H. Moore defeated Miss Wolf, 6/1, 6/0; Miss Clare Cassel defeated Mrs. Percy Ingalls, by default; Miss Alice Fish defeated Miss Louise Hammond, 6/1, 6/1; Miss Marie Wagner defeated Miss Elsie Little, 6/3, 6/1; Mrs. W. H. Pouch defeated Miss Scott, 6/4, 7/5; Mrs. McCarthy defeated Miss Natalie Wildey, by default; Miss Louise Cragin defeated Miss E. Smith, by default.

First round—Miss Marcus defeated Miss Clara Kuttroff, 6/3, 6/4; Mrs. Schmitz defeated Mrs. A. G. Miles, 6/8, 6/2, 6/3; Miss E. H. Moore

defeated Miss Clare Cassel, 5/7, 8/6, 6/3; Miss Marie Wagner defeated Miss Alice Fish, 1/6, 6/3, 6/3; Mrs. W. H. Pouch defeated Mrs. A. H. McCarthy, 6/2, 6/3; Mrs. G. H. Chapman defeated Miss Louise Cragin, 6/0, 6/3; Miss Alice L. Day defeated Miss Marie Scharmann, 9/11, 6/2, 6/3; Miss Fannie Fish defeated Mrs. Walter Aufermann, 6/3, 6/4.

Second round—Mrs. Schmitz defeated Miss Marcus, 6/4, 9/7; Miss Alice L. Day defeated Miss Fannie Fish, 5/7, 6/2, 6/4; Miss Marie Wagner defeated Miss Elizabeth H. Moore, 6/1, 7/9, 6/4; Mrs. G. H. Chapman defeated Mrs. W. H. Pouch, 6/2, 6/4.

Semi-final round—Mrs. Frederick Schmitz defeated Miss Alice Day, 6/4, 6/3; Miss Marie Wagner defeated Mrs. G. H. Chapman, 7/5, 4/6, 9/7.

Final round—Miss Marie Wagner defeated Mrs. Frederick Schmitz, 6/3, 6/2.

### DOUBLES.

First round—Mrs. Walter Aufermann and Miss Elsie Smith defeated Miss Clara Kuttroff and Miss Colton, 6/3, 6/1; Mrs. William H. Pouch and Miss Elizabeth H. Moore defeated Miss M. D. Miller and Mrs. Gignoux, 6/1, 6/1; Miss Marie Scharmann and Mrs. C. Clark defeated Miss Adele and Miss Louise Cragin, by default; the Misses Fish defeated Miss Elsie Little and Miss Louise Hammond, 7/5, 6/3; Miss Marie Wagner and Mrs. A. G. Miles defeated Miss Marcus and Mrs. Frederick Schmitz, 6/2, 6/0; Mrs. A. H. McCarthy and Miss Margaret Johnson defeated Miss Edna and Miss Natalie Wildey, 6/2, 7/5; Mrs. L. S. Coe and Mrs. P. Ingalls defeated Mrs. Reynolds and partner, by default.

Second round—Mrs. W. H. Pouch and Miss Elizabeth H. Moore defeated Mrs. Walter Aufermann and Miss Elsie Smith, 6/2, 8/10, 6/2; Mrs. D. F. Platt and Mrs. G. H. Chapman defeated Miss Marie Scharmann and Mrs. C. Clarke, 6/3, 6/3; Mrs. A. H. McCarthy and Miss Margaret Johnson defeated the Misses Fish, 6/1, 6/3; Mrs. A. G. Miles and Miss Marie Wagner defeated Mrs. L. S. Coe and Mrs. Percy Ingalls, 6/1, 6/1.

Semi-final round—Mrs. W. H. Pouch and Miss Elizabeth H. Moore defeated Mrs. D. F. Platt and Mrs. G. H. Chapman, 6/3, 6/1; Mrs. A. H. McCarthy and Miss Margaret Johnson defeated Miss Marie Wagner and Mrs. A. G. Miles, 6/4, 1/6, 6/2.

Final round—Mrs. W. H. Pouch and Miss Elizabeth H. Moore defeated Mrs. A. H. McCarthy and Miss Margaret Johnson, 13/11, 6/3.

### CONSOLATION SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Miss Marie Scharmann defeated Miss Adele Cragin, 6/1, 6/1; Mrs. A. H. McCarthy defeated Miss Louise Hammond, 4/6, 6/4, 6/1; Miss Clara Kuttroff defeated Miss Scott, by default; Miss Elsie Little defeated Miss Colton, 6/2, 6/0.

First round—Mrs. A. H. McCarthy defeated Miss Marie Scharmann, 7/5, 6/1; Miss Clara Kuttroff defeated Miss Elsie Little, 3/6, 6/1, 7/5; Mrs. Walter Aufermann defeated Miss Louise Cragin, 6/0, 6/1; Miss Clare Cassel defeated Miss Wolf, by default.

Semi-final round—Mrs. A. H. McCarthy defeated Mrs. Walter Aufermann, 6/1, 6/4; Miss Clare Cassel defeated Miss Clara Kuttroff, 6/3, 6/2.

Final round—Miss Clare Cassel defeated Mrs. A. H. McCarthy, 6/1, 9/7.

## Seventh Regiment Indoor Championship.

W. B. Cragin, Jr., won the championship of the Seventh Regiment, after three years' effort, from his brother, Calhoun Cragin. The match was started January 4, 1908, in the Seventh Regiment Armory, New York, and finished January 9. R. T. Bryan and M. S. Clark, the

doubles title holders, defeated the Cragin brothers in the doubles. The scores :

#### SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Harold Moore defeated E. P. Grosvenor, by default; A. S. Cragin defeated L. H. Fitch, 6/3, 3/3, 6/4; King Smith defeated W. P. Conway, 6/2, 6/4.

First round—W. B. Cragin, Jr., defeated M. S. Clark, 6/1, 6/3; A. S. Cragin defeated Moore, 6/0, 7/5; R. T. Bryan defeated Smith, 9/7, 6/1; C. Cragin defeated M. W. Hall, by default.

Semi-final round—W. B. Cragin, Jr., defeated A. S. Cragin, 6/2, 6/2; C. Cragin defeated Bryan, 6/4, 9/7.

Final round—W. B. Cragin, Jr., defeated C. Cragin, 6/4, 10/8, 3/6, 6/3.

#### DOUBLES.

First round—Bryan and Clark defeated Moore and Kipp, 6/2, 6/1; C. Cragin and A. S. Cragin defeated Cunningham and North, by default.

Second round—Bryan and Clark defeated W. B. Cragin and K. Smith, 6/3, 6/3; C. and A. S. Cragin defeated Grosvenor and partner, by default.

Final round—Bryan and Clark defeated C. and A. S. Cragin, 6/3, 6/2, 5/7, 3/6, 7/5.

#### HANDICAP—CLASS A.

Preliminary round—W. B. Cragin, Jr. (minus 30), defeated A. S. Cragin (minus half 30), 6/2, 6/3.

First round—C. Cragin (minus 30) defeated W. M. Hall (minus 15), by default; W. B. Cragin defeated K. Smith (minus 15), 6/0, 6/2; L. H. Fitch (minus 15) defeated E. P. Grosvenor (minus 15), by default; R. T. Bryan (minus 30) defeated M. S. Clark (minus 30), by default.

Semi-final round—W. B. Cragin defeated A. S. Cragin, 6/3, 6/4; Bryan defeated Fitch, 6/3, 6/4.

Final round—Bryan defeated Cragin, by default.

#### HANDICAP—CLASS B.

First round—W. Anderson (plus 30) defeated H. Mestre (plus half 30), by default; H. Follett (plus 30) defeated D. Despard (plus 30), 6/1, 6/2; H. Weisse (plus 30) defeated R. Kipp (plus half 15), by default; W. P. Conway (plus half 15) defeated E. Laidlaw (plus half 30), 6/2, 6/0; W. Cunningham (scratch) defeated Stewart (scratch), 6/0, 6/0; H. Moore (scratch) defeated G. Price (plus 30), 6/2, 5/7, 7/5; F. North (plus 30) defeated J. S. Myrick (plus half 30), 6/3, 5/7, 6/2.

Second round—Follett defeated Anderson, 6/1, 6/2; Weisse defeated Conway, by default; Moore defeated Cunningham, 6/2, 7/5; North defeated Stratton, by default.

Third round—Moore defeated North, by default; Follett defeated Weisse, 6/2, 6/3.

Final round—Moore defeated Follett, 0/6, 6/4, 6/3.

### Indoor Tournament at St. Nicholas Rink.

The series of indoor tennis tournaments held in St. Nicholas Rink, New York, gave an impetus to the sport on the eve of the outdoor season, and afforded Miss May Sutton, of Pasadena, Cal., an excellent opportunity to round out her practice preliminary to her departure for England. The first tournaments were the Inter-city singles and doubles for women, in which Mrs. Barger-Wallach, representing Newport, proved a double winner in the singles and paired with Miss Nora Iselin. The mixed doubles tournament furnished interesting contests, the majority of the matches requiring three sets.

Eight of the ranking players participated in a round robin series of men's doubles, W. A. Larned and G. L. Wrenn, Jr., coming through without a defeat.

Partnered by Mrs. J. V. L. Pruyn, Miss Sutton made her first appearance in women's doubles. Mrs. Barger-Wallach and Miss Nora Iselin, the Newport pair, faced Mrs. Pruyn and Miss Sutton in the final round, but at no time during the match did they secure the lead. In a specially arranged match Miss Sutton met N. W. Niles, the Harvard expert, and forced him to put forth his best effort to defeat her after two closely contested sets, by a score of 6/4, 7/5.

A mixed doubles tournament gave Miss Sutton opportunity to show her versatility. With Theodore Roosevelt Pell as partner, she won all her matches. Miss Sutton won the women's singles, none of her opponents being able to secure a total of more than two games in the two sets. Miss Juliet Adee played in splendid form in this tournament, defeating Miss Nora Iselin, who was rather favored to win, in the preliminary round, by the score of 5/7, 6/4, 6/2, and forced Mrs. Wallach to play her best to defeat her in the semi-final round.

Several other tournaments were played and an exhibition match in which Miss Sutton was defeated by Charles E. Sands, the racket and court tennis expert, in a three-set match, the second set of which went to Miss Sutton.

The summary:

#### WOMEN'S SINGLES.

First round—Miss Emily Scott, New York, defeated Miss Marion Fenno, Boston, 6/2, 6/4; Mrs. Barger Wallach, Newport, defeated Miss Phyllis Green, Philadelphia, 6/3, 7/5.

Final round—Mrs. Barger Wallach defeated Miss Emily Scott, 8/6, 7/5.

#### WOMEN DOUBLES.

First round—Miss Phyllis Green and Miss Marjory Green, Philadelphia, defeated Miss Emily Scott and Miss Martha Coster, New York, 6/2, 6/3; Mrs. Barger Wallach and Miss Nora Iselin, Newport, defeated Miss Eleanora Sears and Miss Marion Fenno, Boston, 8/6, 6/1.

Final round—Mrs. Wallach and Miss Iselin defeated Miss Phyllis Green and Miss Marjory Green, 8/6, 6/4.

#### MIXED DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Miss Eleanora Sears and W. A. Larned defeated Miss Jean Reid and T. R. Pell, 3/6, 6/1, 6/0; Miss Marion Fenno and G. L. Wrenn, Jr., defeated Miss Phyllis Green and E. W. Leonard, 6/4, 6/4.

Semi-final round—Mrs. Barger Wallach and R. D. Little defeated Miss Eleanora Sears and W. A. Larned, 6/2, 7/9, 6/4; Miss Marion Fenno and G. L. Wrenn, Jr., defeated Miss Nora Iselin and Beals C. Wright, 4/6, 6/2, 6/3.

Final round—Miss Fenno and G. L. Wrenn, Jr., defeated Mrs. Wallach and R. D. Little, 6/4, 4/6, 6/1.

#### MEN'S DOUBLES, ROUND ROBIN.

First round—W. A. Larned and G. L. Wrenn, Jr., defeated T. R. Pell and E. W. Leonard, 4/6, 7/5, 6/1; R. D. Little and Karl H. Behr defeated Beals C. Wright and Irving C. Wright, 8/6, 10/8.

Second round—R. D. Little and K. H. Behr defeated T. R. Pell and E. W. Leonard, 6/4, 7/5; W. A. Larned and G. L. Wrenn, Jr., defeated Beals C. Wright and Irving C. Wright, 6/4, 5/7, 6/2.

Final round—W. A. Larned and G. L. Wrenn, Jr., defeated R. D. Little and K. H. Behr, 4/6, 8/6, 6/4.

#### MISS SUTTON—SPECIAL MATCHES WOMEN'S DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Miss May G. Sutton and Mrs. J. V. L. Pruyn defeated



Miss Emily Scott and Miss Margaret Behr, 7/5, 6/3; Miss Juliet Adee and Miss Martha Coster defeated Miss Pauline Robinson and Miss Daisy Hollins, 6/2, 6/4.

Semi-final round—Miss May G. Sutton and Mrs. J. V. L. Pruyn defeated Miss Elizabeth Moore and Miss Virginia McVeigh, 6/2, 6/3; Mrs. Barger Wallach and Miss Nora Iselin defeated Miss Juliet Adee and Miss Martha Coster, 6/2, 6/2.

Final round—Miss May G. Sutton and Mrs. J. V. L. Pruyn defeated Mrs. Barger Wallach and Miss Nora Iselin, 6/2, 6/2.

#### MISS SUTTON—EXHIBITION MATCH VS. RATED MAN.

N. W. Niles, Boston, defeated Miss May G. Sutton, Pasadena, Cal., 6/4, 7/5.

#### MIXED DOUBLES WITH MISS SUTTON.

First round—Miss May G. Sutton and T. R. Pell defeated Mrs. Barger Wallach and R. D. Little, 7/9, 6/2, 6/1; Miss Nora Iselin and W. A. Larned defeated Miss Margaret Behr and Karl H. Behr, 6/3, 6/1.

Final round—Miss May G. Sutton and T. R. Pell defeated Miss Nora Iselin and W. A. Larned, 6/3, 6/2, 7/5.

#### WOMEN'S SINGLES.

Preliminary round—Miss Juliet Adee defeated Miss Nora Iselin, 5/7, 6/4, 6/2; Miss May G. Sutton defeated Miss Margaret Behr, 6/0, 6/2; Mrs. Barger Wallach defeated Miss Elizabeth Moore, by default.

Semi-final round—Mrs. Barger Wallach defeated Miss Juliet Adee, 6/2, 3/6, 8/6; Miss May G. Sutton defeated Miss Emily Scott, by default.

Final round—Miss May G. Sutton defeated Mrs. Barger Wallach, 6/1, 6/1.

#### SPECIAL MIXED DOUBLES.

Preliminary round—Miss May G. Sutton and Paulding Fosdick defeated Miss Pauline Whitney and Cyril Hatch, 6/2, 6/1; Miss Juliet Adee and F. C. Watson defeated Miss Margaret Behr and Bernard Prentice, 7/5, 6/4.

#### INVITATION MIXED DOUBLES.


Preliminary round—Miss Juliet Adee and F. C. Watson defeated Miss Margaret Prentice and Bernon Prentice, 7/5, 6/4; Miss May G. Sutton and Paulding Fosdick defeated Miss Pauline Whitney and Cyril Hatch, 6/2, 6/1.

Semi-final round—Miss Juliet Adee and F. C. Watson defeated Miss Anita Peabody and E. W. Leonard, 8/6, 6/2; Miss May G. Sutton and Paulding Fosdick defeated Miss Nora Iselin and Charles E. Sands, 6/2, 5/7, 8/6.

Final round—Miss May G. Sutton and Paulding Fosdick defeated Miss Juliet Adee and F. C. Watson, 6/3, 5/7, 7/5.

#### EXHIBITION MATCH, MISS SUTTON VS. C. E. SANDS.

Charles E. Sands defeated Miss May G. Sutton, 6/2, 3/6, 6/2.



WHITE HOUSE TENNIS COURT, WASHINGTON, D. C.

Photo by Clinedinst,

## Tournament Notes

**Wentworth's Annual Event.**—The Wentworth tournament, an annual lawn tennis event New Castle, N. H., for the past thirty years, was won by Arthur Sweetser, the Massachusetts interscholastic champion, who defeated Campbell Colston in the final round, 2/6, 4/6, 6/1, 6/2, 6/3. In the final round in the doubles F. L. Beals and H. P. Scott defeated A. Sweetser and D. C. Niles, 6/8, 6/3, 6/3, 6/4.



**Knickerbocker and Brooklyn a Tie.**—In a team match on the courts of the Knickerbocker Field Club, New York, November 5, the team of that club and that of the Brooklyn Lawn Tennis Club broke even. Walter L. Pate and G. E. Sanborn won the opening match in doubles from Walter Watson and Clarence Mallard, 7/5, 4/6, 12/10. H. A. Roberts and N. P. Roberts defeated Pate and Sanborn, 7/5, 9/11, 6/4.



**Leech Wins Le Droit Tournament.**—The annual spring tournament of the Le Droit Club, the oldest tennis organization in Washington, D. C., finished June 28, with E. O. Leech winner of the singles, and Harry Lerch runner-up. C. B. Doyle, district champion, and Dr. H. P. Cobey won the doubles, defeating M. M. Merrill and Thomas Heath. The singles were marked by both spectacular and steady play on the part of Leech. C. H. Claudy and Leech gave C. B. Doyle and Dr. Cobey a hard rub to contest in the finals in doubles, the scores being 9/7, 4/6 and 11/9.



**Mathey Wins Princeton Interscholastic Tournament.**—Dean Mathey of Pingry School won the fifteenth annual Princeton Interscholastic Tennis Tournament under adverse conditions. A hard rain made the courts heavy and soggy, rendering fast playing impossible. Pingry, with two entries, carried off all the honors, as Dean Mathey won the championship from his team-mate, Thomas, 6/4, 6/3, 6/4. The winner displayed a good knowledge of the game and was aggressive throughout the four sets. Six schools were represented—Lakewood, Lawrenceville, Pingry, St. Paul's, Bair and Colledge, with a total of sixteen entries.



**Yale vs. Columbia.**—Yale defeated Columbia, October 26, in a dual tennis match at New Haven, by a score of 4½ points to 1½ points. A feature was the remarkably even playing in the set between K. M. Boorman, Columbia, and N. H. Bundy, Yale. Only one set was played in this match and that resulted in a tie after each man had won sixteen games. The point was divided equally, each player scoring a half-point for his side. G. C. Shafer was the only member of the Columbia team who won his match. Summaries: Singles—A. T. Spaulding, Yale, defeated T. M. Hendrick, Columbia, 6/2, 1/6, 6/3; G. C. Shafer, Columbia, defeated C. D. Jones, Yale, 6/4, 6/4; K. M. Boorman, Columbia, tied with N. H. Bundy, Yale, 16/16; H. H. Bundy, Yale, defeated D. Peiser, Columbia, 6/3, 7/9, 6/2. Doubles—A. T. Spaulding and H. H. Bundy, Yale, defeated T. M. Hendrick and G. C. Shafer, Columbia, 6/1, 6/3; C. D. Jones and N. H. Bundy, Yale, defeated K. M. Boorman and D. Peiser, Columbia, 6/2, 6/4.

**Long Branch Ladies' Tournament.**—In the finals at Long Branch on July 19 of the ladies' tournament on the courts of the Monmouth Beach Country Club Miss Kent and Miss Bouldin shared honors in both the doubles and the singles. The former won the singles, defeating Miss Douglass, 8/6, 6/2. With Miss Bouldin as her partner Miss Kent also won the doubles.



**Sheafe Cup for Miss Sears.**—By defeating Mrs. Barger-Wallach in straight sets, Miss Evelyn Sears, the national champion, secured the second leg on the Edwin Sheafe cup, she having won it in 1905 on the dirt courts of the Longwood Cricket Club, Boston, which began September 30. Mrs. Wallach made a great but unsuccessful fight in the first set, the score being 8/6, but the New York player's effort told and Miss Sears won the second set rather easily by 6/2.



**West Side Y.M.C.A. Round Robin.**—J. T. Webb, whose mark was plus 15, won the round robin tennis handicap singles on the courts of the West Side Branch Y.M.C.A. Webb played without meeting defeat, although T. Martin (scratch) forced him to three sets before losing at 6/4, 1/6 and 8/6. The summary: Singles—J. T. Webb (plus 15) defeated R. Martin (scratch), 6/2, 6/0; T. Martin (scratch), 6/4, 1/6, 8/6; Carmack (plus half 15), 6/0, 6/0; Finnegan (plus 15), 6/1, 6/2; Custer (scratch), 6/2, 6/4. Carmack (plus half 15) defeated R. Martin (scratch), 6/1, 7/5; Clant (plus 15), 6/2, 6/2; Finnegan (plus 15) 3/6, 6/2, 6/3. Custer (scratch) defeated T. Martin (scratch), 6/3, 6/4; Clant (plus 15), 6/1, 6/1; Finnegan (plus 15), 6/3, 6/2. Finnegan (plus 15) defeated Zube (plus 15), 6/4, 7/5; Clant (plus 15), 4/6, 6/3, 7/5. T. Martin (scratch) defeated Zube (plus 15), 6/3, 3/6, 6/4; Finnegan (plus 15), 4/6, 7/5, 6/2. McCarthy (plus 30) defeated McCastland (plus 30), 6/3, 6/0.



**Westchester Lawn Tennis Club Tournament.**—Robert Townsend of the New York Lawn Tennis Club won the trophy in the final of the singles of the Westchester Lawn Tennis Club open tournament. His opponent was Dr. William Rosenbaum. The summary: Men's open singles—Preliminary round—A. Ostendorffer defeated A. Bassford, Jr., by default. First round—George W. Smith defeated B. Stantial, 7/5, 6/3; Dimon E. Roberts defeated J. M. Steinacher, 6/2, 6/2; J. Condon defeated H. B. Brinckerhoff, 6/0, 6/2; Dr. William Rosenbaum defeated H. B. Mandlestam, 6/3, 6/4; William H. Connell defeated L. F. Steinkampf, 6/0, 6/2; Robert Townsend defeated M. Burt, by default; I. Hartmann defeated E. A. Freshman, by default; A. Ostendorffer defeated J. G. Simons, 8/6, 6/3. Second round. Winners—Dr. William Rosenbaum, Robert Townsend, J. Condon, A. Ostendorffer. Semi-final round. Winners—Dr. William Rosenbaum, Robert Townsend. Final round—R. Townsend defeated Dr. Rosenbaum, 6/3, 6/3, 7/5. Men's open doubles—First round—J. Condon and George W. Smith defeated B. Stantial and W. Mayell, 6/2, 6/2; Robert Townsend and Dimon E. Roberts defeated I. Hartmann and H. B. Brinckerhoff, 6/2, 6/2; G. Hartmann and H. Grace defeated Philip Oryan and G. Brown, 6/2, 8/6; B. Stantial and W. Meyell defeated L. F. Steinkampf and James Berry, 5/7, 6/3, 6/3; J. Condon and George W. Smith defeated A. Ostendorffer and C. F. Raymond, 6/2, 6/2. Second round. Winners—J. Condon and George W. Smith, Julio M. Steinacher and George F. Touchard. Semi-final round. Winners—Joseph Condon and George W. Smith, J. M. Steinacher and George F. Touchard. Final round—Joseph Condon and George W. Smith defeated J. M. Steinacher and George F. Touchard, 6/4, 6/8, 5/7, 6/4, 6/4.

**Men's Inter-Club Matches at Philadelphia.**—The Philadelphia Cricket Club, by defeating Belmont, won the inter-club championship for Philadelphia and vicinity. Philadelphia deserves great credit for its victory, as at the start the team met with hard luck in being forced to change the line-up of the team. William H. Trotter and W. L. Landreth aided materially in the victory for Philadelphia, while J. B. Bartow, W. M. Tilden and George H. Brooke also aided greatly in bringing up the total.



**Cleveland Championship.**—In a three-set match that was fiercely contested, H. F. Pettie of Cleveland, Ohio, defeated A. B. Thompson in the city championship tournament on August 3, and as H. C. Wick, Jr., defaulted on account of absence, Pettie became champion. He thus came into his own again, having held the title in 1903, 1904 and 1905. The score against Thompson was 9/7, 6/4, 6/4. In the doubles Whittemore and Welch defeated Hubbard and Roepu, 6/3, 6/3, 6/3.



**Sweetser Wins Wentworth Tournament.**—The twenty-sixth annual lawn tennis tournament at the Hotel Wentworth, New Castle, N. H., was held this year during the week of July 29 to August 3. Arthur Sweetser, who won the Harvard interscholastic championship, came through several hard matches to the finals, where he defeated Campbell Colston of Yale, 2/6, 4/6, 6/2, 6/1, 6/3. The doubles of the week were a succession of surprises. Sweetser and Niles seemed pretty confident of winning the final round, but Sidney Beals and Scott had more up their sleeves than anyone suspected and won, 6/8, 6/3, 6/3, 6/4.



**Maidstone Club Tournament.**—In the Maidstone, Easthampton, L. I., tournament the men's handicap singles had twenty-eight entries, and the finals were played Thursday, August 8, between Harvey Williams and Golet Gallatin, both in the minus one-half 30 class. Williams won after a long and interesting struggle by 5/7, 4/6, 6/4, 6/3, 8/6. The women's scratch singles for a very handsome cup, presented by Mr. John Drew, was won with ease by Miss Virginia MacVeagh, whose remarkably strong game is not yet well known in this country, as she has been abroad for a number years. She defeated Miss Natalie Wildey, 6/1, 6/0.



**West Side Defeats Columbia.**—The West Side Lawn Tennis Club of New York City defeated Columbia University in a dual match, by the score of 7 to 2, taking all three doubles matches and four of the six singles. The summary: Singles—O. M. Bostwick, West Side, defeated T. W. Hendrick, 6/3, 6/4; W. C. DeMille, West Side, defeated Grant Burns, 2/6, 6/2, 6/4; Thomas Trask, West Side, defeated P. R. Cole, 6/1, 4/6, 6/4; L. H. Fitch, West Side, defeated H. P. Banks, 6/1, 9/7; H. A. Thomas, Columbia, defeated Bennett, 2/6, 7/5, 6/3; E. MacBoyle, Columbia, defeated Fuller, 3/6, 6/4, 6/3. Doubles—H. H. Hackett and F. B. Alexander, West Side, defeated T. W. Hendrick and E. MacBoyle, 6/0, 6/3; O. M. Bostwick and W. C. DeMille, West Side, defeated Grant Burns and P. R. Cole, 2/6, 6/2, 6/3; L. H. Fitch and C. C. Kelley, West Side, defeated H. P. Banks and H. A. Thomas, 11/9, 6/4.



**High School of Commerce Defeats DeWitt Clinton.**—The High School of Commerce, New York, defeated the DeWitt Clinton High School in a tennis meet at the West Side Tennis Club's Courts, October 19. Commerce won five of the six sets of singles and easily showed its superiority in the doubles by taking the entire three sets. Berlin won his set of singles 6/2, 6/0, and, paired with Pierce, captured the doubles by 7/5 and 6/2.



**Wilmington Country Club Handicap.**—The spring club handicap singles and doubles of the Wilmington (Del.) Country Club, which were begun in May but delayed by bad weather, finished at last in June. After each losing a set in the semi-finals, Job H. Jackson and E. du Pont met and the former, ranked in Class F, won in straight sets. The final of the doubles went the full limit of five sets before Bringhurst and Bissell, Class C, scored the winning point against Wright and Canby, Class A.



**Southern Pennsylvania Championship.**—The first annual tournament of the Bedford Springs (Pa.) Tennis Club, August 12, for the championship of Southern Pennsylvania, developed an unexpectedly high order of tennis, and proved to be a distinctly successful affair all around. N. J. Rendall won the singles from McGrath, 6/1, 2/6, 6/0, 6/3, and, paired with H. W. Rendall, took the doubles 7/5, 6/2, 6/2. Middleton and Mrs. Hibbs won the mixed doubles from Miss Longhead and Grimes, 6/1, 6/1, 6/3.



**Washington Defeats Baltimore.**—Baltimore was defeated by Washington in the inter-city match between the two, played at the Chevy Chase Club on October 12. F. C. Colston defeated C. B. Doyle in singles, 3/6, 7/5, 6/3, but with his younger brother was defeated by H. Doyle and C. Doyle in the doubles, 6/1, 1/6, 4/6. Kerr of Baltimore was defeated by the elder Doyle, 7/5, 6/0, while the younger Colston defeated Homer Deis, 4/6, 8/6, 7/5.



**Hollywood Invitation Tournament.**—In the special invitation tourney held by the Hollywood Golf Club at Long Branch, N. J., during the first week in August, H. F. Allen defeated Louis Dreyfus in the singles, 6/1, 6/0, 6/0. In the doubles H. P. Banks and H. P. Bartram defeated Nathan and H. F. Allen, 1/6, 9/7, 6/4. In mixed doubles Miss Siesfeld and Allen defeated Miss Sampter and Fox, 7/5, 7/5. At the Hollywood courts in September C. J. Nathan and B. M. Phillips defeated H. M. Regensberger and S. Sternbach, 6/3, 6/1, 6/1, in the doubles, and in the mixed doubles Mrs. E. A. Hellman and L. Hochstadter defeated Mrs. H. Blumenthal and B. M. Phillips, 10/8, 6/2.



**Elmira vs. Owego.**—The Elmira Tennis Club of Elmira, N. Y., captured the major portion of the honors in a match with the Owego Tennis Club of Owego, N. Y., on August 3, the events being contested on the grounds of the latter club. Elmira won all seven matches and fourteen out of fifteen sets. R. Blampied of Elmira defeated Dörner of Owego, 8/6, 6/2; E. P. Rapelyea of Elmira defeated L. Bandler of Owego, 6/2, 6/2; W. P. Fish, Elmira, defeated James Truman, Owego, 6/1, 6/2; O. N. Reynolds, Elmira, defeated Robert D. Bassett, 6/2, 9/7. The second set was stubbornly contested. The closest match of the day was between H. Bartholomew of Elmira and Mallory of Owego. Mallory took the first set in 6/4, but Bartholomew got the next two by 6/2, 6/2, thus making a clean record for Elmira. In the doubles Elmira outclassed Owego decisively. Rapelyea and Blampied won from Dörner and Bandler, 6/2, 6/1. Fish and Reynolds defeated Truman and Bassett, 6/1, 6/0.

**Plainfield Country Club Championship.**—In the championship singles of the Plainfield Country Club of Plainfield, N. J., William B. Cragin, Jr., and W. Barlow won their places in the final round, Cragin winning by 6/0, 6/0, 6/2. In the invitation doubles W. B. Cragin, Jr., partnered with Dean Mathey, the Princeton interscholastic champion, won, defeating H. J. Cochran and C. L. Cole, Plainfield C.C., in straight sets, by 6/3, 7/5, 6/4.



**New Brunswick Golf Club Tourney.**—Miss Helen Deshler won the tennis tournament on the New Brunswick Golf Club grounds at Ross Hall, July 2, defeating Mrs. Warren R. Schenck three out of five close sets. Miss Laura Kirkpatrick won by default from Miss Mignon Shannon, and then lost to Miss Helen Deshler, who won two straight sets from her. Miss Deshler also defeated Miss Edith Schenck two out of three exciting sets. Mrs. Warren R. Schenck defeated both Mrs. Robert Carter Nicholas and Mrs. Charles Stevens.



**York Harbor Tournament.**—On the courts of the York Country Club, York Harbor, Maine, was held a pleasant little tournament during the week of July 15-20. Mrs. H. D. Denny of Pittsburg offered cups to be played for in men's and ladies' singles, and some pretty contests resulted. In the men's singles J. Uphur Moorhead of Yale won from E. S. Blagden by superior headwork by 6/2, 5/7, 3/6, 7/5, 6/2. The ladies' singles was won by Miss Miriam Blagden, who defeated Miss Thurston, 6/4, 2/6, 6/1. The men's doubles was a walk-away for Moorhead and Hackett, who were never hard pressed.



**Dunwoodie's First Annual Tournament.**—The Dunwoodie Country Club's first annual invitation tournament, which began June 17 and continued throughout the week, will long be remembered by the players who participated. Fifty-four entries were received in the men's singles, half that number in the doubles, and a very classy lot of women players, including Miss E. H. Moore and Mrs. W. H. Pouch, both former national champions, and Miss Marie Wagner, the Hamilton Grange expert. Irving C. Wright, playing from the Crescent Athletic Club, was a double winner, taking the singles from Hugh Tallant, of the Amackassin Club, 6/1, 6/4, 6/4, and paired with Henry Torrance of the Englewood Field Club, won the doubles, 3/6, 4/6, 6/1, 6/2, 6/1. The women's singles gave Mrs. Pouch an opportunity to display her superior knowledge of the game. Her defeat of Miss Moore, 4/6, 7/5, 7/5, and Miss Wagner, 5/7, 8/6, 6/4, in succession, after both were within a point of the match was a clever exhibition of generalship.



**Seventh Regiment Beats Yale.**—The indoor tennis season in New York was opened November 30, at the Seventh Regiment Armory, with an inter-club match between Yale University and the Seventh Regiment. The soldiers were almost invincible, failing in the entire series to win only one match. The scores follow: Inter-club singles—A. T. Spaulding, Yale, defeated William B. Cragin, Jr., Seventh, 3/6, 7/9, 9/7; Calhoun Cragin, Seventh, defeated C. D. Jones, Yale, 6/1, 10/8; Robert T. Bryan, Seventh, defeated H. H. Bundy, Yale, 6/1, 6/0; Arthur S. Cragin, Seventh, defeated N. C. Stevens, Yale, 9/7, 6/2; Morris S. Clark, Seventh, defeated H. H. Bundy, Yale, 6/0, 6/2; L. H. Fitch, Seventh, defeated L. T. Post, Yale, 6/4, 6/3. Inter-club doubles—Robert T. Bryan and Morris S. Clark, Seventh, defeated A. T. Spaulding and N. H. Bundy, Yale, 6/4, 6/2; Calhoun Cragin and Arthur S. Cragin, Seventh, defeated C. D. Jones and H. H. Bundy, Yale, 6/1, 6/1; Arthur M. Lovibond and William B. Cragin, Jr., Seventh, defeated N. C. Stevens and L. T. Post, Yale, 6/0, 6/2.

**Morris County Tournament.**—The Morris County Golf Club tournament was finished on September 14 at Morristown, N. J. In the finals of the women's doubles Miss Jewett and Miss Eleanor Souther, of the Orange Tennis Club, defeated Miss Margaret Behr and Miss Marion Swords of the Morris County, 6/4, 6/3. The consolations were won by Miss Underhill of the Orange Club, who defeated Miss Freeman of the Essex County Country Club, 6/3, 6/0.



**Massachusetts South Shore Championship.**—The Massachusetts South Shore championships resulted in a victory for C. G. Plimpton, who defeated Beals in the final round, 6/3, 6/3, 6/1. Plimpton took the challenge round by reason of the default of Irving C. Wright. In the doubles Speare and Wales defeated Beals and Scott, 7/5, 4/6, 7/5, 6/4. H. J. Rendall defeated McCreath in the men's singles, 6/1, 2/6, 6/0, 6/3, and in the doubles H. J. Rendall and H. W. Rendall defeated Grimes and Sheppard, 7/5, 6/2, 6/2. In the mixed doubles Middleton and Miss Hebbs defeated Grimes and Miss Longhead, 6/1, 6/1, 6/3.



**The Baltimore Lawn Tennis Association.**—The Baltimore Lawn Tennis Association held its last meeting on the splendid dirt courts of the Maryland Country Club, September 4. The association was formed early in the spring of 1907. Each club was represented by a team of ten men in the charge of a captain, and the captains cast lots to see which clubs should oppose each other. The association officers consisted of J. S. Ensor, president; W. S. Symington, vice-president; E. B. Clary, secretary, and W. B. Kirkpatrick, treasurer. Herbert Waite presented the association with a beautiful silver loving cup, to be held by the club winning the majority of the meetings during the summer. The association offered challenge cups for the best single player and for the best double pair. The Baltimore Country Club won the Waite Cup, with the Maryland Athletic Club second.



**Boston Athletic Association Suburban League Champion.**—The Boston Suburban Inter-Club Lawn Tennis League, which comprises the Boston Athletic Association of Boston, the Brae-Burn Country Club of West Newton, the Newton Centre Squash-Tennis Club of Newton Centre, the Tennis and Racquet Club of Boston, the Country Club of Brookline and the Wellesley Hills Lawn Tennis Club of Wellesley Hills, played its final match on Tuesday, July 2, on the grounds of the Longwood Cricket Club, the Boston Athletic Association at that time and place defeating the Wellesley Hills Tennis Club in three matches out of the four played, thereby winning the club championship.



**Aztec Club Tournament (Played at the Aztec Club Courts, Chicago, September 3 to 10).**—L. B. Burford, holder of the club title, successfully defended it against his rival, Forstall. The summary: Singles—Preliminary round—Ricker, Jr., defeated Cramer, 6/1, 6/1; De Beers defeated Williams, 6/2, 4/6, 6/3; Wilkinson defeated Powers, 14/12, 7/5; Forstall defeated Donaldson, 6/1, 6/1; Wilkins defeated Kirk, 4/6, 6/4, 6/4. First round—Phillips defeated Madsen, 8/6, 5/7, 8/6; Gookin defeated Vilas, 6/2, 6/2; Neighbor defeated Charles, 6/0, 6/4; Stoud defeated Rettig, 6/0, 6/4; Kellogg defeated Naper, 6/1, 6/3; Ross defeated Kruttschnitt, 6/0, 6/2; Ricker defeated Haines, 6/1, 6/0; Atkinson defeated De Beers, 6/2, 5/7, 6/4; Hoyle defeated Peck, 6/4, 6/2; Modica defeated Watkins, by default; Glanz defeated Wilbrenner, 6/1, 6/2; Loesch defeated Merrill, 10/8, 3/6, 7/5; Klander defeated Redheffer, 6/2, 6/2; Leith defeated McCulloch, 4/6, 6/1, 6/2. Final round—Forstall defeated Ricker, 6/4, 6/1, 6/3. Championship round—Lawrence B. Burford (holder) defeated Forstall, 3/6, 6/1, 6/4, 5/7, 6/2.

**Far Rockaway's Opening.**—Surprises awaited a number of players who participated in the Ocean Country Club open tournament at Far Rockaway, Long Island, in July, 1907. In the semi-final round, Hugh Tallant, Amackassin, defeated Harry Torrance, Jr., Englewood, 6/1, 6/3; George F. Touchard, Hamilton Grange, defeated Maurice Goldman, 3/6, 6/2, 6/1. Tallant and Touchard came together in the final round, Tallant winning by 10/8, 6/1, 6/3.



**Tuxedo's Tournament.**—The final round in the Tuxedo Park Club lawn tennis championship at the Tuxedo Tennis and Racquet Club in September resulted in a victory for Clarence C. Pell, who defeated F. F. Deerham, 1/6, 6/3, 6/4, 7/5. Many society people, who were spending the week-end at Tuxedo Club, witnessed the match, which was played in a drizzling rain. In the preliminary round P. Lorillard, Jr., and W. B. Dinsmore drew byes and played the opening match of the tournament, which resulted in a victory for Dinsmore at 6/3, 4/6, 6/2. J. P. Berkard presented the cups for the club championship.



**Harvard University Championship.**—N. W. Niles won the championship of Harvard University by defeating J. M. Morse in the final of the inter-class series, by scores of 6/2, 6/1, 6/4. The event was decided by holding tournaments in each class, the finals in each resulting as follows: 1907—J. M. Morse defeated A. M. Harlow, 4/6, 8/6, 6/2, 6/4. 1908—C. C. Pell defeated P. Grant, 6/4, 6/2, 7/5. 1909—N. W. Niles defeated A. S. Dabney, 6/3, 6/3, 6/3. 1910—W. F. Morgan, Jr., defeated H. Peters, 6/1, 4/6, 6/4, 6/4. In the semi-finals J. M. Morse defeated C. C. Pell, 7/5, 6/2, 6/4; and N. W. Niles defeated W. F. Morgan, Jr., 6/1, 6/1, 6/0. In the final, Niles defeated Morse, 6/2, 6/1, 6/4.



**Elmira Tennis Club Tournament.**—The fifteenth annual open tennis tournament of the Elmira (N. Y.) Tennis Club opened Tuesday, August 27, with the largest entry in the history of the club. There were twenty-four players in men's singles, eleven teams in men's doubles, and five teams in mixed doubles. In the finals in men's doubles Bissell and McLaughlin of Buffalo defeated Blampied and Wyckoff of Elmira in a pretty match; the lobbing and defensive game of the Elmira pair being in sharp contrast to the sharp, effective net play of the Buffalo team. Bissell and McLaughlin won, 6/4, 3/6, 6/2, 6/0. In the finals in mixed doubles, H. W. Hamlin and Miss Dexter defeated E. P. Rapelyea and Miss Jennings, 6/4, 6/0. The finals in men's singles were played off Saturday morning. Howard Bissell of Buffalo defeating H. W. Hamlin of Canandaigua in a hard-fought match, 6/4, 6/3, 7/5.



**Harvard Wins from Princeton.**—Harvard won a ridiculously easy victory over Princeton in the dual match between them, allowing only a single contest to get away. Dixon and Pyne, in the doubles, managed to win from Harlow and Pearson of Harvard, by 6/3, 3/6, 6/2. In all of the six singles Harvard won and in two of the three doubles, making the score 8 to 1. The summary: Singles—Morse, Harvard, defeated Richardson, 6/4, 6/2; Dabney, Harvard, defeated Norton, 4/6, 6/3, 6/2; Pell, Harvard, defeated Beers, 6/2, 6/3; Harlow, Harvard, defeated Pyne, 6/4, 6/3; Pearson, Harvard, defeated Dixon, 5/7, 6/2, 8/6; Reggio, Harvard, defeated Gordon, 10/8, 6/4. Doubles—Morse and Pell, Harvard, defeated Richardson and Beers, 1/6, 9/7, 6/2; Dabney and Reggio, Harvard, defeated Norton and Gordon, 4/6, 6/3, 7/5; Dixon and Pyne, Princeton, defeated Harlow and Pearson, 6/3, 3/6, 6/2.

**Columbia and Cornell Even.**—Columbia and Cornell played a tie match at Ithaca on May 11, with teams of four men. They broke even both in singles and doubles. The summary of the play follows: Singles—Capt. Drennen, Cornell, defeated Capt. Burns, Columbia, 6/3, 6/2; Clare, Cornell, defeated Thomas, Columbia, 6/4, 6/4; Cole, Columbia, defeated M. Lee, Cornell, 3/6, 7/5, 6/2; Hendricks, Columbia, defeated Norton, Cornell, 3/6, 7/5, 6/4. Doubles—Hendricks and Burns, Columbia, defeated Drennen and Clare, Cornell, 6/4, 10/8; Marston and Greer, Cornell, defeated Cole and Thomas, Columbia, 9/7, 6/1.



**South Jersey Championship.**—The seventh annual tournament for the championship of South Jersey was held late in August at Ocean City, N. J. Better players entered than ever before, and a better grade of tennis was exhibited. The Championship Cup for challenge round was donated by Joseph G. Champion, Mayor of Ocean City, N. J.; the winner of the tournament prize, by M. F. Champion. Harvey Y. Lake won the championship in singles from R. M. Brookfield, the holder, after a hard fight. Score, 6/3, 11/9, 1/6, 2/6, 6/4. The championship in doubles went to DeHaven Yocum and James Kerr, of the Delaware County Country Club, Pa., they winning from Brookfield and Hofstetter (holders), 6/3, 6/3, 7/5. Mrs. William G. Fischer, of the Germantown Cricket Club, Germantown, Pa., won the women's singles, easily defeating Miss E. Morley, 6/1, 6/0.



**Philadelphia Inter-Club Women's Championship.**—By the narrow margin of one point the team of the Merion Cricket Club again won first honors in the Philadelphia Inter-Club League for women. It was not until the last match—between Merion and Belmont—was played on June 18 that the contest was decided. This game resulted as follows: Merion vs. Belmont—Miss Rachel Harlan, Belmont, defeated Miss Phyllis Green, Merion, 6/1, 6/4; Mrs. Joseph G. Hibbs, Belmont, defeated Mrs. H. Toulmin, Merion, 7/9, 6/4, 8/6; Miss Clara T. Chase, Merion, defeated Mrs. F. B. Gilbert, Belmont, 6/3, 6/4; Miss Marion Sharswood, Merion, defeated Miss Myra Rastall, Belmont, 7/5, 6/0; Mrs. Kane S. Green, Merion, defeated Miss Inglis, Belmont, 7/5, 6/0. The complete individual score of the champions follows: Miss Sharswood, won 8, lost 0; Miss Toulmin, won 7, lost 1; Miss Chase, won 7, lost 3; Mrs. Kane Green, won 7, lost 0; Miss Phyllis Green, won 3, lost 1; Miss Dorothy Green, won 3, lost 1; Miss May Sayres, won 4, lost 0; Miss Helen Stephenson, won 0, lost 2; Miss K. McFadden, won 1, lost 0; Miss Isabel Sayres, won 0, lost 1; Miss Charlotte Williams, won 0, lost 1.



**Old Dominion Tennis Tournament.**—By an exhibition of sterling tennis, Pollard, the Richmond crack, made his way to victory in the Old Dominion tennis tournament, which began on the courts of the Hermitage Golf Club on June 24. Pollard has won the cup twice—in 1903, when it was originally put up, and again in 1907, it having been won in 1906 by Richard Hooker. In the ladies' singles, the principal feature was the playing of Miss Bessie Upsher, who played three three-set matches, winning them all, but was ultimately vanquished in the challenge round by Miss Evans, the title holder. It was the first year that a cup had been offered in the men's doubles, this being won by Pollard and Bridgers. Summaries: Men's singles—Final round—Pollard, Richmond, defeated Ballenger, Washington, by default. Challenge round—Pollard defeated Richard Hooker (holder), Springfield, Mass., by default. Men's doubles—Final round—Pollard and Bridgers defeated Whitehead and Tyler, by default. Ladies' singles—Final round—Miss Upsher defeated Miss Robinson, 4/6, 6/4, 6/2. Challenge round—Miss Evans defeated Miss Upsher, 6/1, 6/1.



**Dyker Heights Doubles Tourney.**—Drs. Kopf and Farewell (scratch) defeated the Hirsch brothers (minus half 15) in the finals of the doubles tennis tournament of the Dyker Heights Country Club, Dyker Heights, N. Y., July 7. The vanquished pair held the title for two years, and their defeat was a surprise. The new champions appeared in the club tourney for the first time this year. The Hirsch boys won the first set, lost the second and third, then rallied, and took the fourth by desperate up-hill work. In the morning in the semi-final round the Hirsch brothers defeated Royston and Porter in straight sets.



**Nahant's Invitation Tournament.**—On its fine grass courts at Nahant, Mass., Tuesday, July 30, the invitation annual singles and doubles tournament of the Nahant Club was started. In the finals, between Colston and Niles, a close argument was expected, but Niles was in the best form he has displayed this year thus far and made his win in straight sets, 6/4, 6/2, 6/2. The doubles matches were played off through the week as a round robin event, each pair meeting every other pair, and some remarkably fine play was offered the gallery in this event. Here, however, Colston and LeRoy proved too good for the Massachusetts boys, and they came through the tournament without the loss of a match. The score: Singles, Niles defeated Colston, 6/4, 6/2, 6/2. In the doubles Colston and LeRoy won all their matches.



**Seventh Regiment, New York, vs. Moyamensing, Philadelphia.**—The indoor tennis team of the Seventh Regiment, New York, defeated the team of the Moyamensing Indoor Tennis Club, of Philadelphia, by winning seven out of eight matches. The competitions were decided on the courts of the Seventh Regiment Armory. The New Yorkers won easily, A. L. Hoskins of Philadelphia being the only one of his team to win a match. Summary: Inter-City singles—Robert T. Bryan, Seventh Regiment, defeated Dr. P. B. Hawk, 6/4, 6/1; William B. Cragin, Jr., Seventh Regiment, defeated R. L. James, 6/2, 6/2; Morris S. Clark, Seventh Regiment, defeated H. Kawasaki, 7/5, 6/1; A. L. Hoskins, Moyamensing T.C., defeated L. H. Fitch, 5/7, 12/10, 8/6; Carleton C. Kelley, Seventh Regiment, defeated Ewing Stille, 6/1, 6/4. Inter-City doubles—Robert T. Bryan and Morris S. Clark, Seventh Regiment, defeated Dr. P. B. Hawk and R. L. James, 6/0, 6/1; William B. Cragin, Jr., and King Smith, Seventh Regiment, defeated A. L. Hoskins and H. Kawasaki, 6/1, 6/1; Carleton C. Kelley and R. H. Fitch, Seventh Regiment, defeated Ewing Stille and W. H. Starbuck, 6/4, 6/3.



**Bristol County (R. I.) Championship.**—The sixth annual tournament for the championship of Bristol County, R. I., under the auspices of the United States National Lawn Tennis Association, was held as usual by the Excelsior Tennis Club, R. I., during the week of July 15. The play was of a higher class than ever before, and the games attracted a great deal of interest throughout the State. In the men's singles W. J. Duffy, Jr., and R. W. Nelson won their way to the final round. The former had very little trouble in disposing of his opponents, but Nelson had several very exciting three-set matches in the previous rounds. In the final, Duffy's steady play was too much for his opponent, who showed a great fondness for the net, which error lost him the match. The scores were: 6/4, 6/0, 6/3. In the championship round W. M. Fischer, who won the event last year, was successful over Duffy, by reason of his fast and accurate net play and smashing. The scores were: 6/3, 6/3, 6/4. The feature of the women's championship was the final contest between Miss Florence Archer and Miss M. Rockwell, which was won by the former after one of the hardest-fought contests on record, the scores being 6/8, 6/2, 10/8. The club's handicap tournament was won by J. Ira Shepard (scratch), who defeated R. W. Nelson (owe 15) in the final round, 1/6, 6/2, 6/4, 6/4.

**Plainfield Country Club Victorious**—By winning five matches to four, the Plainfield (N. J.) Country Club defeated the Trenton (N. J.) Country Club on the grounds of the former October 12. W. B. Cragin, Jr., who is a member of both organizations, played with the State Capital men and won his match against A. D. Beers. A week later the Plainfield experts defeated the Elizabeth Town and Country Club, at Plainfield, by a margin of two matches. Cragin defeated M. S. Charlock in the singles, and in both of the double contests the Plainfield players won. Earlier in the season Clifford M. Crapo and W. L. Righter won the doubles championship of the Plainfield Club by 2/6, 6/3, 5/7, 6/3 and 6/4.



**Park Club, Buffalo, Labor Day Tourney.**—In the Labor Day tournament at the Park Club of Buffalo, Miss May Sutton was the central figure. In doubles, Miss Sutton was paired with Harry D. Kirkover, the former holder of the local championship, and opposed to them were Howard Bissell, the 1907 champion of Buffalo, and Miss Louise Moyes of Toronto, the woman champion of Canada. Miss Sutton and Mr. Kirkover won by 6/2. After this set Herbert F. McLaughlin of Attica and Bissell played Miss Sutton and Kirkover at mixed doubles. The play was close and exciting. The former pair are the champions of Western New York in doubles, and, as was expected, put up the strongest kind of a game. They were outclassed, however, in the first set, Miss Sutton and Kirkover winning by 6/2 in rather easy fashion. The second set saw the tables turned on the champion and her partner, Bissell and McLaughlin taking this set by 6/4. Following a short intermission Miss Sutton came on with Miss Moyes, the Canadian champion. Miss Moyes played brilliantly, although at the end she seemed to tire. Miss Sutton, however, seemed not to feel the least fatigue and won the set easily by 6/0, apparently as fresh as when she started to play in the doubles.



**Eastern Pennsylvania Championship.**—Harvey Y. Lake, the South Jersey champion, after several close and hard matches, finally won the Eastern Pennsylvania title in singles from the holder, L. S. Oliver, in straight sets, on the skinned courts in Fairmount Park, Philadelphia. The finals in doubles went to Robert M. Brookfield and Harvey Y. Lake, by defeating Janney and Hoffman. Summary: Singles—First round—C. C. Van Horn defeated E. R. Hill, 6/0, 6/1; A. S. Rowland defeated J. A. Snyder, 8/6, 2/6, 6/3; R. M. Brookfield defeated T. S. Craven, 6/2, 6/1; Colfelt defeated C. C. Carson, by default; L. Tremain defeated W. P. Rowland, 6/4, 4/6, 6/3; Haydock defeated Little, by default; F. S. Wood defeated D. Edmonds, 6/1, 6/2; C. Behrends defeated E. B. Deacon, 6/1, 6/2; H. A. Dewey defeated W. Stevenson, by default; Dr. H. G. Godfrey defeated W. C. Webster, 6/3, 6/4; W. Janney defeated T. B. Snyder, 6/1, 6/2; J. H. Travis defeated J. M. Bryans, 6/0, 5/7, 6/3; J. P. Kelly defeated C. Gold, 6/0, 6/2; N. S. Harris defeated H. R. Hayday, 6/1, 6/1; J. H. Kerr defeated H. C. Harris, 6/2, 6/3; H. Y. Lake defeated Dr. W. W. Fox, 6/4, 2/6, 8/6. Second round. Winners—Van Horn, Brookfield, Tremain, Wood, Godfrey, Janney, Kelly, Lake. Third round. Winners—Brookfield, Tremain, Godfrey, Lake. Semi-final round. Winners—Tremain, Lake. Final round—Lake defeated Tremain, 6/1, 6/2, 7/5. Challenge round—Lake (challenger) defeated Oliver (holder), 8/6, 6/2, 6/0. Doubles—Preliminary round—Knerr and Earle defeated Harris brothers, 6/4, 1/6, 6/4; Lake and Brookfield defeated Rowland brothers, 4/6, 6/3, 12/10; Webster and Kerr defeated Palmer and Recksnider, 6/1, 6/4; Oliver and Levis defeated Yocum and Harlan, 8/6, 6/0; Kelley and Longshore defeated Craven and Johnson, 6/2, 6/0; Hill and Van Horn, Janney and Hoffman, and Bryans and Colfelt, byes. First round. Winners—Hill and Van Horn, Lake and Brookfield, Oliver and Levis, Janney and Hoffman. Second round. Winners—Lake and Brookfield, Janney and Hoffman. Final round—Lake and Brookfield defeated Janney and Hoffman, 6/4, 6/4, 6/0.

**Sweetser, Harvard's Interscholastic Champion.**—Arthur Sweetser won the final in the Harvard interscholastic tournament from D. S. Niles of Brookline, Mass., by consistent and well-judged play worthy of a veteran. The score in the final was 6/2, 6/4, 7/5. The victory was especially creditable, as Sweetser disposed of the other most prominent aspirants in the semi-final before meeting Niles. He defeated J. Whitney of Wellesley, 6/2, 6/3. The team championship was won by Newton High School, which took the greatest number of matches, and accordingly received the shield presented by the Harvard Tennis Association..



**Brooklyn Lawn Tennis Club Championship.**—After three years of persistent striving, Walter L. Pate won the championship of the Brooklyn, N. Y., Lawn Tennis Club. In 1905 and 1906 he was runner-up to John C. Remond, but the latter, his "hoodoo," fell before the aggressive attack of L. E. Hughes, one of the club's new stars in 1907. Hughes was unable to put up much of a struggle against Pate in the final, however, and Pate won in straight sets. The doubles title went to Pate and Sanborn, who defeated Putnam and Remond. Summary: Men's singles—Pate defeated Hughes, 6/1, 6/1. Men's doubles—Final round—Pate and Sanborn defeated Putnam and Remond, 3/6, 7/5, 6/4, 6/0.



**Chicago Defeats Minnesota (Match played at Minneapolis, May 20, 1907).**—The University of Chicago tennis stars easily won the meet with the University of Minnesota players, taking every match with the exception of one, the singles, in which Pidgeon of Minnesota defeated Ransom. Four matches in singles and two in doubles were played. The summary: Singles—Carr, Chicago, defeated Potter, Minnesota, 6/1, 6/2; Pidgeon, Minnesota, defeated Ransom, Chicago, 6/2, 3/6, 6/2; Grey, Chicago, defeated Muir, Minnesota, 6/3, 3/6, 6/3; Henry, Chicago, defeated Stone, Minnesota, 6/0, 6/4. Doubles—Ransom and Henry, Chicago, defeated Potter and Stone, Minnesota, 6/3, 6/2; Carr and Grey, Chicago, defeated Muir and Pidgeon, Minnesota, 6/4, 8/6.



**St. Joseph Inter-State Open Lawn Tennis Tournament.**—The first annual St. Joseph open lawn tennis championship tournament was held on the courts of the St. Joseph Lawn Tennis Association, St. Joseph, Mo., beginning July 10, 1907. Although this was the first competition of any great prominence ever held in St. Joseph, it proved one of the biggest affairs in the Middle West, and some of the cleverest players in that section of the country participated. The feature of the tournament was the interest displayed by the St. Joseph people. They were in gala attire, and the scene at the courts more resembled a foot ball game than a tennis tournament. In the first round the most interesting match was between Duke of St. Joseph and Campbell of Kansas City. Duke won the first set, 6/3, and Campbell the second, 6/3. The third and deciding set went to Duke twelve times before it was finally won by Duke. The latter then went out before Sherman of Kansas City in the next round. Perhaps the prettiest exhibition during the tournament was the match between Cannon of Kansas City and Sterling of St. Joseph, which was captured by the latter. Sterling, however, was defeated in the next match by Vernon, many times champion of the Missouri Valley, by 6/2, 7/5. Harry Brewster of St. Joseph had steadily advanced to the front and he and Vernon had reached the final round. He, too, went down before Vernon to the tune of 6/2, 6/2, 3/6, 6/2. This gave Vernon the championship and with it the beautiful Smeltzer trophy. In the doubles, Vernon and Trittle of Kansas City and Brewster and Sterling of St. Joseph met in the finals, and the surprise of the tournament was the ease in which Sterling and Brewster walked away from their opponents, winning three out of four sets played.

**Westfall Wins New Haven Tournament.**—With perfect weather and with the omission of the challenge match, owing to the absence in England of the title-holder, Karl H. Behr, the annual tournament of the New Haven Lawn Club was brought to a successful close on Friday, June 21. H. Laverne Westfall, the hard-hitting young Kings County player, took his first big tournament by defeating George H. Nettleton, the New Haven expert, in the final round, the result never being in much doubt. The score was 6/4, 6/0, 6/3. In the doubles Westfall and Grant had things their own way. An easy draw put them speedily into the finals, and there Sanford and Nettleton threatened them only in the last set. The score was: 6/2, 6/2, 7/5.



**Trenton Y.M.C.A. vs. Narberth.**—The Trenton Y.M.C.A. tennis team, composed of some of the most expert players in Trenton, N. J., played a match against the Narberth Tennis Club of Narberth, Pa., on July 26, on the dirt court of the latter. The Trenton team came off victorious with five matches won, one lost and one tied. Five matches were played in singles and two in doubles. Summaries: Singles—K. Aitkin defeated Justice, 6/4, 6/4; Slack defeated Reiff, 7/5, 7/5; Messler defeated Jones, 6/3, 3/6, 11/9; Cowen defeated Rev. Dr. Wilbur, 6/1, 6/4; Townsend defeated Graham, 6/0, 6/1. Doubles—Slack and Townsend, Trenton, defeated Reiff and Graham, Narberth, 6/1, 4/6, 7/5; Messler and Aitkin, Trenton, played Justice and Cowen, Narberth, an unfinished match, 8/10, 11/9.



**Richmond County Country Club.**—The finals in the competitions at the Richmond County Country Club resulted in Howard A. Plummer winning the men's singles championship, and Mrs. William H. Pouch earning the honors in the July handicap for women. In the finals of the men's handicap doubles, Plummer and Pell defeated H. L. Hitchcock and Charles Hitchcock, Jr. The summary: Men's singles—Championship round—Howard A. Plummer defeated James D. Pell 1/6, 6/2, 7/5, 8/6. July singles. women's handicap—Semi-final round—Miss Von Brieson (plus half 30) defeated Miss Eleanor Lea (plus half 30), 6/0, 6/4; Mrs. W. H. Pouch (minus 15) defeated Miss E. Donald (scratch), 6/1, 6/1. Final round—Mrs. W. H. Pouch (minus 15) defeated Miss Von Brieson (plus half 30), 6/2, 4/6, 6/2.



**Baltimore Country Club Tourney.**—After one of the most exciting contests ever witnessed on local tennis lawns, the Baltimore Country Club tennis cup was won by John Kerr in three straight sets from W. S. Symington, in the finals of the second annual tennis tournament of the Baltimore Country Club, the score being 7/5, 6/0, 6/3. The finals of the ladies' singles were played between Miss Emma Jackson and Miss Lillian Griener. Miss Jackson played her usual strong, consistent game, and won by a score of 6/3, 6/2. The match was more exciting than the score would indicate, deuce and vantage games being frequent, and, although defeated, Miss Griener deserves much credit for the plucky fight she put up against her more experienced adversary. She was one of the youngest girls entered, and has the making of a very good player. The other games in the singles were: Symington defeated Brent, 6/3, 6/3; Clay defeated Brookes, 8/6, 6/4; Chestnut defeated Griswold, 6/4, 6/2; Hill defeated Bowie, 7/5, 6/2; Clifford Brown defeated Goodnow, 6/3, 6/2; Perce defeated Lanahan, 6/3, 6/2; Kerr defeated James Brown, 6/0, 6/1; Bowie defeated Iglehart, 7/5, 1/6, 6/2.

**Inter-City Indoor League.**—The Inter-City Indoor League, composed of the Seventh Regiment, New York; Brooklyn Heights Casino; Cadet Corps, Boston, and the Moyamensing Club of Philadelphia, conducted a championship contest, which was won by the Seventh Regiment team. Moyamensing defaulted all their games, while the Seventh won the final from the Heights Casino by default. The Cadet Corps was defeated by both the Seventh Regiment and the Heights Casino as follows: Seventh Regiment vs. Cadet Corps—Doubles—W. S. Clark and A. S. Cragin, Seventh Regiment, defeated B. S. Blake and A. H. Hitchcock, Cadets, 7/5, 6/1. Singles—R. T. Bryan, Seventh Regiment, defeated Richard Bishop, Cadets, 3/6, 6/3, 6/3; W. B. Cragin, Jr., Seventh Regiment, defeated J. T. Lovering, Cadets, 6/2, 6/3. The final score was, Seventh Regiment, 3; Cadet Corps, 0. Cadet Corps vs. Heights Casino—Hugh Tallant, Brooklyn Heights Casino, defeated Richard Bishop, Cadets, 6/3, 6/4; C. M. Bull, Brooklyn Heights Casino, defeated B. S. Blake, Cadets, 4/6, 6/3, 6/3; F. B. Alexander and W. C. DeMille, Brooklyn Heights Casino, defeated P. L. Schuyler and G. Walworth, Cadets, 6/4, 6/4. Final score: Brooklyn Heights Casino, 3; Cadet Corps, 0.



**Lake George Tournament.**—William McCreath of Harrisburg, Pa., won the championship of Lake George, defeating Franklin Gates, of Montclair, N. J., who captured the title at the 1906 tournament. These meetings are held on the courts of the Sagamore Hotel, and mark the culmination of the tennis season at Lake George. McCreath's path to victory was an easy one, every match being won in straight sets. Even Gates, last year's winner, could not offer any strong resistance, and one of the sets in this match was won by the new champion at love. The doubles final was more strenuously contested, going to the full five sets. The Bacon brothers, although very young, played good tennis and give distinct promise. Summary: Final round. Men's singles—W. McCreath defeated O. E. Bacon, 6/3, 6/4, 6/4. Final round. Men's doubles—Gates brothers defeated Bacon brothers, 6/0, 3/6, 7/5, 4/6, 10-8. Men's consolation doubles. Final round—Lewis and De Silver defeated Miller and Hardwick, by default. Mixed doubles. Final round—Miss Shepard and Gates defeated Miss Simpson and Lewis, 6/3, 3/6, 6/3. Men's consolation singles. Final round—Lewis defeated Jones, by default.



**Touchard Leads at Amackassin.**—The annual open tournament of the Amackassin Club, Youkers-on-Hudson, attracted more than usual interest on account of the entry of the ranking women players of the metropolitan district, including two former national champions and two sectional champions; while at the same time the usual quota of men players of local repute were in the lists. Miss Elizabeth H. Moore, of the West Side Tennis Club, New York, carried off first honors in the women's singles event from Miss Marie Wagner, of the Hamilton Grange Club, a rising young player, by 6/0, 6/4. In the finals of the women's doubles, paired with Mrs. W. H. Pouch, who, as Miss Helen Helwig, was a former national champion, Miss Moore won the title from Mrs. Chapman and Miss Boldt, 6/0, 6/8, 6/4. G. P. Touchard, of the Hamilton Grange Tennis Club, came through successfully in the men's singles, defeating Artemus Holmes, of Knollwood Country Club, in the final round in straight sets, 6/2, 6/4, 6/0. Hugh Tallant and W. D. Lyon, of the home club, won the men's doubles, and Mrs. George H. Chapman and Artemus Holmes were the survivors in the mixed doubles event.



**New Haven Club Tournament.**—Harry Westfall of New York had no trouble in winning the open singles and doubles championship of the New Haven Lawn Tennis Club, played at New Haven, June 17 to 21. Paired with W. C. Grant in the doubles, he defeated Messrs. Nettleton and Sanford in straight sets. In the singles he defeated Professor Nettleton easily. The final scores were: Singles—H. L. Westfall defeated Professor George H. Nettleton, 6/4, 6/0, 6/2. Doubles—Grant and Westfall defeated Nettleton and Sanford, 6/2, 6/2, 7/5.



**Massachusetts Defeats Rhode Island.**—As the concluding sporting event of Rhode Island's Old Home Week, two picked teams, representing Rhode Island and Massachusetts, contended for the mastery and the possession of a \$75 silver cup given by the Old Home Week Committee on the courts of the Wannamoisett Country Club, August 3. The meet resulted in a lifting of the trophy by the Bay State contingent, who took four of the five events constituting the card, two singles matches and both the doubles, but Massachusetts's pride was lowered when R. C. Seaver, singles champion of that commonwealth for the past two years, was played to a standstill by Russell N. Dana, champion of Rhode Island, who won in straight sets by 6/1, 6/1. Summary: Singles—R. N. Dana, Rhode Island, defeated R. C. Seaver, Massachusetts, 6/1, 6/1; H. C. Johnson, Massachusetts, defeated J. O. Ames, Rhode Island, 6/1, 5/7, 6/4; F. J. Sulloway, Massachusetts, defeated E. T. Gross, Rhode Island, 6/1, 6/2. Doubles—H. C. Johnson and C. F. Johnson, Jr., Massachusetts, defeated E. T. Gross and R. N. Dana, Rhode Island, 6/3, 7/5; F. J. Sulloway and Seaver Warland, Massachusetts, defeated A. A. Barrows and W. W. White, Jr., 10/8, 6/0.



**Women's Singles at Morristown.**—At Morristown, N. J., September 10, the fall tournament of the Women's Tennis Association of Country Clubs began on the courts of the Morris County Golf Club, Miss Emily Scott winning the final in the singles. Summary: Singles—First round—Miss Margaret Behr, Morris County, defeated Miss Reynolds, Essex, 6/2, 6/0; Miss Jewett, Orange, defeated Miss Marie Bryce, Morris County, 6/0, 6/4; Miss Eleanor Souther, Orange, defeated Mrs. Charles R. Tiffany, Nassau, 6/2, 6/0; Miss Emily Scott, Westchester, defeated Miss Underhill, Orange, 7/5, 6/3; Miss Jeannie Swords, Morris County, defeated Miss Foote, Morris County, 6/3, 6/2; Miss Denny, Morris County, defeated Miss Freeman, Essex, 6/4, 6/2, 6/3; Mrs. Joseph G. Wilds and Miss Marion Swords of Morris County both drew byes. Second round. Winners—Miss Margaret Behr, Miss Souther, Miss Scott, Miss Day. Semi-final round. Winners—Miss Behr, Miss Scott. Final round—Miss Scott defeated Miss Behr, 7/5, 5/7, 11/2, 11/9. Women's doubles—First round—Miss Swords and Miss Underhill defeated Miss Day and Mrs. Tiffany, by default, and were in turn defeated by Miss Souther and Miss Jewett, 3/6, 6/8, 6/1; Miss Behr and Miss Swords defeated Miss Freeman and Miss Reynolds, 8/6, 4/6, 6/0. Final round—Miss Jewett and Miss Eleanor Souther, Orange, defeated Miss Margaret Behr and Miss Marion Swords, Morris County, 6/4, 6/3. Consolation round—Miss Swords defeated Mrs. Tiffany, by default; Miss Freeman defeated Miss Bryce, by default; Miss Underhill defeated Mrs. Joseph Ellis, by default; Miss Reynolds defeated Miss Foote, 6/4, 5/0. Second round. Winners—Miss Freeman, Miss Underhill. Final round—Miss Underhill, Orange, defeated Miss Freeman, Essex County, 6/3, 6/0.

**Johnson is Philadelphia Champion.**—Wallace F. Johnson of Merion, the Philadelphia schoolboy, who won the interscholastic national title at Newport, again won the championship of Philadelphia, defending his title against W. H. Trotter, the challenger, successfully at Elmwood, Pa., by steady, consistent play. The score was 6/4, 6/1, 1/6, 6/3.



**Columbia University Championship.**—G. C. Shafer, 1909 L, won the singles championship of Columbia University in the most successful fall tournament ever held by that institution. He experienced a hard draw and had a very severe strain to read the finals where he met A. S. Moses, 1909, who had an easy draw, but Shafer won, notwithstanding. Coupled with H. E. Colton, 1908L, he also won the doubles. Shafer in the lower half met P. R. Cole (Pg.), whom he defeated in a hard-fought match by the score of 7/5, 7/5, and D. Peiser, 1910L, to whom he lost the first set, 6/3. The semi-finals proved rather tame, Shafer defeating H. P. Banks, 1908, 6/0, 6/4, and Moses winning from F. H. Hayes, 1909S by the score of 6/4, 6/0. In the finals Shafer easily disposed of Moses in straight sets, 6/1, 6/2, 6/1, plainly showing his superiority in every department of the game. The final match in doubles was hotly contested, five sets having to be played to decide the winners. The first two sets went rather easily to Cole and Boorman, Shafer and Colton being both unsteady. From this point, however, the latter pair braced, Shafer particularly winning many points by brilliant work at the net and deadly smashes overhead, so that the three remaining sets went to Colton and Shafer, 6/2, 6/3, 6/4. Summaries: Singles—Semi-finals—A. S. Moses, 1909, defeated F. H. Hayes, 1909S, 6/4, 6/0; G. C. Shafer, 1909L, defeated H. P. Banks, 1908, 6/0, 6/4. Finals—Shafer defeated Moses, 6/1, 6/2, 6/1. Doubles—Finals—G. C. Shafer, 1909L, and H. E. Colton, 1908L, defeated P. R. Cole (Pg.) and K. M. Boorman, 1908, 2/6, 4/6, 6/2,



**Crescent Athletic Club Championship.**—By defeating Harry C. Martin in a stubbornly contested five-set match, C. M. Bull, Jr., retained the title of champion of the Crescent Athletic Club, Brooklyn, N. Y. Martin, who gave Bull a hard fight last year, won the tournament by defeating W. A. Campbell, a former champion, and F. O. Offeld, Jr., the latter in the final round, in a five-set match, the former remarkable by the score of 0/6, 1/6, 6/2, 6/3, 6/3. In the challenge round Martin got a good lead, winning the first and second sets, the latter after a Titanic struggle, the score being 13/11. Bull then got into the game and won the third and fourth sets, when rain put a stop to the play. The next day they tried again and without result, the rain coming down again with the score 4-all in the deciding set. Later in the week the battle was resumed, the set being played from the beginning, and Bull won, 6/3. The doubles title was won by W. A. Campbell and W. Hazard. Summary: Men's singles—Preliminary round—L. M. Brigham defeated L. Brooks, 6/2, 6/3, 8/10, 4/6, 6/1; F. O. Offeld, Jr., defeated W. D. Hodsell, 6/1, 6/2, 6/4; E. E. Perry defeated H. H. Neale, 6/2, 4/6, 6/1, 6/2; W. B. Brett defeated J. J. H. Walkley, 6/3, 6/8, 6/0, 4/6, 6/4; H. C. Martin defeated F. W. Pelzer, 6/4, 1/6, 6/1, 4/6, 6/2; W. Hazard defeated H. Sheldon, 6/0, 6/1, 7/5; W. A. Campbell defeated G. A. Lembecke, 6/1, 6/3, 6/4. First round—Winners—Offeld, Perry, Martin, Campbell. Semi-final round—Winners—Offeld, Martin. Final round—Martin defeated Offeld, 9/7, 6/2, 5/7, 2/6, 6/4. Challenge round—C. M. Bull, Jr. (holder) defeated Martin (challenger), 4/6, 11/13, 7/5, 6/1, 6/3.

**New York Lawn Tennis Club Tourney.**—The open tournament of the New York Lawn Tennis Club, which began May 25, attracted a large entry list. The meeting of Irving C. Wright and Robert T. Bryan, in the preliminary round, brought about an upset. Bryan's judgment of direction and pace was excellent throughout, and he put Wright on the defensive. Bryan won at 2/6, 6/1, 6/2. In the challenge round between Hackett and Mollenhauer, the former seemed to be holding back for a final effort, which he made in the fifth and deciding set, in which he allowed Mollenhauer only two games. The doubles for the championship of New York was won by T. R. Pell and Robert LeRoy, of the New York Lawn Tennis Club, they defeating Irving C. Wright and Edgar W. Leonard, of the Longwood Cricket Club, the Massachusetts State champions. In the challenge round Pell and LeRoy met H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen, the old Yale pair, holders of the valuable bowls for two years. The latter secured permanent possession of the trophies by defeating the challenging team in an interesting four-set match. Summary follows: Men's singles—Final round—H. Mollenhauer defeated T. R. Pell, 5/7, 6/3, 6/3, 5/7, 7/5. Challenge round—H. H. Hackett, West Side T.C., defeated H. Mollenhauer, 6/2, 6/3, 1/6, 1/6, 6/2. Doubles—Semi-final round—Wright and Leonard defeated Clark and Roberts 6/1, 6/2; Pell and LeRoy defeated Grant and Westfall, 4/6, 6/4, 6/2. Final round—Pell and LeRoy defeated Wright and Leonard, 6/3, 5/7, 11/9. Challenge round—H. H. Hackett and J. A. Allen defeated Pell and LeRoy, 7/5, 4/6, 6/2, 6/3. Open handicap singles—Semi-final round—R. T. Bryan, New York T.C. (minus 30), defeated A. Bassford, Jr., New York T.C. (plus one-half 15), 4/6, 6/3, 6/2; William Rosenbaum, Harlem T.C. (scratch), defeated L. H. Fitch, West Side T.C. (scratch), 6/4, 6/3. Final round—R. T. Bryan (minus 30) defeated William Rosenbaum (scratch), 6/4, 5/7, 6/3, 6/0, 6/3, 6/4.



**Nyack Country Club' Tournament.**—The annual open tournament of the Nyack (N. Y.) Country Club was held during the week of September 2, with a large and representative entry list. The features of the meeting were the appearance of a new player of distinct promise, and the uninterrupted success of the Kings County men—F. G. Anderson winning the singles for the fifth time, and Mollenhauer, partnered with McMahon and Miss Wagner, respectively, winning the doubles and mixed doubles. The player of promise was H. W. Guernsey, of Poughkeepsie, N. Y. He came through to the final, defeating W. B. Cragin, Jr., R. L. James and G. L. Wyeth in rapid succession, and then put up a good fight against Anderson, the first set going to deuce. In the men's singles, Anderson's win gave him his second leg on the championship cup. He defeated Guernsey in the final round in straight sets, 7/5, 6/2, 6/3. The women's singles brought out some close matches. Miss Elizabeth H. Moore and Mrs. W. H. Pouch, both formerly holders of the national title, qualified for the final round. Miss Moore found Miss Marie Wagner a formidable opponent in the first round, finally winning in two close sets, 11/9, 8/6. Both Miss Moore and Mrs. Pouch were obliged to extend themselves in the semi-final round. Miss Moore defeating Miss Hammond, 5/7, 6/3, 6/4, and Mrs. Pouch winning from Mrs. G. L. Chapman after a hard three-set match, 6/1, 1/6, 6/3. The final match was very hard fought, Miss Moore winning after a splendid struggle, 6/2, 5/7, 7/5. The mixed doubles were won by Miss Marie Wagner and H. Mollenhauer, defeating Miss Hammond and W. C. Bradley in the final, 6/2, 6/3.

**Central New Jersey Championship.**—The first annual tournament carrying the title of Central New Jersey Championship was held on the courts of the Trenton Country Club, May 27, and following days. P. B. Hawk, of the Belmont Cricket Club, Philadelphia, the Delaware State champion, succeeded in carrying off the title, winning from R. L. James, of the same club, in five hard-fought sets. The tennis was of a first order, and there were many brilliant rallies, but the steadiness of Hawk finally told, and he won after two and a half hours of play. Score, 4/6, 6/3, 0/6, 6/4, 6/1. The championship in doubles was botly contested, there being nine teams entered, and fast playing resulted. Spencer Gordon and Richard Stockton, of Princeton University, finally defeated P. B. Hawk and R. L. James in a hard four-set match. There were many long rallies, and Gordon and Stockton only won by excellent team work, Stockton being especially in evidence with his overhead work. Score, 6/4, 4/6, 8/6, 6/2. The consolation finals in singles was won by E. S. Aitkin, of the Trenton Country Club, beating James Messler, formerly of Princeton University, in a fairly close two-set match, 8/6, 6/2.



**Harvard University Fall Tournament.**—The wonderful vogue of lawn tennis at Harvard University, and which undoubtedly had much to do with the Crimson's clean sweep in the intercollegiate meeting, was evidenced by the fact that the annual fall tennis tournament, which began October 15, had 136 entries. Out of this enormous field N. W. Niles emerged victoriously, defeating C. C. Pell in the final round and winning the championship for the third successive time. He had previously disposed of A. Sweetser, 6/4, 6/4. He won in straight sets, the last being at love. Two years ago Niles won from Frank J. Sulloway, and in 1906 he defeated J. M. Morse. In the match with Pell, Niles used his puzzling and exhausting twist service, and except in the second set there was no doubt of the result. G. P. Gardner, the new intercollegiate champion, did not play in the singles. In the doubles Niles and Dabney won, defeating Pell and Gardner with the loss of one set.

**Quincy Lawn Tennis Club Tournament.**—The annual open event of the Quincy Lawn Tennis Club in singles started on July 3 with twenty-six entries, the largest list in the history of the club. The wise ones picked either D. S. Niles, brother of Nat Niles, of Harvard tennis fame, or Davenport, interscholastic champion of 1906 and a member of the Longwood Cricket Club, as the probable winner of the tournament, and up to the semi-finals the favorites went through without losing a set. Sidney L. Beals of the Longwood Cricket Club, however, upset their calculations by defeating Niles in the semi-finals, playing a game which was remarkable for its great steadiness and accurate placing, and running way with Niles in the last set with 6/0. In the other semi-final E. Ray Speare of the Newton Centre Squash Tennis Club caught Davenport off his game, after losing one set and winning the second set, took the deciding set and the match at 6/0. In the finals Beals continued his steady work and fine playing of the previous two days and won the match and tournament in three straight sets, 6/3, 6/4, 7/5. The annual open doubles tournament began June 17. Interest centered in the final match, Bradford and Page against A. Sweetser and David Niles. Sweetser had just won the New England interscholastic championship and had made a good showing in the State championship tournament at Longwood. Page and Bradford are players of older reputation, and the first two sets went to them, 7/5, 2/6. At this point Sweetser and Niles pulled themselves together and won the next three sets, 6/2, 6/1, 6/3. In the challenge match, E. R. Speare and George F. Wales showed their steadiness and endurance against the young challengers, 6/2, 5/7, 6/4, 6/0.

**Richmond County (N. Y.) Women Play.**—Mrs. Frary won the women's singles tournament for the June cup at the Richmond County Country Club, defeating Miss M. Lea in the final round by 6/3, 7/5.



**Rochester Lawn Tennis Club Tourney.**—The annual handicap tournament of the Rochester Lawn Tennis Club was finished about the middle of July, being won by William Hamlin of Canandaigua. Henry H. Stebbins, Jr., was the winner of the consolation prize.



**Princeton University Championship.**—With a record-breaking entry list, comprising seventy-six men in the singles and forty-five teams in the doubles, the annual tournament for the championships of Princeton University was brought to a close October 21. F. E. Dixon of Philadelphia won the singles title, defeating Spencer Gordon of Washington in a well-played match, each set going to deuce except the second, which Gordon won easily. Dixon's net work was very strong, and he forced the playing at all stages of the match. The summary: Championship singles—Final round—F. E. Dixon defeated Spencer Gordon, 7/5, 2/6, 8/6, 6/4.




**Western Pennsylvania Tournament.**—The nineteenth open tennis tournament for the championship of Western Pennsylvania in singles was held on the grounds of the Pittsburgh Golf Club, commencing July 6, and following days. The winner of the tournament and championship, W. L. Myers, came from Chicago some five years ago. In the finals he defeated Siverd by using good head-work and playing to his young opponent's weak points. In the championship round Myers defeated Dr. T. W. Stephens, holder of the cup, by a score of 6/2, 6/3 and 6/4. Summary: Singles—Preliminary round—C. L. Childs defeated H. Lloyd, 6/0, 6/3; R. M. Modisette defeated C. F. Pugh, 6/3, 6/1; A. S. Ebbert defeated A. W. Bell, 6/2, 6/3; E. Sunstein defeated C. G. Wallis, 6/0, 6/1; W. L. Myers defeated J. A. Bell, Jr., 6/4, 6/2; C. Garland defeated J. W. Cook, 6/4, 2/6, 6/4; W. L. Abbott defeated E. M. Sheppard, 6/4, 6/4; P. D. Siverd defeated A. M. Collins, 6/2, 6/3; J. Grimes defeated A. K. Oliver, 6/4, 7/5; S. J. Adams, Jr., defeated J. H. Ricketson, Jr., by default; G. D. Edwards defeated M. C. Houston, 6/0, 6/1. First round—D. Boardman defeated T. McGraw, 7/5, 6/0; Childs defeated T. Wright, by default; Ebbert defeated Modisette, 6/3, 6/3; Myers defeated Sunstein, 4/6, 9/7, 6/4; Garland defeated Abbott, 6/3, 6/0; Siverd defeated Grimes, 6/2, 6/4; Edwards defeated Adams, by default; R. W. Moorhead defeated J. McK. Reed, 5/7, 6/4, 6/2. Second round. Winners—Boardman, Myers, Siverd, Edwards. Semi-final round. Winners—Myers, Siverd. Final round—Myers defeated Siverd, 6/2, 2/6, 6/2, 6/4. Championship round—W. L. Myers (challenger) defeated T. W. Stephens (holder), 6/2, 6/3, 6/4. Doubles—Preliminary round—Roedel and Pipes defeated Oliver and Bailie, 6/3, 7/5. First round—Garland and Lloyd defeated Sheppard and Lloyd, 6/2, 6/2; Myers and Siverd defeated Modisette and Wright, 6/4, 6/1; Edwards and Childs defeated Cook and Ebbert, 6/4, 6/1; Marshall and Kay defeated Ricketson and Bell, by default; Stephens and Naly defeated Houston and Iams, 6/1, 6/1; Reed and Reed defeated Sproul and Vandervoort, 6/2, 6/3; Sunstein and Grimes defeated Boardman and Collens, 7/5, 6/3; Guyton and Canfield defeated Roedel and Pipes, 6/1, 6/0. Second round. Winners—Myers and Siverd, Edwards and Childs, Stephens and Naly, Guyton and Canfield. Semi-final round. Winners—Edwards and Childs, Stephens and Naly. Final round—Stephens and Naly defeated Edwards and Childs, 6/4, 2/6, 6/2, 6/3. Consolation singles—Preliminary round—Sheppard defeated Oliver, 6/8, 7/5, 6/3; Lloyd defeated Houston, 6/3, 8/6; Reed defeated Bell, by default; Cook defeated Bell, Jr., by default. First round—McGraw defeated Wallis, 6/3, 6/2; Sheppard defeated Lloyd, 6/4, 6/2; Reed defeated Cook, 9/11, 6/1, 6/1; Collens defeated Pugh, 6/2, 6/2. Semi-final round. Winners—Sheppard, Collens. Final round—Collens defeated Sheppard, 6/1, 6/2, 6/3.



## Laws of Lawn Tennis

### THE COURT.

1. The Court is 78 feet long and 27 feet wide. It is divided across the middle by a net, the ends of which are attached to two posts, A and B, standing 3 feet outside of the court on either side. The height of the net is 3 feet 6 inches at the posts, and 3 feet in the middle. At each end of the court, parallel with the net, and 39 feet



from it, are drawn the base lines D E and F G, the ends of which are connected by the side lines D F and E G. Halfway between the side lines, and parallel with them, is drawn the half court line I H, dividing the space on each side of the net into two equal parts, the right and left courts. On each side of the net, at a distance of 21 feet from it, and parallel with it, are drawn the service lines K L and M N.

### THE BALLS.

2. The Balls shall measure not less than  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches, nor more than 2.916 inches in diameter; and shall weigh not less than 1.1516 ounces, nor more than 2 ounces.

### THE GAME.

3. The choice of sides, and the right to serve in the first game, shall be decided by toss; provided that, if the winner of the toss choose the right to serve, the other player shall have choice of sides, and vice versa, or the winner of the toss may insist upon a choice by

his opponent. If one player choose the court, the other may elect not to serve.

4. The players shall stand on opposite sides of the net; the player who first delivers the ball shall be called the server, and the other the striker-out.

5. At the end of the first game the striker-out shall become server, and the server shall become striker-out; and so on alternately in all the subsequent games of the set and following sets.

6. The server shall serve with both feet behind, i. e., further from the net than the base line *and within the limits of the imaginary continuation of the centre service and the side lines*. It is not a fault if one *only* of the server's feet do not touch the ground at the moment at which the service is delivered. He shall place both feet upon the ground immediately before serving and shall not take a running nor a walking start. He shall deliver the service from the right and left courts alternately, beginning from the right, *in each of his service games, even though odds be given or owed*.

7. The ball served must drop between the service line, half-court line, and the side line of the court, diagonally opposite to that from which it was served.

8. It is a Fault if the ball served drop in the net, or beyond the service line, or out of court, or in the wrong court; or if the server do not stand as directed by law 6. If the server, in attempting to serve, miss the ball altogether, it does *not* count a *fault*, but if the ball be touched, no matter how slightly, by the racket, a service is thereby delivered, and the laws governing the service at once apply.

9. A fault cannot be taken.

10. After a fault the server shall serve again from the same court from which he served that fault, unless it was a fault because he served from the wrong court.

11. A fault cannot be claimed after the next service is delivered.

12. The server shall not serve until the striker-out is ready. If the latter attempt to return the service, he shall be deemed ready.

13. A service or fault delivered when the striker-out is not ready counts for nothing.

14. The service shall not be volleyed, that is, taken, before it has touched the ground.

15. A ball is in play on leaving the server's racket, except as provided for in law 8, and remains in play till the stroke is decided.

16. It is a good return, although the ball touch the net; but a service, otherwise good, which touches the net shall count for nothing.

17. The server wins a stroke if the striker-out volley the service, or if he fail to return the service or the ball in play. or if he return the service or the ball in play so that it drops outside of his opponent's court; or if he otherwise lose a stroke, as provided by law 20.

18. The striker-out wins a stroke if the server serve two consecutive faults; or if he fail to return the ball in play; or if he return the ball in play so that it drops outside of his opponent's court; or if he otherwise lose a stroke as provided by law 20.

19. A ball falling on a line is regarded as falling in the court bounded by that line.

20. Either player loses a stroke if the ball touch him, or anything that he wears or carries, except his racket in the act of striking; or if he touch the ball with his racket more than once; or if he touch the net or any of its supports while the ball is in play; or if he volley the ball before it has passed the net.

21. In case a player is obstructed by any accident, not within his control, the ball shall be considered a "let." But when a permanent fixture of the court is the cause of the accident, the point shall be counted. The benches and chairs placed around the court shall be considered permanent fixtures. If, however, a ball in play strike a permanent fixture of the court (other than the net or posts) before it touches the ground, the point is lost; if after it has touched the ground, the point shall be counted.

22. On either player winning his first stroke, the score is called 15 for that player; on either player winning his second stroke, the score is called 30 for that player; on either player winning his third stroke, the score is called 40 for that player; and the fourth stroke won by either player is scored game for the player, except as below. If both players have won three strokes, the score is called *deuce*; and the next stroke won by either player is scored *advantage* for that player. If the same player win the next stroke, he wins the game; if he lose the next stroke the score returns to *deuce*, and so on until one player wins the two strokes immediately following the score of *deuce*, when game is scored for that player.

23. The player who first wins six games wins the set; except as below: If both players win five games the score is called *games all*; and the next game won by either player is scored *advantage game* for that player. If the same player win the next game, he wins the set; if he lose the next game, the score returns to *games all*; and so on, until either player wins the two games immediately following the score of *games all*, when he wins the set. But the committee having charge of any tournament may in their discretion modify this rule by the omission of *advantage sets*.

24. The players shall change sides at the end of the first, third and every subsequent alternate game of each set and at the end of each set, unless the number of games in such set be even. It shall, however, be open to the players by mutual consent and notification to the umpire before the opening of the second game of the match to change sides instead at the end of every set until the odd and con-

cluding set, in which they shall change sides at the end of the first, third and every subsequent alternate game of such set.

\*25. In all contests the play shall be continuous from the first service till the match be concluded; provided, however, that at the end of the third set either player is entitled to a rest, which shall not exceed seven minutes, and provided, further, that in case of an unavoidable accident, not within the control of the contestants, a cessation of play which shall not exceed two minutes may be allowed between points; but this proviso shall be strictly construed, and the privilege never granted for the purpose of allowing a player to recover his strength or wind. The referee in his discretion may at any time postpone the match on account of darkness or condition of the ground or weather. In any case of postponement, the previous score shall hold good. Where the play has ceased for more than an hour, the player who at the cessation thereof was in the court first chosen shall have the choice of courts on the recommencement of play. He shall stay in the court he chooses for the remainder of the set. The last two sentences of this rule do not apply when the players change every alternate game as provided by law 24.

26. If a player serve out of his turn, the umpire, as soon as the mistake is discovered, shall direct the player to serve who ought to have served. But all strokes scored before such discovery shall be counted. If a game shall have been completed before such discovery, then the service in the next alternate game shall be delivered by the player who did not serve out of his turn, and so on in regular rotation.

27. The above laws shall apply to the three-handed and four-handed games, except as below:

### THE THREE-HANDED AND FOUR-HANDED GAMES.

28. For the three-handed and four-handed games the court shall be 36 feet in width;  $4\frac{1}{2}$  feet inside the side lines, and parallel with them, are drawn the service side lines K M and L N. The service lines are not drawn beyond the point at which they meet the service side lines, as shown in the diagram.

29. In the three-handed game, the single player shall serve in every alternate game.

30. In the four-handed game, the pair who have the right to serve in the first game shall decide which partner shall do so. and the opposing pair shall decide in like manner for the second game. The partner of the player who served in first game shall serve in the third, and the partner of the player who served in the second game shall

\* All matches in which women take part in tournaments held under the auspices of the United States National Lawn Tennis Association shall be the best two in three sets, with a rest not exceeding seven minutes after the second set.


serve in the fourth, and the same order shall be maintained in all the subsequent games of the set.

31. At the beginning of the next set, either partner of the pair which struck out in the last game of the last set may serve; and the same privilege is given to their opponents in second game of the new set.

32. The players shall take the service alternately throughout the game; a player cannot receive a service delivered to his partner; and the order of service and striking out once established shall not be altered, nor shall the striker-out change courts to receive the service, till the end of the set.

33. It is a fault if the ball served do not drop between the service line, half-court line, and service side line of the court, diagonally opposite to that from which it was served.

34. It is a fault if the ball served do not drop as provided in law 35, or if it touch the server's partner or anything he wears or carries.





## Odds

### *The Sixths System of Handicapping.*

In the case of received odds :

(a) One-sixth of fifteen is one stroke given in every six games of a set in the position shown by the annexed table.

(b) Similarly, two-sixths, three-sixths, four-sixths and five-sixths of fifteen are respectively two, three, four and five strokes given in every six games of a set in the position shown by the table.

	1st Game.	2d Game.	3d Game.	4th Game.	5th Game.	6th Game.
1-6 of 15	0	15	0	0	0	0
2-6 of 15	0	15	0	15	0	0
3-6 of 15	0	15	0	15	0	15
4-6 of 15	0	15	0	15	15	15
5-6 of 15	0	15	15	15	15	15

## Decisions on Doubtful Points

*Officially recognized by the U. S. N. L. T. A.*

I. A player standing outside the court volleys the ball or catches it in his hand, and claims the stroke because the ball was certainly going out of court.

*Decision.*—He loses the stroke. It makes no difference where he was standing. The return is presumed good until it strikes the ground outside of the court.

II. A player is struck by the ball served before it has touched the ground, he being outside of the service court. How does it count?

*Decision.*—The player struck loses the stroke. The service is presumably good until it strikes in the wrong court. A player cannot take the decision upon himself by stopping the ball. If it is going to be a fault, he has only to get out of the way.

III. The service is delivered before the striker-out is ready. He tries to return it and fails. Is he entitled to have it played over again?

*Decision.*—No. If he attempts to return the service he is deemed ready.

IV. The striker-out calls "not ready," for a second service. The ball strikes beyond the service line, and the striker-out claims that the fact that he was not ready makes no difference, since a fault cannot be returned, and, therefore, that two faults have been served.

*Decision.*—The second service goes for nothing. A player cannot call "Not ready," and then have the service count, or not, as suits his interests.

V. A ball having been played over the net bounds back into the court from which it came. The player reaches over the net and plays it before it fall. Has he a right to do so?

*Decision.*—Yes, provided he does not touch the net. He has a right to play the ball at any time from the moment it crosses the net into his court until it touches the ground a second time.

VI. A ball is played into the net; the player on the other side, thinking that the ball is coming over, strikes at it and hits the net. Who loses the stroke?

*Decision.*—It is simply a question of fact for the umpire to decide. If the player touch the net while the ball was still in play he loses the stroke.

VII. Can a player follow a ball over the net with his racket, provided that he hits the ball on his own side of the net?

*Decision.*—Yes, the only restrictions are that he shall not volley the ball until it has crossed the net, and that he shall not touch the net or any of its supports.

VIII. A player's racket slips out of his hand and flies into the net. Does he lose the stroke for hitting the net?

*Decision.*—Yes, if the ball be still in play. It does not matter if the racket be in a player's hand or not.

IX. A player's racket leaves his hand, but meets the ball and returns it over the net. Is it a good return?

*Decision.*—Yes. There is no law requiring a racket to be in a player's hand when the ball is returned. It would unquestionably be a good return if the racket were held against the ground by a player's feet, and the ball bounded back off of it.

X. A single match is played with a double net and inside posts. A player touches the net beyond the inside posts, and claims that he does not lose the stroke because there should be no net more than three feet outside of the court.

*Decision.*—He loses the stroke. The net where he touches it is part of the supports of the net. He might, perhaps, have objected to the arrangement of the net before the match.

XI. A player returns the ball, and, finding that he cannot stop himself before reaching the net, jumps over it. Is it a good return?

*Decision.*—Law 4 requires that "the players shall stand on opposite sides of the net," and therefore the player invading his opponent's court loses the stroke.

XII. A ball passes outside the post of the net and strikes in court. Is it a good return?

*Decision.*—Yes.

XIII. A ball going out of court hits the top of the posts of the net and bounds into the opposite court.

*Decision.*—It is a good return.

XIV. The service or the ball in play strikes a ball lying in the court. Can it be returned?

*Decision.*—Yes, if it is clear to the umpire that the right ball is returned.

XV. The server claims that the striker-out must stand in the court. Is this necessary?

*Decision.*—No. The striker-out can stand wherever he pleases on his own side of the net.

XVI. A bystander gets in the way of a player who fails to return the ball. May he then claim a let?

*Decision.*—Yes, if, in the umpire's opinion, he was prevented by an accident beyond his control. For instance, if the ropes or the seats are allowed to be so near to the court that a player is interfered with by them, the stroke should not be played again, because the ropes and seats form part of the arrangements of the ground. If, however, a spectator passes in front of those seats, or places a chair nearer than the original line, and so interferes with a player, the stroke should be played again.

XVII. A player is interfered with as above, and the umpire directs the stroke to be played again. The server had previously served a fault. He claims the right to two services.

*Decision.*—The fault stands. A let does not annul a previous fault.

XVIII. A return hits the umpire, or his chair or stand, the player claims that the ball was going into court.

*Decision.*—Stroke is lost.

XIX. A player receiving fifteen serves from the left court. His opponent claims a fault.

*Decision.*—It is a fault. The service starts from the right court under all circumstances.

XX. At fifteen-all the server by mistake serves from the left court, he wins the stroke and serves again (a fault), the mistake is then discovered. Is he entitled to the previous fault? From which court should he serve next?

*Decision.*—The previous stroke stands. A fault cannot be claimed after the next service, good or not, is delivered. The next service should be from the left court, the score being thirty-fifteen, and the server has served one fault.

XXI. A player serves from the wrong court, he loses the stroke, and then claims that it was a fault.

*Decision.*—If the stroke was played in his first service it is simply a fault, but if he serves twice into the wrong court he has served two faults, and lost the stroke.

XXII. The score is five games all, and the umpire directs the players to play an advantage set. The advantage game has been won, when it is discovered that no advantage sets are to be played. What is to be done?

*Decision.*—The set is won at the eleventh game. It is no part of the umpire's duty to decide on the conditions of the matches.

XXIII. A player serves. He hears the umpire call, but cannot hear what he says. He knows that the only two things that the umpire should call are "fault" and "let," and that in neither case can the ball be in play. He, therefore, does not return it, only to find that the umpire has called "play." Has he any redress?

*Decision.*—No.

XXIV. The umpire calls "fault," and then instantly changes and says "play." The striker-out fails to return the ball, and he claims he was prevented by the umpire, and also that the umpire cannot change his decision.

*Decision.*—The umpire should call a let and the service be taken again.

XXV. A ball drops near a line, the player appeals, and the umpire calls "play." The player misunderstands the call and lets the ball fall. He then claims to have the stroke played again.

*Decision.*—The stroke stands.

XXVI. The ball strikes the ground close to a line; the scorer scores the stroke against the striker. On appeal to the linesman, the latter decided that the ball was not out. Which decision stands?

*Decision.*—The scorer has no right to consider a ball out until the linesman has called to that effect, therefore, the decision of the latter must be accepted. The decision of a linesman affecting his own line is final.

XXVII. A return strikes the cord running along the bottom of the net and bounds over. Is it a good return?

*Decision.*—Yes.

XXVIII. During play a ball is thrown into court, and the ball in play strikes it or a player steps on it. May a let be claimed?

*Decision.*—Yes.

XXIX. The server's first service strikes his partner. Does he lose the stroke or is it a fault?

*Decision.*—A fault.

XXX. A player serves a fault and it is then discovered that it is his partner's service. Does the fault stand?

*Decision.*—Yes.

XXXI. In a four-handed competition one player does not appear in time to play, and his partner claims to be allowed to play single-handed against the opposing pair. May he do so?

*Decision.*—No.

## UNOFFICIAL DECISIONS ON DOUBTFUL POINTS.

(From *Lawn Tennis*.)

Both of the opponents of the servers in doubles are strikers-out alternately during a game. It is optional with them which shall serve first, but they must serve alternately throughout each set.

A player does not lose a stroke for striking at a ball that ultimately falls outside his court, providing he does not touch it in doing so.

If one partner of a double team strikes at a ball and does not touch it, his partner still has the right to return it. A player or different member of a team may strike at a ball as often as they please; it is still in play until hit.

A served ball is only a "let" if otherwise good, falling in the proper court; a fault cannot be a "let."

The server must stand behind the base-line, within the limits of the side-lines of the court in which he is playing.

There is no limit to the number of "let" balls that may be made on the service, and the server continues serving in the same court until a good service is delivered or two faults are made.

The server must wait until the striker-out is ready for the second service as well as the first, and if the striker-out claims to be not ready and does not make any effort to return the second service, the server cannot claim the point, even though the service was good.

If a player's racket touches the net after the ball has struck the net, he does not lose the point. The ball is dead and the point has been won and lost as soon as the ball strikes the net, and nothing which happens afterward can affect that point. If the ball knocks the net against the player's racket the same decision holds good; it is simply a question for the referee to decide which touches the net first.

In doubles partners must receive throughout each set on the same sides of the court which they originally select when the set begins. The first server is not required to receive in the right court; he may select either side at the beginning of the set, but must hold this to the end.



A ball served with a sharp cut that bounds back over the net after having struck in the right court is a good service. The point counts in favor of the server if the striker-out fails to return it. The latter may reach over the net to return the ball, but loses the point if he touches the net in doing so.

A return made from one side of the court that passes outside of the net and posts without going over them is still good if the ball falls in the proper court.

The ball is always in play until it has struck the ground outside of the court, or the net, or has touched the ground twice. The player loses a point if the ball touches him or his clothing while still in play, no matter whether he is standing outside of the court when the ball hits him, or not.

An officially recognized decision has been rendered that makes any support of the net—the posts, the guy-ropes, or the centre fork—a part of the net itself, and any ball hitting one of the supports and still striking the right court is considered a good return (except on the service). The posts are required to stand outside of the court, and yet a ball being returned at a sharp angle from outside might well be going in and still touch one of the posts.

A ball is dead the instant it has touched the ground outside of the court, and the point must be scored at once against the other side, no matter what happens *after* the ball touches the ground.

Consolation matches in America are always open to any player beaten in his first match actually played. Winning by default before defeat in the first actual match does not disqualify a player from the consolation event.

The services must always come alternately from the right and left courts; if the server delivers the ball from the wrong court it is a fault.

A fault cannot be played, and the striker-out has no option in the matter; it does not make the service good if he returns it.

## Regulations for the Management of Tournaments

1. At Tournaments held by clubs belonging to the United States National Lawn Tennis Association or by clubs belonging to Associations which belong to the National Association or by Associations belonging to said National Association, the Laws of Lawn Tennis as adopted for the time being by said National Association and the Regulations hereinafter contained shall be observed.

2. The Officers of the Club holding the Tournament shall have full power over all details concerning said Tournament, but shall be entitled to delegate their power to a Committee. In case no special Committee shall be appointed by the Officers of the Club holding the Tournament, the powers and functions hereinafter delegated to the Committee shall be vested in and performed by the Officers of said Club.

3. A circular shall be issued by the Committee specifying the conditions of the competition, and including names of Tournament Committee and of the Referee.

4. No cheques, orders for money or cash payments in any form shall be given as prizes, and the amount actually paid for each prize shall in no case be below the advertised value of the same, if included in circular. If any challenge cup is offered it shall be deeded to the National Lawn Tennis Association. The winner of a Challenge Cup shall be entitled to the possession of the cup until one month previous to the next competition for the cup, possession being conditional on the giving of a bond by the winner satisfactory to the Club. Should the Club give possession without such bond, it shall be liable to the National Association for the value of the cup.

5. The Committee shall elect a Referee, with power to appoint a substitute to be approved by them. The Referee or his duly appointed substitute must be present at all times when play is in progress.

6. The Referee or such other member or members of the Committee as may be selected for the purpose, shall have power to appoint Umpires and Linesmen, to assign courts and to start matches, and the Referee shall decide any point of law which an Umpire may profess himself unable to decide, or which may be referred to him on appeal from the decision of an Umpire, and such decision shall be final.

7. The Referee shall, during the Tournament, be ex-officio a member of the Committee.

8. The Committee shall help to keep order on the ground, and shall consult and decide upon any question arising out of the competition, if summoned for that purpose by the Referee or by any two of their number; and they shall have power, when so convened, the misconduct of a competitor having been reported to them by a member of the Committee or an Umpire, to disqualify the offender, and further to order him off the ground, should his misconduct appear to them to justify such action, but before such action shall be taken, an opportunity of offering an explanation shall be afforded to the competitor whose misconduct has been reported to them.

## 9. It is the duty of an Umpire—

(a) To ascertain that the net is at the right height before the commencement of play, and to measure and adjust the net during play, if asked to do so, or if, in his opinion, its height has altered.

(b) To call the faults (subject to Regulation 10) and lets unless he delegates such power.

(c) To call the strokes when won, or when he is asked to call them, and to record them upon the Umpire's scoring sheet. (See example below.)

(d) To call the games and the sets at the end of each, or when asked to call them, and to record them on the Umpire's scoring sheet.

(e) To direct the Competitors to change sides, in accordance with Law 24.

(f) When appealed to during a rally, whether a doubtful ball is "in play" or not, to call "play," and at the conclusion of the rally to give his decision (subject to Regulation 11) or direct the competitors to play the stroke again.

(g) To decide all doubtful or disputed strokes, and all points of law (subject to Regulations 10 and 11), and to be responsible for the proper conduct of the match.

## Example:

The strokes are scored by means of pencil marks in the spaces beneath the word "STROKES," thus:

Game.	Initials of Players.	STROKES.																Game won by
1	A. B.	1	1	1	1													
	C. D.			1	1													
2	C. D.		1	1	1	1		1	1	1								
	A. B.	1	1		1	1	1											

The Scoring Sheet shows that in the first game the score ran, and would have been called, thus: "15—love, 30—love, 30—15, 40—15, 40—30, game (A.B.)." In the second game: "Love—15, 15 all, 15—30, 30 all, 40—30, deuce, advantage (C.D.), deuce, advantage (A.B.), deuce, advantage (C.D.), game (C.D.)."

The score of the Server should be called first.

**NOTE**—At the end of each game the games should be called with the name of the player who is in advance, thus: "2 games to 1, B wins" or, "B leads." If the games are level the score should be called thus, "three games all," or as the case may be. At the end of each set the sets should be called in like manner.

(h) In handicap matches to call the odds at the commencement of each set

(i) To sign the Umpire's scoring sheets, and to deliver them at the conclusion of the match to such person as the Committee may authorize to receive them.

Provided, that no omission of any of the foregoing duties on the part of an Umpire shall of itself invalidate a game or match.

10. It is the duty of the Linesman to call faults and to decide strokes relating to the line or lines to which he is assigned and to said line or lines only, and such decision shall be final. Should the Linesman be unable to give a decision, the Umpire shall decide or shall direct the stroke to be played again.

11. The decision of an Umpire shall be final upon every question of fact, and no competitor may appeal from it; but if an Umpire be in doubt as to a point of law, or if a competitor appeal against his

In scoring handicap matches, the odds received should be marked by crosses on the right of the first perpendicular thick line before the commencement of each game, thus:

Game.	Initials of Players.	STROKES.										Game won by
1	A. B.	+										
	C. D.											
2	C. D.											
	A. B.	+	+									
3	A. B.	+										
	C. D.											
4	C. D.											
	A. B.	+	+									
5	A. B.	+										
	C. D.											
6	C. D.											
	A. B.	+	+									

Here A. B. is receiving 15 and 3-sixths of 15.

decision on such a point, the Umpire shall submit it to the Referee whose decision shall be final.

**NOTE**—A question of fact relates to events that actually happened. A question of law is the construction and application of the laws of the game to be admitted facts.

12. The Referee shall not bet on a match nor shall an Umpire or Linesman on the match to which he is assigned. An infraction of this rule shall be followed by the immediate removal of the offending Referee, Umpire or Linesman on the complaint of any competitor.

13. No competitor may transfer his entry to another player.

14. Competitors shall have a right, by themselves or their deputies, to be present at the draw.

15. The draw shall be conducted in the following manner: Each competitor's name shall be written on a separate card or paper, and these shall be placed in a bowl or hat, drawn out one by one at random, and copied on a list in the order in which they have been drawn.


When odds are owed, they should be marked on the left of the first thick perpendicular line before the commencement of each game, thus:

Game.	Initials of Players.	STROKES.								Game won by
1	A. B.	1	1							
	C. D.									
2	C. D.									
	A. B.	1								
3	A. B.	1	1							
	C. D.									
4	C. D.									
	A. B.	1								
5	A. B.		1							
	C. D.									
6	C. D.									
	A. B.	1								



16. When the number of competitors is 4, 8, 16, 32, 64 or any higher power of 2, they shall meet in pairs, in accordance with the system shown by the following diagram:

1ST ROUND.




and should be crossed off one by one when the player owing wins a stroke, thus:

Game.	Initials of Players.	STROKES.						Game won by
1	A. B.	+	+					
	C. D.							
2	C. D.							
	A. B.	+						
3	A. B.	+	+					
	C. D.							
4	C. D.							
	A. B.	+						
5	A. B.	+						
	C. D.							
6	C. D.							
	A. B.	+						

Here A. B. owes 15 and 2-sixths of 15.

17. When a number of competitors is not a power of 2, there shall be byes in the first round. The number of byes shall be equal to the difference between the number of competitors and the next higher power of 2, and the number of pairs that shall meet in the first round shall be equal to the difference between the number of competitors and the next lower power of 2. The byes, if even in number, shall be divided, as the names are drawn, in equal proportions at the top and bottom of the list, above and below the pairs. If uneven in number, there shall be one more bye at the bottom than at the top. Thus—

SERIES I.




With 6, there will be one bye at the top, and 1 bye at the bottom. With 7, 1 bye at the bottom. With 8, no byes.

SERIES 2.

From 9 to 16 Competitors.

With 9, 3 byes at the top, and 4 byes at the bottom.




With 10, 3 byes at the top, and 3 at the bottom.  
With 11, 2 byes at the top, and 3 at the bottom.  
With 12, 2 byes at the top, and 2 at the bottom.  
With 13, 1 bye at the top, and 2 at the bottom.  
With 14, 1 bye at the top, and 1 bye at the bottom.  
With 15, 1 bye at the bottom.  
With 16, no byes.

## SERIES 3.

From 17 to 32 Competitors.

With 17, 7 byes at the top, and 8 byes at the bottom.

1ST ROUND. 2ND ROUND. 3RD ROUND. 4TH ROUND. 5TH ROUND



With 18, 7 byes at the top, and 7 byes at the bottom.

With 19, 6 byes at the top, and 7 byes at the bottom.

With 20, 6 byes at the top, and 6 byes at the bottom.

With 21, 5 byes at the top, and 6 byes at the bottom.

With 22, 5 byes at the top, and 5 byes at the bottom.

With 23, 4 byes at the top, and 5 byes at the bottom.

With 24, 4 byes at the top, and 4 byes at the bottom.

With 25, 3 byes at the top, and 4 byes at the bottom.

With 26, 3 byes at the top, and 3 byes at the bottom.

With 27, 2 byes at the top, and 3 byes at the bottom.

With 28, 2 byes at the top, and 2 byes at the bottom.

With 29, 1 bye at the top, and 2 byes at the bottom.

With 30, 1 bye at the top, and 1 bye at the bottom.

With 31, 1 bye at the bottom.

With 32, no byes.

And so on with larger numbers in the same manner.

18. If a competitor be absent when called on to play, or shall refuse to play (unless he has been formally excused for a definite period by the Referee), or shall have given previous notice to the Referee, or a member of the Committee that he cannot play in his next round, his adversary shall win in that round.

19. In handicap matches the competitors shall be handicapped by the Committee, or by a Handicapper appointed by the Committee.

20. The method of handicapping shall be by classes as follows:

## GIVEN ODDS.

## Class O—(Scratch).

Class	1	receives	1-sixth of 15.
"	2	"	2-sixths of 15.
"	3	"	3-sixths of 15.
"	4	"	4-sixths of 15.
"	5	"	5-sixths of 15.
"	6	"	15.
"	7	"	15 and 1-sixth of 15.
"	8	"	15 and 2-sixths of 15.
"	9	"	15 and 3-sixths of 15.
"	10	"	15 and 4-sixths of 15.
"	11	"	15 and 5-sixths of 15.
"	12	"	30.
"	13	"	30 and 1-sixth of 15.
"	14	"	30 and 2-sixths of 15.
"	15	"	30 and 3-sixths of 15.
"	16	"	30 and 4-sixths of 15.
"	17	"	30 and 5-sixths of 15.
"	18	"	40.

When two players in different classes below scratch meet, the superior player shall start from scratch, and the odds received by the inferior player are as shown by the annexed table No. 1. To use the table, find in the diagonal line of figures the number representing the class of the superior player, then travel along the horizontal column until the vertical column is reached which bears at the top the number of the class of the inferior player. The odds specified at the intersection of the two columns are the odds required.

Example.—If class 3 has to meet class 9, start from the figure 3 in the diagonal line of figures, and look horizontally until the vertical column is reached headed by the figure 9. The odds given at the point of intersection of the two columns (viz. 15 and 1-6 of 15) are the odds required.

When the difference between the best and the worst players entered in great (say more than 30), it is desirable to handicap the best players at owed odds. The players above scratch (i. e., owing odds) should be classified as follows:

## OWED ODDS.

Class	1	owes	1-sixth of 15.
"	2	"	2-sixths of 15.
"	3	"	3-sixths of 15.
"	4	"	4-sixths of 15.
"	5	"	5-sixths of 15.
"	6	"	15.
"	7	"	15 and 1-sixth of 15.
"	8	"	15 and 2-sixths of 15.
"	9	"	15 and 3-sixths of 15.
"	10	"	15 and 4-sixths of 15.
"	11	"	15 and 5-sixths of 15.
"	12	"	30.
"	13	"	30 and 1-sixth of 15.
"	14	"	30 and 2-sixths of 15.
"	15	"	30 and 3-sixths of 15.
"	16	"	30 and 4-sixths of 15.
"	17	"	30 and 5-sixths of 15.
"	18	"	40.

When the two players in different classes above scratch meet, the inferior player shall start from scratch, and the odds owed by the superior player are as shown by the annexed table, No. 2.

This table is to be used in the same way as the former, the class of the superior player being looked for in the horizontal line of figures at the top, and the class of the inferior player in the diagonal line of figures.

Example.—If class 12 (owe 30) meet class 7 (owe 15 and 1-6 of 15), the former must owe the latter the odds of 4-6 of 15.

21. In Championship matches and handicaps by classes, as above, advantage sets shall be played throughout.

22. The Committee may, whether appealed to by any competitor or not, postpone the meeting or any match or part of a match if, in their opinion, the state of the weather, or of the light, or the condition of the ground, or other circumstances, render it advisable to do so. In cases of postponement, Law 26 must be strictly observed.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDICAPPING.

### GIVEN ODDS.

One-sixth of fifteen (1-6) is one stroke given by the better to the poorer player on the second, eighth, fourteenth and every subsequent sixth game in each set.

Two-sixths of fifteen (2-6) is one stroke given on the second, fourth, eighth, tenth and corresponding games in each set.

Three-sixths of fifteen (3-6 or one-half) is one stroke given on the second, fourth, sixth and every other alternate game on each set.

Four-sixths of fifteen (4-6) is one stroke given on the second, fourth, sixth, eighth, tenth, eleventh, twelfth and corresponding games on each set.

Five-sixths of fifteen (5-6) is one stroke given on the second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth, eighth, ninth, tenth, eleventh, twelfth and corresponding games on each set.

Fifteen (15) is one stroke given on every game of each set.

Fifteen and one-sixth (15.1) is two strokes given on the same games as for one-sixth, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and two-sixths (15.2) is two strokes given on the same games as for two-sixths, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and three-sixths (15.3 or half-thirty) is two strokes given on the same games as for three-sixths, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and four-sixths (15.4) is two strokes given on the same games as for four-sixths, and one stroke on each of the others.

Fifteen and five-sixths (15.5) is two strokes on the same games as for five-sixths and one stroke on each of the others.

Thirty (30) is two strokes on each game.

Thirty and one-sixth (30.1), thirty and two-sixths (30.2), thirty and three-sixths (30.3 or half-forty), thirty and four-sixths (30.4), thirty and five-sixths (30.5) and forty (40), are three strokes and two given on the corresponding games of each set, as in the fifteen series.

### OWED ODDS.

Owed (or minus) odds can also be used in exactly the same quantities, the chief difference being that the better player is required to earn the owed strokes before he begins to score, while, in the case of given odds, the poorer player is given his handicap before play starts. These owed odds may be used either in conjunction with the given odds



# HANDICAPS. TABLE No. 1. (*Received Odds*.)

When two players, both in receipt of odds, meet, the player receiving the smaller odds is put back to scratch. The following table shows the point at which the other should then start. The number at the left of the horizontal columns denotes the player who goes back to scratch, those at the head of the vertical columns the player who still receives odds; and the numbers within the columns show the odds to be received by the player whose number stands at the head of the column.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	$\frac{8}{9}$ of 15	$\frac{9}{10}$ of 15	$\frac{10}{11}$ of 15	$\frac{11}{12}$ of 15	$\frac{12}{13}$ of 15	$\frac{13}{14}$ of 15	$\frac{14}{15}$ of 15	$\frac{15}{16}$ of 15	$\frac{16}{17}$ of 15	$\frac{17}{18}$ of 15	40.
2		$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	$\frac{8}{9}$ of 15	$\frac{9}{10}$ of 15	$\frac{10}{11}$ of 15	$\frac{11}{12}$ of 15	$\frac{12}{13}$ of 15	$\frac{13}{14}$ of 15	$\frac{14}{15}$ of 15	$\frac{15}{16}$ of 15	$\frac{16}{17}$ of 15	40.
3			$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	$\frac{8}{9}$ of 15	$\frac{9}{10}$ of 15	$\frac{10}{11}$ of 15	$\frac{11}{12}$ of 15	$\frac{12}{13}$ of 15	$\frac{13}{14}$ of 15	$\frac{14}{15}$ of 15	$\frac{15}{16}$ of 15	$\frac{16}{17}$ of 15	40.
4				$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	$\frac{8}{9}$ of 15	$\frac{9}{10}$ of 15	$\frac{10}{11}$ of 15	$\frac{11}{12}$ of 15	$\frac{12}{13}$ of 15	$\frac{13}{14}$ of 15	$\frac{14}{15}$ of 15	$\frac{15}{16}$ of 15	40.
5					$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	$\frac{8}{9}$ of 15	$\frac{9}{10}$ of 15	$\frac{10}{11}$ of 15	$\frac{11}{12}$ of 15	$\frac{12}{13}$ of 15	$\frac{13}{14}$ of 15	$\frac{14}{15}$ of 15	40.
6						$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	$\frac{8}{9}$ of 15	$\frac{9}{10}$ of 15	$\frac{10}{11}$ of 15	$\frac{11}{12}$ of 15	$\frac{12}{13}$ of 15	$\frac{13}{14}$ of 15	40.
7							$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	$\frac{8}{9}$ of 15	$\frac{9}{10}$ of 15	$\frac{10}{11}$ of 15	$\frac{11}{12}$ of 15	$\frac{12}{13}$ of 15	40.
8								$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	$\frac{8}{9}$ of 15	$\frac{9}{10}$ of 15	$\frac{10}{11}$ of 15	$\frac{11}{12}$ of 15	40.
9									$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	$\frac{8}{9}$ of 15	$\frac{9}{10}$ of 15	$\frac{10}{11}$ of 15	40.
10										$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	$\frac{8}{9}$ of 15	$\frac{9}{10}$ of 15	40.
11											$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	$\frac{8}{9}$ of 15	40.
12												$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{7}{8}$ of 15	40.
13													$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	40.
14														$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	40.
15															$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	40.
16																$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	40.
17																	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	40.
18																		40.

This table was calculated upon the following data:—

In the case of received odds—

The average value of 1-6 is .2024

" " " 2-6 " .3552

" " " 3-6 " .4811

" " " 4-6 " .6069

" " " 5-6 " .7841

Length of a game is 4,6250 strokes.  
15.1 means 15 and one-sixth of 15 and so on.

# HANDICAPS. TABLE No. 2. (*Owed Odds.*)

When two players meet who are handicapped to *owe* odds, the player owing the lesser odds is placed at scratch. This Table shows the odds the other will still owe.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.4	15.5	30.	30.1	30.2	30.3	30.4	30.5	40.
1	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.4	30.	30.	30.1	30.2	30.3	30.4
2	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.4	15.5	30.	30.	30.1	30.2
3	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.4	15.5	30.	30.	30.1	30.1
4	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.4	15.5	30.	30.	30.
5	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.4	15.5	30.	30.
6	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.4	15.5	30.
7	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.4	15.5
8	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.4
9	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1	15.2	15.3
10	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1	15.2
11	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	15.	15.1
12	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15
13	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15
14	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15
15	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15
16	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15
17	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15
18	$\frac{1}{2}$ of 15	$\frac{2}{3}$ of 15	$\frac{3}{4}$ of 15	$\frac{4}{5}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15	$\frac{5}{6}$ of 15

This table has been calculated upon the following data:—

In the case of owed odds—

The average value of 1-6 is .2158

“ “ “ 2-6 “ .3929

“ “ “ 3-6 “ .5188

“ “ “ 4-6 “ .6448

“ “ “ 5-6 “ .7975

“ Length of a game is 4.6250 strokes.

In using this table supply throughout the word “owe” before the odds specified.

or separately. In order that the handicaps may occur as seldom as possible in the same games, when two players meet, one of whom owes odds and the other receives them, the games on which owed strokes are paid differ from those on which the given strokes are taken. Here is the table for owed odds:

One-sixth (1-6) is one stroke owed on the first, seventh, thirteenth and every subsequent sixth game in each set.

Two-sixths (2-6) is one stroke owed on the first, third, seventh, ninth and corresponding games in each set.

Three-sixths (3-6 or one-half) is one stroke owed on the first, third, fifth and every other alternate game in each set.

Four-sixths (4-6) is one stroke owed on the first, third, fifth, sixth and corresponding games in each set.

Five-sixths (5-6) is one stroke owed on the first, third, fourth, fifth, sixth and corresponding games in each set.

Fifteen (15) is one stroke owed on each game.

Fifteen and one-sixth (15.1), fifteen and two-sixths (15.2), fifteen and three-sixths (15.3 or half-thirty), fifteen and four-sixths (15.4), fifteen and five-sixths (15.5), thirty (30), thirty and one-sixth (30.1), thirty and two-sixths (30.2), thirty and three-sixths (30.3 or half-forty), thirty and four-sixths (30.4), thirty and five-sixths (30.5) and forty (40), correspond to the fractions of fifteen, as do the larger handicaps in the table for given odds correspond to the fractions of fifteen in that table.

The simplest method for handicappers to pursue is to sort out the entries for the event they are to handicap into classes according to the relative skill of the players. Then select the class with the greatest number of players in it and place them on scratch, handicapping all of the other players either behind or in advance of scratch by owed or given odds, so as to make all even at the odds.

In deciding upon each player's handicap, his ability as compared with the scratch men should first be considered, and then as compared with his nearest and furthest rivals in the tables of odds. Each player might meet any of the others, and the object of handicapping is to give him an exactly even chance, no matter whom his opponent may be.

It should be remembered that it is easier to owe 15, or any fraction of it, than it is to give it, and when odds of 30 are given, the poorer player's score has already reached pretty near the end of the game, and the difference between him and the best men must be very great to give the latter an even chance, should they meet.

It is very unwise to given even the poorer players over 30.3 (half-forty), and very seldom is it safe to make the given odds run higher than 30. If the difference between the best and the poorest players is very great, place the latter as far as necessary behind scratch, but do not advance the former to more than 30, or 30.3 in the most extreme cases.

---

### HOW TO CONDUCT A TOURNAMENT.

A tournament committee should first of all be selected, with not less than five men, and as many as possible practical workers who have the time and inclination to closely look after the interests of the club. At its first meeting, the committee should decide upon all details of the meeting. In selecting a date, it is advisable to choose one immediately before or after some established tournament in the neighborhood, for then the entries of those who are to play in the other event can generally be secured.

Application should be made to the national governing body for official sanction for the tournament, stating dates and other particu-

lars. If any championship events are to be on the programme, official recognition must be applied for before these titles can be of any value.

A printed circular should be issued by the tournament committee, which should state plainly—(1) the name of the club and the location of the courts; (2) the date of the meeting and the hours of play; (3) the list of events, and the championship titles (if any) to be competed for, with the prizes offered for each event; (4) the amount of the entrance fees, and place and date for closing the list of entries; (5) the name of referee, handicapper and tournament committee; and (6) the time and place of the draw.

The draw should always be made by the committee in public and due notice of the time and place be given to the competitors, so that they may be present or represented when the draw is made. The draw should always be made by the Bagnall-Wilde system. (See "Tournament Regulations," page 177).

No money or its equivalent in any form should be offered on prizes, for this would disqualify the players from future competition as amateurs. Prizes should be silver, gold, cut glass, objects of art or other souvenirs of this kind that can be marked or engraved as trophies. It is preferable to have them marked before the tournament, although lawn tennis prizes are frequently bought with the privilege of exchange, and therefore not engraved until after the tournament.

The committee should select a referee, either from among their number or outside of it. If handicaps are to be held, the referee may also be the handicapper, or a sub-committee may be appointed for this purpose. Should the referee be also a competitor, a substitute should also be appointed to act for him while he is playing.

It is important to have enough balls, and only the best quality of tournament balls should be used for matches. It is the custom at most tournaments to allow three new balls with each match, but in playing on dirt courts, the same balls can sometimes be made to do service twice. Unless there are back-stops close behind the courts, it is also well to have some small boys handy to act as ball-boys and "shack" the balls for the players.

The lines of a tournament court should be marked out fresh every day and if easily obliterated, also between matches on the same day. The courts should always be laid north and south so that one player will not get more than his share of the glaring sunlight in his eyes. There should never be a tree within shadow distance of a tournament court either, particularly on the south side of the court.

Facilities must be provided for the players to dress, and it is a good plan to appoint one member of the committee to attend to these accommodations, and to entertain the visiting players and see that their wants are attended to.

The draw should always be posted in a conspicuous place on the grounds, where the spectators as well as the players can inspect it. If possible a programme for each day's play should be made out in advance, and due notice of all the matches of the day be posted up by the draw each day. The courts should be numbered, and a certain court and hour set for each match. One member of the committee ought also to be assigned to getting umpires for the matches and seeing that they are properly started.

It is customary to exhibit the prizes for a tournament at the club grounds during the tournament, and it generally proves an attractive feature to have them displayed on a table on the grounds, all duly labeled so that spectators can see which events they are to be given for. A refreshment tent is also an attractive feature at a tournament and the prizes are then displayed under this tent on the same or a nearby table with the punchbowl. Lemonade, or tea, crackers, cakes and sometimes small sandwiches are generally served to the players and spectators under such refreshment tent.

## How to Build and Keep a Court

Nothing is more important for the full enjoyment of lawn tennis than a satisfactory court, and none of the other accessories of the game offers a wider variety. Many important considerations come up even after the kind of court to be built, and the cost, have been decided upon. No matter how much is to be spent on the ground, nor what the surface is to be, the most important things to consider first are space, light and drainage.

The back-stop nettings should never be nearer than fifteen feet to the lines, and if good players are expected to use the court, particularly if tournament matches are to take place on it, the space behind the base-line should be 21 feet at each end. At the sides at least 6 feet, if possible 12 feet should be allowed beyond the side-lines for doubles of each court. A well-appointed court for tournament play should be centered in an unobstructed space of not less than 60 x 120 feet. Wire back-stop netting 10 or 12 feet high should surround it at these distances from the lines. If there are two or more courts together, there should be at least 12 feet between their side lines, and one netting can surround all.

In selecting a site for a court, a spot should be chosen where there is always plenty of sunlight, and where at no time of the day does any shadow cross the ground on which the court is to be laid. Green or black is a preferable background to play against, but any dark and even color will do. A court should never be laid out with any very light background within a short distance at either end, or close at either side. Nor should a site be selected with a badly mixed or moving background. Shade trees are useful near a court, only if their shadow is a solid one, not constantly checkered by flecks of sunlight glittering through moving branches, which constantly confuse the players. Never should they be allowed near enough to cast any shadows on the playing surface.

One more cardinal point should be remembered. The court should invariably be laid out north and south—never east and west. If this warning is disregarded, the player at one end or the other will be hopelessly blinded by the sun.

The question of drainage is one of the most important considerations in selecting a site of this kind. On the natural facilities depends largely the cost of laying out a good court. If the natural soil be sandy and well drained, or if it is on high ground which slopes away near by, artificial drain pipes will not have to be put in, and this saves much of the cost, but if it be thick clay that holds moisture long, or on low ground with neighboring slopes that drain toward it, the court will be useless for many hours after each rainfall unless artificial drain-pipes are put in.

After the site has been selected, it must be decided whether a grass or "dirt" court is to be built. If the natural sod is luxuriant and the soil favorable for its growth, or if the court is not to be used enough to wear off the grass, a turf court will generally be found preferable, but if the ground is to be constantly in use, the sod will wear off and become "bald" unless there is space enough on the lawn to shift the court frequently.

When good turf cannot be had or will not stand the wear, a substitute must be found, and sand or dirt courts are most often used. On well-drained land, one can sometimes cut away the top surface, level the ground and roll it until well hardened and the court is ready for use, but more preparation is necessary to build a permanent court that will not be constantly losing its proper level.

For such a court the earth should be cut away to a depth of one foot if no drains are required. After leveling it carefully with a spirit-



level, to be sure that the grade is right, a layer of six inches of broken stone should first be laid and pounded down hard. Ordinary trap-rock used for macadamizing roads is perhaps the best for this purpose, but any broken stone, ranging in sizes from a walnut to an egg, will answer the purpose. This should be covered with a three-inch layer of coarse gravel or fine broken stone, which should be thoroughly pounded and watered for several days before being covered. Before any surface is put on the court, the greatest care should be taken to see that the foundation is perfectly level. Any holes or depressions that appear from rolling and pounding should be filled in before it is covered.

Every well built court should be graded either from end to end or from side to side to an extent of not over two inches, which will suffice to drain off rain water. If artificial drainage is necessary to keep the court dry, drain pipes can be laid in the foundations of the court about three feet outside the side and end lines, care being taken whereby the pipes will tilt, following the grade of the court as far as possible, thus allowing the water to run off. The best drain pipes are six-inch stone sewer pipe, cut in halves, or stone gutters used on tiled roofs, which should be sunk in the ground immediately under the foundation of broken rock and filled with pebbles or fine cracked stone.

The covering for a gravel or sand court should be not less than three nor more than six inches in thickness, and of sandy loam and clay mixed. The proportions depend on the quality of the clay. If it is very binding and sticky, one part of sand to four of clay are preferable, but for the average ingredients they should be mixed about eight parts of clay to one part of sand. When the court is finished, if it is found to be too soft but dry, more clay should be added; while if it drains poorly and stays muddy too long after rain, or its surface is too sticky for the player's feet, more sand should be added on the surface. When a court is finally covered, it should be thoroughly watered and rolled alternately twice every day for two weeks before it is played on at all, and any depressions or uneven spots corrected as fast as they appear from the settling. After the first heavy rain-storm it should be gone over and leveled most carefully, for then it is most likely to develop new faults.

The fine seashore sand will seldom be found satisfactory for the surface of a court, for it works loose too quickly under the players' feet, and can only be made to bind when mixed with a larger proportion of clay, which will make the drainage more difficult, as water percolates very slowly through clay. If the soil upon which a court is being built is very rich and worms promise to work through to the surface above and injure the court, it is well to lay a layer of fine cinders, those from a railroad engine preferred, between the foundation and the sandy surface layer. These cinders effectually prevent worms from coming through to the surface. It is also well to use coarse sifted ashes mixed with the stones in the drain-pipes.

The construction of a grass court is less difficult, but varies much more in process. If cost need not be considered, it should be built by an expert, and will be laid on deep-laid foundations; if it is desired to build an economical court on an available lawn which is fairly level, the cost will not be heavy. The sod should first be carefully removed in squares of about eighteen inches, from a space at least 50 by 100 feet, cutting down to a depth of about six inches. The ground should then be turned with a spade to a depth of eighteen inches or two feet, and after all stones have been removed, and the earth carefully raked over and leveled, it should be packed and rolled with a heavy roller. It cannot have too much leveling and rolling, and the rolling should be kept up for several days with plenty of soaking by rain or hose-pipe. Any inequalities which the heavy pres-

sure of the roller produces should be filled in or cut down before the sods are relaid.

After the ground has been rolled sufficiently the sods should be replaced. In doing this it is important to get the edges close together, so that no seams or open cracks can be found. These sods should be relaid in the afternoon and well drenched with water. The next day the ground should be rolled again; and this should be followed by alternate drenching and rolling for several days. Even when the ground finally appears firm and level, the court should not be played upon until new blades of grass appear in considerable numbers. If depressions appear, the sod at that spot should be lifted, fresh earth inserted to the proper grade and the sod replaced, watered and rolled till level and flat again.

Bad spots are often found where the grass is thin or where malignant weeds obstruct its growth, and in this case fresh sods should be bought or cut elsewhere and substituted. Sometimes large patches of ground must be renewed in this way, but it will be found much less expensive if all the turf is bad to sow the new court down with lawn seeds, and seeds will often help out thin spots in the grass if the court is not to be used too soon after the sowing. It is better to make a grass court in the fall whether it is to be sown with seed or sodded. The winter storms will then settle it thoroughly, and after a little releveling in the spring it will be ready for use.

Grass seeds should be sown between the middle of March and the first of May, or better yet, in the autumn, between the middle of August and the first of October. It takes about 20 pecks of good lawn seed to cover a space 60 by 120 feet. The sowing should be gone over twice, the second time at right angles to the first. Clover seeds should be avoided, as this grass does not wear well, and guano should not be used for fertilizing, for it tends to bring up coarse blades in patches.

As soon as the young grass is high enough to be topped, a scythe or scyde should be used, being at first better than the mowing machine. After the new grass is well hardened, however, the latter should be constantly in use, never less than once a week, and in moist warm weather nearly every day. With every precaution weeds are sure to appear, but these can generally be held in check by constant mowing. The more formidable weeds, however, must be cut with a knife one by one about an inch below the surface, and care being taken to remove as much of the root as possible. A pinch of salt dropped on the cut root will generally stop the growth. When the turf becomes worn in spots a small shift in the lines of the court will relieve the pressure and enable the grass to grow again; at the end of the season all of the bare patches should be resown.

There are several other kinds of courts sometimes built when turf cannot be had. Cinders, clay, concrete, cement and asphalt are also sometimes used, while board courts are built undercover for winter use.

Concrete and cement are open to many objections. They are very hard on the eyes and legs, and often make the player's feet sore; the surface generally wears out the balls and shoes quickly, and it is also liable to crack with frost. Cinder courts are cheap and easy to construct, but the surface is so gritty that it burns the feet of the players and soon uses up the balls. They are also very dirty. Asphalt courts are expensive and much affected by heat and cold, sometimes even cracking with the frost.

In Australia courts have been made of cracked blue-stone, while a cheaper substitute has been found in England in what is called a brick rubble court.

Once the court is finally built and ready for use, it must be properly marked out. In every case, unless it be of grass, and the lines are

to be constantly shifted to prevent bare spots, net-posts should be permanently sunk in the ground. They should be not less than two feet under the ground nor forty feet apart.

A good dirt court should be swept, watered, rolled and freshly marked out after every eight or ten sets of play, and oftener in very dry weather. Instantly a depression is discovered it should be filled in and rolled down before playing is continued, for it is almost as dangerous for the players as the court to continue with it uneven. A court should never be rolled in the condition the players leave it after play. A player's heel raises a little lump; if the roller goes over this before it is swept down even again, a hard ridge results and the ball will bound unevenly from it. It should be swept over first, until all the lumps are leveled down, before the roller is allowed on its surface.


One of the best sweepers is made of a heavy joist of wood with a dozen thicknesses of old jute bagging or coarse cloth frayed out at the bottom edges, fastened to its bottom and trailing on behind it. This should be drawn over the court with a handle or rope several times. If it is pushed, the groundsman's feet will leave tracks after it; if he goes ahead the sweeper will erase them. Before the lines are marked out fresh, the old ones should always be swept off with a broom, but if the broom is constantly used along the lines in a parallel direction, it will gradually wear away little grooves in the court where the lines are and the balls will bound improperly from them. The sweeping should be done lightly across the court, at right angles with the lines.

A grass court cannot have too much care. It is advisable to wet it thoroughly several times a week and roll it as often. It should be watered at night, cut in the morning, and rolled after cutting and before watering. The best way to repair a bare strip of ground is to lay fresh turf, and this should be done in the fall or as early as possible in the spring. Good tough turf, laid in February or early in March, will be fit for use by the first of June. In the early spring grass roots both in new turf and old may be greatly benefited by a good dressing of manure well worked in, but regular manuring should also be done in the fall.

Worm casts are very bad for good tennis turf. Particularly in fertile ground or after a storm, the little mounds will appear on the sod, and if the roller passes over them or they are trodden down little hard lumps are formed which spoil the surface of the court. The turf should always be swept before rolling, and in rich soil every morning. This scatters the mounds effectually. Where it is necessary to get rid of the worms, lime water should be sprinkled on the ground. They will then come to the surface and can be swept away.

When a horse-mowing machine is used it is well to have the horse's hoofs covered with soft pads to prevent their cutting into the turf and leaving prints that affect the bound of the ball. The groundsman at work on good lawn tennis courts, particularly when the turf is soft, and always on a sand court, should be required to wear rubber-soled shoes without heels.

## How to Lay Out a Tennis Court



As a double court practically includes every line to be found in a single court, it is best to take first the measure for the latter. Having determined the position of your net, plant in the ground, in the line chosen, two pegs, 27 feet apart (at the points *A* and *B* in the diagram). Then take two measures and attach their respective ends to the pegs *A* and *B*. On the first, which will measure the diagonal of the court, take a length of 47 feet 5 inches; on the other 39 feet; pull both taut in such directions that at these distances they meet in a point *C*. This will give one corner of the court. At that point *F*, 21 feet from *B*, put in a peg to mark the end of the service-line. The other corner, *D*, and the other end of the service-line *G*, may be found by interchanging the measures and repeating the process. The same measurements on the other side of the net will complete the exterior boundaries of the court. By prolonging the base-line 4 feet 6 inches in each direction, and joining the four new points thus obtained, we can make the side-lines of a double court. It only remains to mark the central line. This is done by joining the middle points of the service-lines. If a double court alone be required, the interior side-lines need not be prolonged to meet the base-lines. Remember that in all cases the net-posts must stand at a distance of three feet from the side-lines.




DIAGRAM OF SINGLE AND DOUBLE COURT.

For a court where a single or double game can be played, the size is 78 feet in length by 36 in width,  $4\frac{1}{2}$  feet inside the side lines, and parallel with them are drawn the service lines. See diagram above.



## Fixtures for 1908

- March 30—Vedado Tennis Club, championship of Cuba.
- May 9—Princeton, interscholastic championship.
- May 11—University of Pennsylvania, interscholastic championship.
- May 11—Harvard, interscholastic championship.
- May 16—Columbia, interscholastic championship.
- May 16—Yale, interscholastic championship.
- May 18—Bowdoin, interscholastic championship.
- May 20—Kings County Lawn Tennis Club, Long Island Championship.
- May 24—Bachelors' Lawn Tennis Club, Washington, Middle Atlantic States championship.
- May 25—Longwood Cricket Club, Boston, New England intercollegiate.
- May 25—New York Lawn Tennis Club, Manhattan doubles, open singles.
- May 30—Brae Burn Country Club, West Newton, Mass., Massachusetts State doubles.
- May 31—Hermitage Golf Club, Richmond, Va., Old Dominion championship.
- June 1—Merion Cricket Club, Philadelphia, women's championship of Pennsylvania and Eastern States.
- June 1—Staten Island Ladies' Club.
- June 8—Merion Cricket Club, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania State championship for men.
- June 8—West Side Tennis Club, metropolitan championship.
- June 9—Hartford Golf Club, championship of New England.
- June 10—Norfolk Country Club, Virginia State championship.
- June 13—Longwood Cricket Club, Boston, Massachusetts State championship, singles.
- June 15—Greenville Tennis Club, championship of the Carolinas.
- June 15—New Haven Lawn Club Association, open tournament.
- June 15—Amackassin Country Club, Yonkers, N. Y., open tournament.
- June 15—Crescent Athletic Club, invitation tournament.
- June 16—Trenton Country Club, Central Jersey championship.
- June 17—Maryland Country Club, Maryland State championship.
- June 15—Philadelphia Cricket Club, national championship, women's singles, doubles and mixed doubles.
- June 22—Dunwoodie Country Club, invitation tournament.
- June 22—New Orleans Lawn Tennis Club, Gulf States championship.
- June 22—Wannamoisett Country Club, Providence, R. I., open tournament.
- June 26—Intermountain Lawn Tennis Association, Salt Lake City, championship of Utah.
- June 29—Knoxville Tennis Club, Tennessee State championship.
- June 29—Orange Lawn Tennis Club, Middle States championship.
- July 1—Pacific States Lawn Tennis Association, San Francisco, California State championship, men's and women's singles.
- July 4—St. Louis Amateur Athletic Club, central championship.
- July 6—Country Club of Springfield, Mass., open tournament.

July 6—Westchester Country Club, invitation doubles.

July 6—Atlanta Athletic Club, Southern championship.

July 6 or 13—Englewood Field Club, open tournament.

July 8—St. Joseph, Mo., Lawn Tennis Association, interstate open.

July 11—Wilmington Country Club, Delaware State championship.

July 11—Aztec Club, Chicago, Illinois State championship.

July 11—Excelsior Tennis Club, Bristol, R. I., Bristol county championship.

July 13—Litchfield Club, Connecticut State championship.

July 13—Log Cabin Club, Macon, Georgia State championship.

July 13—Seabright Lawn Tennis and Cricket Club, invitation tournament.

July 18—Wanderers' Cricket and Athletic Club, Chicago, open tournament.

July 20—New York Athletic Club, New York State championship.

July 20—Country Club of Augusta, Ga., South Atlantic States championship.

July 25—Chicago, Western championship doubles, Western singles for men and women.

July 27—Longwood Cricket Club, Eastern doubles, Seventeenth annual Longwood singles.

July 28—Oregon State championship, Multnomah Amateur Athletic Club, Portland.

August 2—Ridgewood Golf Club, open tournament.

August 3—East End Club, Cleveland, Ohio State championship.

August 3—Crawford House Tennis Club, White Mountain championship.

August 3—Iowa State championship, Hyperion Club, Des Moines.

August 3—Tacoma Lawn Tennis Club, Pacific Northwest championship, sixteenth annual.

August 3—Detroit Athletic Club, Michigan State championship.

August 4—Minnetonka Club, Lake Minnetonka, Minn., Northwestern championship.

August 4—Norfolk Tennis Club, Norfolk, Conn.

August 10—Bedford Springs (Pa.) Tennis Club, championship of southern Pennsylvania.

August 10—Town Club, Milwaukee, Wisconsin State championship.

August 10—Meadow Club, Southampton, invitation tournament.

August 10—Swimming Club, Bar Harbor, Maine State championship.

August 11—Old Pine Golf Club, St. Johnsbury, Vermont State championship.

August 11—Grand Forks Town and Country Club, championship of Red River Valley and of North Dakota.

August 12—Sioux City Tennis Club, championship of Iowa, Nebraska and South Dakota or Kansas.

August 13, 14, and 15—Crescent Athletic Club, East vs. West vs. South doubles.

August 17—Omaha Field Club, championship of the Middle West.

August 18—Newport, national championship singles, doubles and interscholastic singles.

August 24—Parkersburg Country Club, championship of West Virginia.

August 24—Intermountain Lawn Tennis Association, Salt Lake City, Intermountain championship singles and doubles for men and women.

August 24—Kansas City Athletic Club, championship of Missouri Valley.

August 25—East Jersey Lawn Tennis Association, open tournament at Elizabeth Town and Country Club.

August 26—Niagara Lawn Tennis Club, international and Canadian championship, Niagara-on-the-Lake.

August 29—Cincinnati, Tri-State championship (Ohio, Indiana and Kentucky).

August 31—Denver Country Club, Colorado State championship.

August 31—Nyack Country Club, open tournament.

August 31—Sagamore Tennis Club, Lake George championship.

September 5—Agawam Hunt, Providence, Rhode Island State championship.

September 5—Worcester Tennis Club, championship of Worcester County, Mass.

September 7—Pacific States Lawn Tennis Association, Del Monte, Cal., Pacific States championship, men's and women's singles and doubles and mixed doubles.

September 7—Hudson River Lawn Tennis Association, Hudson River championship.

September 9—Maryland and Baltimore Country Club, open tournament, challenge cup.

September 16—Belmont Cricket Club, Philadelphia, championship Philadelphia and district.

September 16—Morristown Field Club, New Jersey State championship.

September 23—Bachelors' Lawn Tennis Club, Washington, District of Columbia championship.

October 5—Longwood Cricket Club, women's singles, doubles and mixed doubles.

October 5—Merion Cricket Club, Philadelphia, intercollegiate championship.

1909.

February 22—Seventh Regiment Lawn Tennis Club, indoor championship.

## Directory of Players

- Adams, S. J., Jr., Pittsburg Field Club, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Adeo, George T., 38 Broad Street, New York City.  
 Aitken, Elzey S., Trenton, N. J.  
 Alexander, F. B., The Wyoming, Fifty-fifth Street and Seventh Avenue, New York City.  
 Allen, Edwin L., 153 Fourth Avenue, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Allen, John A., 116 West Eighty-second Street, New York City.  
 Ames, J. O., Fletcher Manufacturing Co., Providence, R. I.  
 Anderson, F. G., 974 St. Marks Avenue, Brooklyn, N. Y.  
 Armstrong, Mr., Maryland Athletic Club, Baltimore, Md.  
 Ayer, Harry L., West Newton, Mass.  
 Avery, H. E., Detroit, Mich.
- Baldwin, W. B., 1185 Madison Avenue, New York City.  
 Baldwin, Mr., Maryland Athletic Club, Baltimore, Md.  
 Ballinger, J. H., The Farragut, Washington, D. C.  
 Bartown, J. B., Philadelphia C.C., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Bates, F. H., Huntingdon Country Club, Noble, Pa.  
 Beardsley, S. A., Utica, N. Y.  
 Behr, Karl, 41 West Seventy-fourth Street, New York City.  
 Belden, G. H., 1700 Fifth Avenue, South Minneapolis, Minn.  
 Bell, A. E., 225 West Second Street, Los Angeles, Cal.  
 Betts, Henry D., 345 West Fourteenth Street, New York City.  
 Biddle, Craig, Radnor, Pa.  
 Biddle, Linford, Philadelphia C.C., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Bishop, Richard, 157 West Sixth Street, Boston, Mass.  
 Bissell, Herbert, People's Bank, Buffalo, N. Y.  
 Bissell, Howard, 950 Delaware Avenue, Buffalo, N. Y.  
 Blake, B. S., Auburndale, Mass.  
 Blampied, Roscoe, West Water Street, Elmira, N. Y.  
 Boardman, S. S., 2 Rector Street, New York City.  
 Bostwick, Albert C., 66 Broadway, New York City.  
 Bostwick, O. M., 236 West One Hundred and Thirty-seventh Street, New York City.  
 Bowen, Dr. J., Mt. Washington Club, Mt. Washington, Md.  
 Bradford, Walter A., Saco, Me.  
 Bramhall, J., Centre Street, South Orange, N. J.  
 Brooke, C., 2108 St. Paul Street, Baltimore, Md.  
 Brooke, G. H., 1424 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Brooks, J. W., Jr., 4912 Woodland Avenue, Chicago, Ill.  
 Brooks, Leonard, Crescent Athletic Club, Brooklyn, N. Y.  
 Brown, C., Maryland Athletic Club, Baltimore, Md.  
 Brown, J., Mt. Washington Club, Mt. Washington, Md.  
 Bryan, S. G., 296 State Street, Bridgeport, Conn.  
 Bryan, R. T., 1048 Trinity Avenue, New York City.  
 Bryant, William L., 367 Pearl Street, Buffalo, N. Y.  
 Bull, C. M., Jr., 48 Wall Street, New York City.  
 Bunting, C. M., Broad Street Station, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Bunting, O. M., Merion C.C., Haverford, Pa.  
 Burchard, Ross, 115 Worth Street, New York City.
- Cake, P. H. S., Hotel Normandie, Washington, D. C.  
 Campbell, D. K., Box 1134, Kansas City, Mo.  
 Cantwell, Thomas, Malone, N. Y.  
 Carleton, R. H., Blodget, Merritt & Co., Nassau Street, New York City.  
 Carpenter, J. R., Jr., 919 Pine Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Chapen, Dr. E. L., Rochester, N. Y.  
 Charlock, M. S., 663 North Broad Street, Elizabeth, N. J.

- Childs, C. L., Childs & Childs, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Childs, F. T., New England Building, Kansas City, Mo.  
 Chipman, G., Mt. Washington Club, Mt. Washington, Md.  
 Clark, M. S., Meadow Lane, New Rochelle, N. Y.  
 Clarkson, Runyan, New York Produce Exchange, New York.  
 Clary, C., Maryland Athletic Club, Baltimore, Md.  
 Clothier, W. J., Wynnewood, Pa.  
 Cochran, H. J., 95 Hillside Avenue, Plainfield, N. J.  
 Code, James A., 1705 Oak Street, San Francisco, Cal.  
 Cole, Harry A., 1055 Jefferson Avenue, Detroit, Mich.  
 Colket, M. B., 2018 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Collier, W. B., Jr., 2509 Pacific Avenue, San Francisco, Cal.  
 Collins, Kriegh, 244 LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill.  
 Collom, S. H., 5141 Pulaski Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Colston, F. C., 1016 St. Paul Street, Baltimore, Md.  
 Colston, J. A. C., 1016 St. Paul Street, Baltimore, Md.  
 Converse, Howard, Rochester, N. Y.  
 Coster, M. K., Fifth Avenue and Dithridge, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Coyne, G. W., 259 West Jersey Street, Elizabeth, N. J.  
 Cragin, Arthur, 267 West Seventy-ninth Street, New York City.  
 Cragin, C., 52 William Street, New York City.  
 Cragin, W. B., Jr., 1133 East Seventh Street, Plainfield, N. J.  
 Crozier, R. J., 422 South Twentieth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Cutler, Mr., 507 Washington Street, Buffalo, N. Y.  
 Dabney, A. S., Jr., 411 Beacon Street, Boston, Mass.  
 Dana, R. B., Union Wadding Co., Pawtucket, R. I.  
 Darrow, John, San Antonio, Texas.  
 Davis, Dwight F., 17 Westmoreland Place, St. Louis, Mo.  
 Davidson, John C., 1413 G Street, Washington, D. C.  
 DeCamp, Mr., 35 West Third Street, Cincinnati, O.  
 Day, I. M., 740 Carleton Avenue, Plainfield, N. J.  
 Deis, Rev. Homer, St. Mark's, Third and A Street, South East, Washington, D. C.  
 DeMille, W. C., 357 West One Hundred and Eighteenth Street, New York City.  
 Dewhurst, Dr. E. B., 1728 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Dickson, William C., 182 Freemason Street, Norfolk, Va.  
 Dodge, H. P., 1130 Huron Street, Toledo, Ohio.  
 Doyle, C. B., 1025 Lamont Street, North West, Washington, D. C.  
 Duncan, John D. C., Lutherville Club, Lutherville, Md.  
 Danscomb, G. H., Seventy-first Street and Scipp Avenue, Chicago, Ill.  
 Dutton, R., 115 South Broadway, Baltimore, Md.  
 Dwight, James, Somerset Club, Boston, Mass.  
 Earle, A. P., West Swissvale Avenue, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Ebbert, G. D., Pittsburg Golf Club, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Edgar, B. S., Rahway N. J.  
 Edgar, H. LeRoy, 81 Nassau Street, New York City.  
 Edwards, G. D., 327 Fourth Avenue, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Emerson, Nat., 1020 First National Bank Building, Cincinnati, O.  
 Emery, Deane, 1661 Washington Avenue, New York City.  
 Ensor, John, Mt. Washington Club, Mt. Washington, Md.  
 Evans, Rowland, Jr., Haverford, Pa.  
 Ewing, Thomas, 156 Fourth Avenue, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Fairbanks, Joseph, St. Johnsbury, Vt.  
 Farber, G. A., Box 212, Baltimore, Md.  
 Fielding, Mantel, West Walnut Lane, Germantown, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Fincke, Rex, 51 Wall Street, New York City.  
 Fischer, E. P., 33 West Ninety-second Street, New York City.  
 Fischer, W. M., Bristol, R. I.



Fitch, L. H., southwest corner Ninety-seventh Street and West End Avenue, New York City.

Folds, C. W., Northwest National Bank, Minneapolis, Minn.

Forbes, Joseph F., Morris Plains, N. Y.

Foulkes, J. F., Ottawa, Canada.

Fowler, J. A., Box 566, Providence, R. I.

Gage, Dr. J. E., Utica, N. Y.

Gallien, Henry, National Exchange Bank, Albany, N. Y.

Galpin, H. L., 333 Whitehall, New London, Conn.

Gardner, G. P., Jr., Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.

Garfield, W. B., Pittsburg Field Club, Pittsburg, Pa.

Garland, Charles, Maple Avenue, Pittsburg, Pa.

Garland, N. M., 75 Fulton Street, New York City.

Geoghegan, Franklyn, 1861 Mintwood Place, Washington, D. C.

Gilbert, L. L., Montgomery, Ala.

Gillett, William K., Pelham Manor, N. Y.

Glassco, E. S., 135 Madison Avenue, Toronto, Canada.

Glazebrook, Dr. E. H., Morristown, N. J.

Glazebrook, H. McK., Broad Street, Elizabeth, N. J.

Glazebrook, Dr. L. M., 2022 P Street, Washington, D. C.

Godfrey, C. E., P. O. Box 517, Providence, R. I.

Gosline, William A., 1541 Summit Street, Toledo, O.

Goss, W. A., Acem Mills Company, Portland, Ore.

Grant, B. M., Atlanta, Ga.

Grant, Charles F., 56 Broadway, New York City.

Grant, L. J., 60 Convent Avenue, New York City.

Grant, Wiley, 60 Convent Avenue, New York City.

Green, K. S., Pennsylvania Railroad, Broad Street Station, Philadelphia, Pa.

Griffin, R. A., 18 Cortlandt Street, New York City.

Griffin, Scott, Winnipeg, Manitoba.

Griffith, W. A., 669 Willoughby Avenue, Brooklyn, N. Y.

Grimes, J. L., Knoxville, Pittsburg, Pa.

Gross, E. Tudor, Union Trust Company Building, Providence, R. I.

Gunther, E. A., 340 West Fifty-first Street, New York City.

Guyton, O. F., Pittsburg Field Club, Pittsburg, Pa.

Hackett, C. C., 1412 H Street, Washington, D. C.

Hackett, H. H., 841 Broadway, New York City.

Hague, Frank, Glen Ridge, N. J.

Hague, H. W., Glen Ridge, N. J.

Hallowell, N. P., 44 State Street, Boston, Mass.

Hardy, Sumner, 408 Market Street, San Francisco, Cal.

Hastings, H. O., 819 Filbert Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Hatch, Alfred C., Lutherville Club, Lutherville, Md.

Haverstick, G. E., O. S. National Bank, Omaha, Neb.

Haviland, C. F., 106 West One Hundred and Eighteenth Street, New York City.

Hawk, Dr. P. B., University of Illinois, Champaign, Ill.

Hayes, S. L., Westinghouse Electrical and Manufacturing Company, Pittsburg, Pa.

Hayes, W. T., 48 Powell Avenue, Chicago, Ill.

Herrick, G. P., 5 East Fifty-sixth Street, New York City.

Hickox, Ralph, Williamsbridge, N. Y.

Hildreth, P. B., 50 Broadway, New York.

Hill, W., Mt. Washington Club, Mt. Washington, Md.

Hills, R. W., 216 Colorado Building, Washington, D. C.

Hinck, Otto H., 56 Beaver Street, New York City.

Hinck, A. J., 56 Beaver Street, New York City.

Hinks, W. T., 11 Franklin Building, Bridgeport, Conn.

Hobart, Clarence, Bernardsville, N. J.  
 Holmes, Artemus, Mamaroneck, N. Y.  
 Homans, Sheppard, Englewood, N. J.  
 Hooker, Richard, Springfield Republican, Springfield, Mass.  
 Hoskins, A. L., corner Walnut and Fifth Streets, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Howard, F. E., Collins Street, Hartford, Conn.  
 Hubbard, C. L., Malone, N. Y.  
 Hunt, G. L., St. Johnsbury, Vt.

Inman, Frederick, Rockaway Hunt Club, Cedarhurst, L. I.

James, J. D., Grafton Club, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 James, R. L., Belmont C. C., Fiftieth Street and Chester Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Jayne, T. N., 660 Temple Court, Minneapolis, Minn.  
 Janes, George T., San Francisco, Cal.  
 Jenney, A. D., Onondaga County Savings Bank Building, Syracuse, N. Y.  
 Johnson, J. B., Orange L. T. C. P. O., Box 202, Newark, N. J.  
 Johnson, C. F., Newton Highlands, Mass.  
 Johnson, H. C., Provident Institution for Savings, Temple Place, Boston, Mass.  
 Johnson, L. C., Ridgewood, N. J.  
 Johnson, Wallace, 4037 Girard Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Jones, Arthur, 22 Dexter Avenue, Montgomery, Ala.  
 Jones, D. J. E., 49 Humboldt Avenue, Providence, R. I.  
 Jones, J. Fletcher, 14 Dexter Avenue, Montgomery, Ala.  
 Jones, R. L., Dilley Building, Syracuse, N. Y.  
 Jordan, W., Germantown, Pa.

Kelley, C. C., 102 West Ninety-third Street, New York City.  
 Kendall, Hiram, Wickford, R. I.  
 Kerr, J. S., Fayette Street, Mt. Washington, Md.  
 Kidde, Frank, 56 Beaver Street, New York City.  
 Kirkover, Harry, 431 White Building, Buffalo, N. Y.  
 Kirkwood, H. D., Ellicott Square, Buffalo, N. Y.  
 Knapp, W. P., 170 Broadway, New York City.  
 Krohn, W. F., 194 Randolph Street, Chicago, Ill.  
 Kurtz, Williams B., 131 South Fourth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Lanahan, J. C., 624 Equitable Building, Baltimore, Md.  
 Landret, W. L., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Larned, W. A., 24 Broad Street, New York City.  
 Larned, E. P., Summit, N. J.  
 Lawton, F., The Walbert, Baltimore, Md.  
 LeRoy, Robert, 28 Seventh Avenue, New York City.  
 Leo, E. F., Far Rockaway, L. I.  
 Leonard, E. W., West Newton, Mass.  
 Leonard, Edgar, 66 Leonard Street, New York City.  
 Lewis, Howard N., 1928 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Lewis, C. F., Kinsdale, Ill.  
 Lincoln, Gould, Washington Post, Washington, D. C.  
 Lithrow, J. C., Halifax, N. S.  
 Little, Dr. Karl, Cincinnati, Ohio.  
 Little, R. D., J. J. Little & Co., 8 Astor Place, New York City.  
 Lloyd, D. W., Maple Avenue, Edgewood Park, Pa.  
 Lockwood, Frederick A., Norwalk, Conn.  
 Long, Melville H., 21 Buena Vista Avenue, San Francisco, Cal.  
 Lovering, Joseph, 53 State Street, Boston, Mass.  
 Lyman, Willis, East Orange, N. J.  
 Lyon, George, Pittsburg, Pa.

- Mackey, W. D., 214 East Second Street, Sedalia, Mo.  
 Mackoy, H. B., United Bank Building, Cincinnati, O.  
 MacMaster, A. C., 51 Yonge Street, Toronto, Canada.  
 MacMullen, Charles W., 20 Broad Street, New York City.  
 Mahan, L. E., 160 West Eighty-sixth Street, New York City.  
 Mandeville, Carlisle, 1426 K Street, Northwest, Washington, D. C.  
 Mansfield, F. S., Waban, Mass.  
 Martin, E. J., 436 Lenox Avenue, New York City.  
 Marvin, E. R., 29 Waverly Place, New York City.  
 Mason, L. J., Brattleboro, Vt.  
 Mathey, Dean, Cranford, N. J.  
 Matheny, Dr. A. R., Pittsburg Field Club, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Matthews, L., Spear & Co., Mt. Washington, Md.  
 McCabe, Dr. A. D., Coraopolis, Pa.  
 McGee, Henry A., Orchard Place, North Plainfield, N. J.  
 McGowan, H. E., 786 Flatbush Avenue, Brooklyn, N. Y.  
 McGraw, T. H., Jr., Park Building, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 McKittrick, R., St. Louis, Mo.  
 McLoughlin, Maurice, C. R. Gardner, 2004 Devisadero Street, San Francisco, Cal.  
 McMahon, J. T., 188 Montague Street, Brooklyn, N. Y.  
 Merrihew, S. M., Times Square Building, New York City.  
 Meserole, C. U., Englewood, N. J.  
 Miles, G. H., South Orange, N. J.  
 Miles, R. M., Jr., South Orange, N. J.  
 Miller, G. H., Cotton Exchange Building, New York City.  
 Miller, R. James, Chapin National Bank, Springfield, Mass.  
 Miller, Dr. T. A., Brighton Club, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Millett, S. C., 57 West Ninety-fourth Street, New York City.  
 Mollenhauer, Henry, 73 Livingston Street, Brooklyn, N. Y.  
 Montgomery, H. D., New Canaan, Conn.  
 Montgomery, Lee, Sedalia, Mo.  
 Moore, L. P., 91 Kingston Avenue, New York City.  
 Moorhead, R. D., Pittsburg Field Club, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Moorehead, S. W. B., Forbes and Market Street, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Morfit, M. B., 308 Maryland Telephone Building, Baltimore, Md.  
 Morey, H. W., 300 Summer Street, Buffalo, N. Y.  
 Motley, Thomas, Jr., 53 State Street, Boston, Mass.  
 Moulding, J. W., 34 Clark Street, Chicago, Ill.  
 Murchison, K. M., 136 East Twenty-second Street, New York City.  
 Myers, W. L., Guffay Petroleum Company, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Naly, P. O., Wilksburg Station, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Naylor, T., 1622 Park Avenue, Baltimore, Md.  
 Neel, Carr, Kenwood Country Club, Chicago, Ill.  
 Neely, J. C., 4929 Greenwood Avenue, Chicago, Ill.  
 Nettleton, George H., 339 Prospect Street, New Haven, Conn.  
 Newhall, E. S., 263 Lakewood Avenue, Buffalo, N. Y.  
 Niles, N. W., Boston, Mass.  
 Norton, P., Lancaster, N. Y.  
 Offutt, T. W., Mt. Washington Electric Light and Power Company, Baltimore, Md.  
 Oliver, A. K., Gazette-Times, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Orcutt, William Dana, Riverside Press, Cambridge, Mass.  
 Paddock, Lewis H., 20 Jones Building, Detroit, Mich.  
 Palen, W. W., South Orange, N. J.  
 Palmer, Richard H., Ridgewood, N. J.  
 Paret, J. Parmly, Washington Place, Flushing, N. Y.  
 Parker, A. J., Jr., 143 Washington Avenue, Albany, N. Y.

- Parker, A. R., 143 Washington Avenue, Albany, N. Y.  
 Payne, Frank T., care of Albert Armstrong, 1007 S. A. Street,  
 Tacoma, Washington.  
 Pell, Clarence, Tuxedo, N. Y.  
 Pell, J. D., 38 Wall Street, New York City.  
 Pell, Theodore Roosevelt, 127 East Sixty-first Street, New York City.  
 Pendergast, E. H. S., 561 Madison Avenue, Elizabeth, N. J.  
 Pennington, Yates, 843 Equitable Building, Baltimore, Md.  
 Perry, Lewis, Williamstown, Mass.  
 Peters, C. S., 48 Powell Avenue, Chicago, Ill.  
 Philler, R. M., Haverford, Pa.  
 Pier, A. S., Youth's Companion, Columbus Avenue, Boston, Mass.  
 Plimpton, C. G., Newton Centre, Mass.  
 Pooley, R. H., Victoria, British Columbia.  
 Prentice, B. S., 53 West Fifty-fifth Street, New York City.  
 Presbrey, Palmer E., P. O. Box 2337, Boston, Mass.  
 Price, E. T., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Pugh, C. F., Pittsburg Field Club, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Purdon, G. H., Tacoma, Washington.
- Raleigh, Stewart, 308 MacBride Street, Syracuse, N. Y.  
 Randal, James A., S. A. and K. Building, Syracuse, N. Y.  
 Randall, J. A., 218 Midland Avenue, Syracuse, N. Y.  
 Rapelyea, E. P., Elmira, N. Y.  
 Read, J. B., 107 Washington Street, Boston, Mass.  
 Reed, John, Pittsburg Golf Club, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Reed, Robert R., Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Register, H. B., Merion C. C., Haverford, Pa.  
 Relyea, C. M., Rochelle Park, New Rochelle, N. Y.  
 Richards, George, 62 Wall Street, New York City.  
 Richards, J. B., 456 Ellicott Square, Buffalo, N. Y.  
 Ricker, Jewett E., 425 East Superior Street, Chicago, Ill.  
 Righter, W. L., 825 First Place, Plainfield, N. J.  
 Ripley, C. B., Hinsdale, Ill.  
 Roberts, W. S., 1200 Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Robertson, E. L., Onondaga County Savings Bank Building, Syracuse, N. Y.  
 Robinson, C. K., Beyer Building, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Robinson, W. H., Fairmount Station, Malden, Mass.  
 Roper, W. W., Mt. Airy, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Ross, J. A., 4536 Sixtieth Street, Chicago, Ill.  
 Rotch, C. M., Bay State Road, Boston, Mass.  
 Rotch, W. B., Milford, N. H.  
 Rowland, E. K., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Rowland, W. W., Mt. Airy, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Ruchmore, Dr. E. C., Tuxedo Park, Tuxedo, N. Y.  
 Runyan, Carmen B., 25 Fifth Avenue, New York City.  
 Russ, Semp, San Antonio, Texas.  
 Russell, A. B., 27 West Avenue, South Norwalk, Conn.  
 Russell, S. L., Seattle, Wash.  
 Ryerson, J. A., 103 Lincoln Park Boulevard, Chicago, Ill.
- Sanford, L. J., New Haven, Conn.  
 Sayers, H. H., Haverford, Pa.  
 Sayre, E. S., 217 South Third Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Scotford, F. E., Hinsdale, Ill.  
 Scott, Edgar T., 1830 Rittenhouse Square, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Scott, L. D., 363 North Avenue, Atlanta, Ga.  
 Seaver, R. C., 76 Longwood Avenue, Brookline, Mass.  
 Shafer, G. C., 450 West End Avenue, New York City.  
 Sheppard, E. M., Pittsburg Field Club, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Siverd, P. D., Garland Corporation, Crafton, Pa.

- Smith, F. G., Baltimore Country Club, Baltimore, Md.  
 Smith, H. B., Baltimore, Md.  
 Smith, H. P., Montclair, N. J.  
 Smith, King, 57 West Seventy-fifth Street, New York City.  
 Smith, Marmaduke D., 1007 South Forty-ninth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Speare, E. Ray, Newton Centre, Mass.  
 Sprague, Arthur C., St. Johnsbury, Vt.  
 Steelman, John, 2101 Tioga Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Stetson, Henry N., 1801 Van Ness Street, San Francisco, Cal.  
 Stephens, Dr. T. W., 734 South Avenue, Wilkensburg, Pa.  
 Stevens, Richard, 1 Newark Street, Hoboken, N. J.  
 Stevens, Neal, Utica, N. Y.  
 Stille, Ewing, 327 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Stoker, George E., Topeka, Kas.  
 Sulloway, F. J., Room 1033, 53 State Street, Boston, Mass.  
 Swatwood, R. deH. 23 Elm Street, Morristown, N. J.  
 Sweetser, A. S., 132 St. Mary's Street, Boston, Mass.  
 Swift, J. R., Lincoln Avenue, Edgewood Park, Pa.  
 Symington, S., 1235 Calvert Building, Baltimore, Md.  
 Taft, E. A., Jr., 320 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston, Mass.  
 Talbot, T. H., Atlantic Transport Company, 1 Broadway, New York City.  
 Tallant, Hugh, 113 East Nineteenth Street, New York City.  
 Terry, James, 197 Collins Street, Hartford, Conn.  
 Tete, C., Jr., 107 South Front Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Thayer, John B., Sr., Pennsylvania Railroad, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Thayer, Russell, Jr., Philadelphia C. C., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Thayer, Alex., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Thomas, G. C., North Broad Street, Elizabeth, N. J.  
 Thompson, J. L., 330 West Eighty-sixth Street, New York City.  
 Thompson, B. H., Jr., Frick Building, Pittsburg, Pa.  
 Thornton, Nat., Germania Fire Insurance Company, 1403 Fourth National Bank Building, Atlanta, Ga.  
 Tilden, H. M., Germantown, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Tilden, Morris, Philadelphia C. C., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Tilden, W. N., Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Torrance, Alexander, Tenafly, N. J.  
 Torrance, Henry J., The Hendrick Manufacturing Company, Carbondale, Pa.  
 Torrey, Dr. E. F., Utica, N. Y.  
 Torrey, E. S., Clinton, N. Y.  
 Touchard, C. F., 117 Prince Street, New York City.  
 Townsend, G. H., Jr., 318 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Trask, T. C., 155 West Sixty-fifth Street, New York City.  
 Tredway, W. T., Bakewell Building, Coraopolis, Pa.  
 Trotter, W. H., 8415 Navahoe Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
 Van Buren, Robert, Jr., 80 West Avenue, Norwalk, Conn.  
 Van Buren, R. S., 58 West Avenue, Norwalk, Conn.  
 Voshell, Bertram, Lutherville Club, Lutherville, Md.  
 Waddell, L. D., Altoona, Pa.  
 Wadsworth, A. B., 180 West Fifty-ninth Street, New York City.  
 Wagner, George, Goodyear Lumber Company, Buffalo, N. Y.  
 Waidner, L. H., 169 LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill.  
 Waite, H., 2646 West North Avenue, Baltimore, Md.  
 Walden, W., Mt. Washington Club, Mt. Washington, Md.  
 Walker, J. Ware, Montgomery, Ala.



- Wallace, T. F., 1700 Fifth Avenue, South Minneapolis, Minn.  
Ware, L. E., 30 Broad Street, New York City.  
Ware, Storer, Bond and Goodwin, Congress Place, Boston, Mass.  
Ward, C., Trust Company, Main Street, Rochester, N. Y.  
Ward, F. K., 11 West Main Street, Rochester, N. Y.  
Ward, H., 58 Leonard Street, New York City.  
Ward, Stanley, 58 Leonard Street, New York City.  
Warren, Winslow, Jr., Dedham, Mass.  
Watson, C. F., Jr., South Orange, N. J.  
Way, A. C., First National Bank, Los Angeles, Cal.  
Wendt, J. S., 170 Fourth Avenue, Pittsburg, Pa.  
Wessels, H. W., Litchfield, Conn.  
Westfall, H. L., 204 Franklin Street, New York City.  
Westfall, S. A., 204 Franklin Street, New York City.  
Waltercroft, Frank P., Jr., Lutherville Club, Lutherville, Md.  
White, J., duPratt, Nyack, N. Y.  
Whitehead, H. G., Norfolk, Va.  
Whiting, E. E., 2022 P Street, Washington, D. C.  
Whitehurst, W., 1732 Bolton Street, Baltimore, Md.  
Whitman, H. H., Goddard Avenue, Brookline, Mass.  
Whitman, M. D., Goddard Avenue, Brookline, Mass.  
Whitney, R. N., Fifth and Townsend Street, San Francisco, Cal.  
Whittaker, J. H., 16 South Fifth Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
Wilbur, C. B., 35 Congress Street, Boston, Mass.  
Wilbur, Dr. R. A., 606 West Clinton Street, Elmira, N. Y.  
Wilcox, H. D., Dister Wilcox Company, Providence, R. I.  
Wilde, M. C., New Brighton, Pa.  
Willing, K. J., Merion C. C., Haverford, Pa.  
Wilson, Robert N., Jr., 2226 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, Pa.  
Woodard, Cornwell, Utica, N. Y.  
Wrenn, G. L., Jr., 24 Broad Street, New York City.  
Wrenn, R. D., 24 Broad Street, New York City.  
Wright, Beals C., Grampian Way, Dorchester, Mass.  
Wright, George, 344 Washington Street, Boston, Mass.  
Wright, Irving C., 18 West Thirtieth Street, New York City.  
Wright, J. B., 902 Fidelity Building, Buffalo, N. Y.  
Wright, Peter T., 704 West Street, Wilmington, Del.  
Wyckoff, A. W., Farmers' Bank Building, Pittsburg, Pa.  
Wyckoff, G. W., 522 West Church Street, Elmira, N. Y.  
Wyeth, G. L., 218 West One Hundred and Twenty-third Street, New York City.  
Yeates, S. C. E., Sedalia, Mo.  
Yeator, C. E., Sedalia, Mo.  
Young, C. H., 1624 Capitol Avenue, Omana, Neb.

## Directory of Lawn Tennis Clubs Members of the U. S. N. L. T. A.

AGAWAM HUNT.—J. O. Ames, Chairman Tennis Committee, care Fletcher Mfg. Co., Providence, R. I.

AMAKASSIN CLUB.—Robbins Gilman, Chairman Tennis Committee, 311 Palisade Avenue, Yonkers-on-Hudson, N. Y.

ARDSLEY CLUB.—H. L. R. Edgar, Chairman Sports Committee, 81 Nassau Street, New York City.

BACHELORS' LAWN TENNIS CLUB.—Ralph W. Hills, Secretary, 216 Colorado Building, Washington, D. C.

BALTIMORE COUNTRY CLUB.—J. B. Whitehead, Chairman Tennis Committee, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Md.

BEDFORD SPRINGS TENNIS CLUB.—H. M. Wing, Secretary, Bedford Springs, Penn.

BELMONT CRICKET CLUB.—M. D. Smith, Chairman, Tennis Committee, cor. 50th Street and Chestnut Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa.

BELMONT TENNIS CLUB.—P. L. Brown, Chairman Tennis Committee, 254 Washington Street, Boston, Mass.

BOSTON ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION.—George W. Beals, Secretary, Boston, Mass.

BOSTON Y. M. C. A. TENNIS CLUB.—J. P. Roberts, Secretary, cor. Boylston and Berkeley Streets, Boston, Mass.

BRAE-BURN COUNTRY CLUB, WEST NEWTON, MASS.—Edw. F. Woods, Secretary.

BROOKLAWN COUNTRY CLUB.—Charles A. Delanoy, Secretary, Bridgeport, Conn.

THE BROOKLYN TENNIS CLUB.—John C. Remond, Secretary, 109 Lefferts Place, Brooklyn, N. Y.

CATONSVILLE COUNTRY CLUB.—Samuel Theobald, Jr., Chairman Tennis Committee, Catonsville, Md.

CHEVY CHASE CLUB.—Hugh B. Rowland, Chairman Tennis Committee, Chevy Chase, Md.

COHASSET GOLF CLUB, COHASSET, MASS.—Arthur W. Moors, Chairman Tennis Committee, 111 Devonshire Street, Boston, Mass.

THE COUNTRY CLUB OF BROOKLINE, MASS.—G. B. Morison, Chairman Tennis Committee, 77 Summer Street, Boston, Mass.

CORONADO COUNTRY CLUB.—Paul H. Schmidt, Secretary, Coronado Beach, California.

COUNTRY CLUB, SPRINGFIELD, MASS.—James R. Miller, Chairman Tennis Committee, 381 Main Street, Springfield, Mass.

CRAWFORD HOUSE TENNIS CLUB.—W. A. Barron, Secretary, Crawford House, White Mountains, N. H., or Hotel Westminster, Boston, Mass.

CRESCENT ATHLETIC CLUB OF BROOKLYN.—Leonard Brooks, Chairman Tennis Committee, Brooklyn, N. Y.

DEDHAM TENNIS CLUB.—H. R. Hayes, Secretary, Dedham, Mass.

DETROIT ATHLETIC CLUB.—J. L. Hibbard, Chairman Tennis Committee, Detroit, Mich.

DUNWOODIE COUNTRY CLUB, DUNWOODIE, N. Y.—Theodore I. Wood, Secretary, 401 Broadway, New York City.

EAST END TENNIS CLUB.—J. C. Royon, Secretary, cor. Euclid Avenue and 46th Street, S. E., Cleveland, O.

ELIZABETH TOWN AND COUNTRY CLUB.—M. S. Charlock, Chairman Tennis Committee, 663 North Broad Street, Elizabeth, N. J.

ENGLEWOOD FIELD CLUB.—L. S. Coe, Chairman Tennis Committee, 50 Warren Street, New York City.

ESSEX COUNTY CLUB, MANCHESTER, MASS.—Secretary, N. S. Bartlett, Jr., care Bartlett Bros., 53 State Street, Boston, Mass.

EXCELSIOR TENNIS CLUB, BRISTOL, R. I.—William M. Fischer, Secretary, 561 West 144th Street, New York City.

GERMANTOWN CRICKET CLUB.—Joseph R. Carpenter, Jr., Chairman Tennis Committee, 517 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

GRAND FORKS TOWN AND COUNTRY CLUB, GRAND FORKS, NORTH DAKOTA.—Fred M. Wilson, care Herald, Grand Forks.

GREENWICH CASINO.—W. E. Carhart, Secretary, Greenwich, Conn.

HAMILTON GRANGE TENNIS CLUB.—Earnest Miller, Secretary, 11 Broadway, New York City.

HARTFORD GOLF CLUB.—W. H. C. Whiting, Chairman Tennis Committee, care Phoenix Insurance Co., Hartford, Conn.

HERMITAGE GOLF CLUB.—Jas. S. Swan, Jr., Chairman Tennis Committee, P. O. Box 543, Richmond, Va.

HOLLYWOOD GOLF CLUB.—R. F. Nathan, Secretary, P. O. Box 152, West End, N. J., or 536 Fifth Avenue, New York City.

HUNTINGDON VALLEY GOLF CLUB.—F. H. Bates, Chairman Tennis Committee, Wyncote, Pa.

INDOOR TENNIS CLUB OF NEW YORK CITY.—Herman S. Leroy, Secretary, 212 West 41st Street.

IRVINGTON TENNIS CLUB, PORTLAND, OREGON.—O. L. Ferris, Secretary, 240 Washington Street, Portland, Oregon.

KANSAS CITY ATHLETIC CLUB.—Al. Welhener, Chairman Tennis Committee, 1500 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Mo.

KEBO VALLEY CLUB.—Waldron Bates, Treasurer, Bar Harbor, Me.

KINGS COUNTY TENNIS CLUB OF BROOKLYN, N. Y.—F. W. Heitkamp, Secretary, 295 Clermont Avenue, Brooklyn, N. Y.

KNICKERBOCKER FIELD CLUB.—William D. Rising, Chairman Tennis Committee, Albermarle Road and East 18th Street, Brooklyn, N. Y.

KNOLLWOOD COUNTRY CLUB, WHITE PLAINS, N. Y.—Stanley Ward, Chairman Tennis Committee, 58 Leonard Street, New York City.

LAWN TENNIS CLUB OF LAWRENCE, L. I.—George Hewlett, Secretary, 132 Front Street, New York City.

LITCHFIELD CLUB.—Mrs. John L. Buell, Secretary, Litchfield, Conn.

LONGWOOD CRICKET CLUB.—Palmer E. Presbrey, Secretary, P. O. Box 2337, Boston, Mass.

MAIDSTONE CLUB, EASTHAMPTON, LONG ISLAND, N. Y.—S. T. Skidmore, Secretary.

MILTON CLUB, MILTON, MASS.—N. Penrose Hallowell, Chairman Tennis Committee, 50 State Street, Boston, Mass.

MARYLAND ATHLETIC CLUB.—Alfred G. Goodrich, Secretary, 10 South Street, Baltimore, Md.

MARYLAND COUNTRY CLUB.—Frank M. Dushane, Secretary, Baltimore, Md.

MEADOW CLUB OF SOUTHAMPTON.—Williams Manice, Secretary, Southampton, Long Island, N. Y.

MEADOWBROOK CLUB OF HEMPSTEAD, LONG ISLAND, N. Y.—F. B. Stevens, Jr., Chairman Tennis Committee, 52 Broadway, New York City.

MERION CRICKET CLUB.—Howard W. Lewis, Chairman Tennis Committee, Farmers and Mechanics Bank, Philadelphia, Pa.

MINNETONKA CLUB, LAKE MINNETONKA, MINN.—George K. Belden, Secretary, 804 Sykes Building, Minneapolis, Minn.

MOHAWK GOLF CLUB.—A. G. Davis, Chairman Tennis Committee, care of General Electric Co., Schenectady, N. Y.

MORRIS COUNTY GOLF CLUB.—George J. Little, Chairman Tennis Committee, 36 Broad Street, New York City.

MORRISTOWN FIELD CLUB.—Elweyn Poor, Chairman Tennis Committee, Franklin Place, Morristown, N. J.

MR. ANTHONY LAWN TENNIS AND GOLF CLUB.—George Worthington, Secretary, Bennington Centre, Vt.

MOYAMENSING LAWN TENNIS CLUB.—Ewing Stille, Secretary, 327 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

MULTNOMAH AMATEUR ATHLETIC CLUB.—Chairman Tennis Committee, Portland, Oregon.

NAHANT CLUB, NAHANT, MASS.—T. Motley, Jr., Chairman Tennis Committee, 32 Exchange Building, Boston, Mass.

NASSAU COUNTRY CLUB, GLEN COVE, N. Y.—Chairman Tennis Committee, Glen Cove, N. Y.

NEIGHBORHOOD CLUB OF WEST NEWTON, MASS.—F. J. Burrage, Clerk, West Newton, Mass., 12 Fairfax Street.

NEW CASTLE OUTING AND TENNIS CLUB.—George Wright, "The Wentworth," New Castle, N. H., or care of Wright & Ditson, Boston, Mass.

NEW HAVEN LAWN CLUB.—F. W. Farnum, Secretary, P. O. Box 1245, New Haven, Conn.

NEWPORT TENNIS CLUB.—Joseph Barrett, Supt., Newport Casino, Newport, R. I.

NEW YORK ATHLETIC CLUB.—Rufus Davis, Chairman Tennis Committee, 41 Union Square, New York.

NEW YORK LAWN TENNIS CLUB.—Charles Galwey, Secretary, 770 St. Nicholas Avenue, New York City.

NIAGARA LAWN TENNIS CLUB, NIAGARA-ON-THE-LAKE, CANADA.—E. S. Glassco, Secretary, care Marshall Spader & Co., King Edward Hotel, Toronto, Can.

NEWTON CENTRE SQUASH TENNIS CLUB, NEWTON CENTRE, MASS.—E. Ray Speare, Chairman Tennis Committee, 369 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Mass.

NOANETT TENNIS CLUB.—Chas. E. Lee, Secretary, Jamaica Plain, Boston, Mass.

NORFOLK COUNTRY CLUB, NORFOLK, VA.—Wm. C. Dickson, President, 182 Freemason Street, Norfolk, Va.

NORFOLK TENNIS CLUB, NORFOLK, CONN.—Charles H. Peck, Secretary.

NYACK COUNTRY CLUB, NYACK, N. Y.—George L. Chapman, Chairman Tennis Committee, Nyack, N. Y.

OAKLEY COUNTRY CLUB, WATERTOWN, MASS.—J. B. Read, Chairman Tennis Committee, 107 Washington Street, Boston, Mass.

OLD PINE GOLF CLUB.—A. G. Sprague, Secretary, St. Johnsbury, Vt.

OMAHA FIELD CLUB.—W. M. Wood, Secretary, The Chatham, Omaha, Neb.

ORANGE LAWN TENNIS CLUB, SOUTH ORANGE, N. J.—Tristram B. Souther, Secretary, Scotland Road, South Orange, N. J.

PARKERSBURG COUNTRY CLUB, PARKERSBURG, W. VA.—W. S. Smith, Secretary.

PHILADELPHIA CRICKET CLUB.—John H. Whittaker, Secretary, 26 South 15th Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

PITTSBURGH GOLF CLUB.—F. S. Guthrie, Secretary, P. O. Box 1013, Pittsburg, Pa.

PLAINFIELD COUNTRY CLUB.—James P. Murray, 737 Watchung Avenue, Plainfield, N. J.

POINT JUDITH COUNTRY CLUB.—Henry B. Kane, Secretary, 253 West 76th Street, New York City.

QUINCY LAWN TENNIS CLUB.—L. H. Gilson, Secretary, Linden Place, Quincy, Mass.

RICHMOND COUNTY COUNTRY CLUB, WEST NEW BRIGHTON, STATEN ISLAND.—Arthur Du Bois, Secretary, 35 Nassau Street, New York City.

RIDGEWOOD GOLF CLUB.—H. S. Willard, Chairman Tennis Committee, Ridgewood, N. J.

ROCKAWAY HUNTING CLUB.—Hartman K. Evans, Chairman Tennis Committee, 111 Broadway, New York City.

ROCHESTER LAWN TENNIS CLUB.—P. G. Phillips, Secretary, Elwood Building, Rochester, N. Y.

ST. GEORGE CRICKET AND TENNIS CLUB.—R. Stevens, Secretary, 1 Newark Street, Hoboken, N. J.

ST. LOUIS AMATEUR ATHLETIC CLUB.—M. D. Macdonald, Secretary, 705 North 11th Street, St. Louis, Mo.

SALISBURY TENNIS CLUB.—W. L. Jennings, President, Worcester, Mass.

SARATOGA GOLF CLUB.—O. H. Shepard, Secretary, Saratoga Springs, N. Y.

SEABRIGHT LAWN TENNIS AND CRICKET CLUB, SEABRIGHT, N. J.—Rudolph Neeser, Secretary, 29 Wall Street, New York City.

SEDGWICK FARMS LAWN TENNIS CLUB.—Chester H. King, Secretary, S. A. & K. Building, Syracuse, N. Y.

SEATTLE LAWN TENNIS CLUB.—Charles Powers, Secretary, care of Seattle Trust Co., Seattle, Wash.

SIWANoy TENNIS CLUB, NEW ROCHELLE, N. Y.—Lewis B. Comstock, Secretary, 17 Prospect Street.

SEVENTH REGIMENT (N. Y.) TENNIS CLUB.—W. B. Cragin, Jr., 310 West 97th Street, New York City.

SAGAMORE TENNIS CLUB, SAGAMORE, N. Y.—Edmund Krumbholz, President.

STATEN ISLAND CRICKET AND TENNIS CLUB.—Henry G. Van Vechten, Secretary, Livingston, Staten Island, N. Y.

STATEN ISLAND LADIES' CLUB.—Maria A. Irving, Secretary, Henderson Avenue, New Brighton, Staten Island.



**SWIMMING CLUB, BAR HARBOR, MAINE.**—A. Pinchot, Chairman Tennis Committee, 17 East 54th Street, New York City.

**TACOMA LAWN TENNIS CLUB.**—Miss Jessie Kershaw, Secretary, 410 North I Street, Tacoma, Wash.

**TRENTON COUNTRY CLUB, TRENTON, N. J.**—L. C. Taylor, Secretary, care John A. Roebling Sons, Trenton, N. J.

**WANNAMOISSETT COUNTRY CLUB.**—E. S. White, Secretary, Box 291, Providence, R. I.

**WEST SIDE LAWN TENNIS CLUB.**—T. C. Trask, Secretary, 155 West 65th Street, New York City.

**WESTCHESTER COUNTRY CLUB.**—George T. Adey, Chairman Tennis Committee, Westchester, N. Y.

**WILMINGTON COUNTRY CLUB, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.**—Peter T. Wright, Chairman Tennis Committee, 704 West Street.

**YAHNUNDASIS GOLF CLUB, UTICA, N. Y.**—E. F. Torrey, Jr., Chairman Tennis Committee, Clinton, Oneida County, N. Y.

**YORK COUNTRY CLUB, YORK HARBOR, MAINE.**—C. E. Hubbard, President, 28 State Street, Boston, Mass.

## CLASS 2.

**BOWDOIN COLLEGE INTERSCHOLASTIC LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—Secretary, Brunswick, Me.

**COLUMBIA INTERSCHOLASTIC LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—Address, Secretary, Columbia University, New York City.

**EAST JERSEY LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—11 clubs, M. B. Collins Edgar, Secretary, 27 William Street, New York City.

**HARVARD INTERSCHOLASTIC LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—Address, Secretary, Harvard College, Cambridge, Mass.

**HUDSON RIVER LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—W. A. Warnock, President, Tarrytown, N. Y.

**INTERCOLLEGIATE LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—8 colleges, H. Bartol Register, Secretary, Haverford, Pa.

**INTER-MOUNTAIN LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—10 clubs, R. W. Salisbury, Secretary, Salt Lake City, Utah.

**NEW ENGLAND INTERCOLLEGIATE LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—10 colleges, J. Seymour Nicholl, Secretary, 111 Gainsboro Street, Boston, Mass.

**OHIO LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—6 clubs, Geo. De Camp, Secretary, 35 East Third Street, Cincinnati, O.

**PACIFIC STATES LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—Sumner Hardy, President, 408 Market Street, San Francisco, Cal.

**PRINCETON INTERSCHOLASTIC LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—Address, Secretary, Princeton University, Princeton, N. J.

**SOUTHERN LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—15 clubs, L. D. Scott, Secretary, 36 East North Avenue, Atlanta, Ga.

**WESTERN LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—9 clubs, L. H. Waidner, Secretary, 110 Quincy Street, Chicago, Ill.

**YALE INTERSCHOLASTIC LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—Address, Secretary, Yale University, New Haven, Conn.

**UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA INTERSCHOLASTIC LAWN TENNIS ASSOCIATION.**—Address, Secretary University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa.


## What to Use for Lawn Tennis

Lawn Tennis requires for the player, a racket that fits him. This is true to a greater extent than is generally supposed, and as much care should be taken in selecting a Tennis racket, as one would take in picking out a suit of clothes to be properly fitted. The weight and balance of the racket has a great deal to do with the playing of Lawn Tennis. Good players realize this, and when they get a racket that just suits them, they never part with it until it is worn out. Now, this fact of the importance of selecting Lawn Tennis Rackets properly is what brings to the Spalding stores every season, many players who wish to go through the stock, and select themselves, rackets that are right, according to their ideas, and they should know best, as they have to use the rackets themselves. At a Spalding store, the purchaser has all the advantages that is made possible by a large, well assorted stock of first-class Lawn Tennis goods. Rackets from the lowest price to the highest in quality are carried in stock at all Spalding stores in every weight required. Players are certain to be satisfied, and it does not take them long to pick out a racket from the stock in a Spalding store that they would not part with afterward for any amount.

In Lawn Tennis, the social status of the players is more nearly equal than in many other athletic games, but the playing ability covers a very wide range and the Spalding line of Tennis rackets is regulated to conform to just this great diversity in the ability of the players, providing rackets that are suitable for the use of the novice, some that are just right for the ordinary player, and special styles built for the expert, and used by most of the best players in this country, and also by many of the experts abroad.

As the weight and balance of the tennis racket has so much to do with the quality of play, the player himself really should select his own racket, and in doing so the best rule to follow, is to pick out a racket that feels good to handle, not heavy enough to appear clumsy, nor light enough to make fast service an impossibility. For the man of ordinary strength, 14 or 14½ ounces will generally suit, seldom heavier than this, and very rarely lighter. The style of handle generally preferred is the combed cedar, which is just rough enough to give a good grip, and it is in this style that all of the Spalding Lawn Tennis Rackets are made up regularly.

The nearest approach to perfection in the Tennis racket will be found in the Spalding Hackett & Alexander and Gold Medal styles, and made just as well as it is possible to manufacture a Tennis racket. Spalding guarantees these rackets more fully than any other style on the market, and does so because of the great confidence they have in the quality of the rackets. They will stand up and give good service in the hardest kind of play. The dogwood insertion and strips in the shoulders has been put in, after trying almost every kind of wood for this purpose. It is far superior to cane or other material for insertion. The Hackett & Alexander and Gold Medal Rackets are furnished in two sizes of handle, 5 and 5¼ inches in circumference. Stringing is of the clearest and absolutely best quality lamb's gut. Each racket is enclosed in special quality mackintosh cover, and for the protection of the purchaser, to each genuine Spalding Hackett & Alexander and Gold Medal Racket a tag is attached giving the particulars of the special inspection to which all of these rackets are subjected at the Spalding factory, and specifying the special guarantee which this racket bears. The Guarantee given by Spalding on these rackets reads as follows:



### The Spalding Gold Medal


#### GUARANTEE.

We have endeavored to make this Spalding Gold Medal Racket the most perfect Tennis Racket human ingenuity and skill can produce. We guarantee to each purchaser of the Spalding Gold Medal Racket to which this tag is attached, that it will give satisfaction and a reasonable amount of service, when used solely for Lawn Tennis playing, and under ordinary conditions, and fair treatment. If this Racket proves defective in workmanship or material during the current year, please return with this tag attached, transportation charges prepaid to any Spalding store or to the dealer from whom it was purchased, and the defect will be rectified. Imperfectly strung Rackets will be restrung, and in the event of a broken frame due to workmanship or defective material, the Racket will be replaced. We urge that at the conclusion of play this Racket be rubbed dry, and when not in use be covered with a waterproof cover placed in a racket press and the gut occasionally varnished.

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

The price of the Hackett & Alexander and Gold Medal Rackets is \$8.00, complete with mackintosh cover.

For the expert player who desires a racket that is absolutely right in every particular, Spalding puts out the "Wimbledon Racket," No. W. This is made on the new Spalding "oval" shape, which has already proven popular with some of the best expert players in this country. The central portion of the racket has extra stringing, and the manner in which this additional stringing is put in is scientifically correct. It is not made with a few extra strings slipped in without any regard as to their relation to the balance of the stringing. This has all been figured out carefully. The shape of the racket in its bearing on the stringing has been calculated to a



Hackett &amp; Alexander

Wimbledon

nicety and it is believed to be the most effective style of any on the market. Two different sizes of handles are furnished in this racket, 5 and 5¼ inches in circumference, shaped especially by hand. The racket is strung with the finest quality lamb's gut, and the finish is really superb. The price of the No. W "Wimbledon" Racket is \$7.00 each, and the Guarantee is as follows:

#### GUARANTEE.


If this Racket proves defective in workmanship or material during the current year, return with this tag to any of our stores, or to the dealer from whom it was purchased, and the defect will be rectified. Imperfectly strung Rackets will be restrung, and in the event of a broken frame, where the fault is ours, the Racket will be replaced.

A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

A racket that forced its way into popularity, and that is used by many of the expert players in this country, is the Spalding "Model DH," modeled after the style racket used exclusively by some of the greatest players in the world. This racket also has additional strings in the central portion. It is best quality throughout, and is an exceedingly satisfactory racket for all-around play. The price of this "Model DH" Racket is \$5.00 each.

To satisfy the demand for a racket the same shape as the Gold Medal style, but somewhat less in price, Spalding includes in their line the "Model GX" of first-class quality throughout, with taped shoulders and strung with best lamb's gut. The price of the No. "GX" Racket is \$5.00 each.





Model GX    Model DH    Tournament    Slocum

A racket that is one of the most consistent in its popularity with the great bulk of Lawn Tennis players, is the Spalding "Tournament" No. 11. This racket is well made of good quality material throughout. It has taped shoulders, and is strung with good quality lamb's gut. The price of the No. 11 "Tournament" Racket is \$4.00 each.

Back in the old days, before the recent revival of Lawn Tennis, the most popular racket was the Spalding "Slocum," and during all the years since that time, players requiring an absolutely dependable racket have turned to the "Slocum" and have been satisfied with their choice. For the present season, Spalding has improved this racket somewhat by building it on the popular "oval" shape, with additional stringing in the central portion. It is really a very superior racket at a moderate price. The Spalding "Slocum," No. 8, sells for \$3.50.

With the increase in the number of younger players, who require a racket modeled on the expert style, Spalding has added to their line a new racket known as "The Oval." This is made in the "oval" shape, made popular during the past two seasons by the best players in this country, and has extra stringing in the central portion. The stringing is of good quality oriental gut, and the racket is well made throughout. "The Spalding Oval," No. 7, Racket costs \$2.50 each.

Most players are naturally interested in the rackets that are suitable particularly for the ordinary player. They want a racket that will stand up under ordinary conditions, and it is to satisfy this demand, that the four standard rackets referred to herewith are built so well by Spalding, and are put out at prices that are within the reach of even those in the most moderate circumstances. These are the "Lakeside," No. 5, at \$3.00 each; the "Greenwood," No. 4, at \$2.00 each, and the "Geneva," No. 3, at \$1.50 each.



It is well for Lawn Tennis players to understand that manufacturers, owing to the nature of the material of which Tennis rackets are made, cannot guarantee any rackets weighing less than 13 ounces. The Spalding line of Tennis rackets are guaranteed during the playing season in which they are purchased, provided they are not less than 13 ounces in weight, and any racket 13 ounces or over, that gives out from defect in material or workmanship during the season purchased will be repaired or replaced without charge, provided it is returned to a Spalding store, prepaid, with name and address of sender marked on same and a letter written explaining the trouble.

Lawn Tennis players should also understand that a Tennis racket is susceptible to atmospheric changes and conditions, and the very finest gut will give out occasionally through no fault of material or workmanship, and such cases are not within the scope of the guarantee. A racket should always, when not in use, be covered with a waterproof cover, placed in a racket press, and kept in a cool, dry room, and it is also a wise precaution to varnish the gut occasionally. In caring for your racket, do not stop with your attention when you have looked after the stringing. Remember that dry heat will warp the frame, and endeavor to avoid extremes of temperature in the room or closet where you keep your racket, and if play is continued in the evening until the dew is on the ground, or if the court is near the sea, it is well to rub the moisture off the stringing with a cloth before putting the racket away in the case.

The best racket case to use is the Spalding No. 5 for single racket. This is made of stiff leather and costs \$5.00 each. The same style, but made for two rackets, is the Spalding No. 7, which costs \$7.00 each. A good quality moleskin cover, No. 2, costs \$1.00 each. Spalding also furnishes a soft felt cover, No. 1, for 50 cents, and a canvas cover, No. 0, for 35 cents. All of these will fit full sized rackets.

It is false economy to attempt to do without a racket press, for in one season it will save you in racket repairs many times its own cost. The most effective press in use to-day is the Spalding style with four sides of unequal length. These are made in two styles: No. 1R, for one racket, costs \$1.00 each, and No. 5R, for either one or two rackets, costs \$2.50 each. This latter is of superior quality, and is made of finely polished walnut with brass fittings.

Some players like a rubber cover for the racket handle, to secure a better grip. Spalding furnishes a pure gum handle cover with corrugated surface, known as No. 3, for 50 cents each, and for players who wish to wind tape around the handle, Spalding supplies the best quality adhesive tape, made up especially for this purpose in rolls one inch wide and four feet long, for 10 cents.

As it is not always convenient to purchase suitable varnish for going over the racket stringing just when it is needed, Spalding has had some special quality varnish, which has been tested throughout, put up in two ounce bottles, and furnished complete with a good brush, for 25 cents.

After a racket has been used for a season or so, a necessity will very likely exist for restringing, and this should always be done in a proper manner, and it really pays in the end to have some reliable firm do the work, for an inexperienced person can put the racket out of shape very easily and render it entirely unfit for use, whereas when the restringing is done by an expert, the racket in many cases is really improved in shape after going through their hands rather than otherwise. Rackets may be sent to Spalding for restringing with the certainty that the work will be done by the most expert restringers, and none but the best quality gut used. When sending rackets to be restrung, be sure to prepay charges on package, and mark with your name and address, and at the same time write to

Spalding, under separate cover, giving full instructions for restringing, and for the return of the racket. The three qualities of stringing with which Spalding does this work cost, for No. 1, oriental gut, white only, \$1.00; for No. 2, lamb's gut, white only, \$1.50, and for No. 3, best lamb's gut, white only, \$2.50; and No. 4, expert, white only, \$3.50.

### ABOUT LAWN TENNIS BALLS.



There is so much to be said on this subject that it is hard to tell where to commence, but the point that is of most importance to the ordinary player is as to the durability of the ball, and this particular is well covered in the Lawn Tennis Balls supplied by Spalding. To no other article that they manufacture have they given any greater attention than to their line of Lawn Tennis Balls, and Spalding Balls are becoming more popular each season as they become better known. Spalding claims superiority for the Spalding Championship Ball No. 00 over any ball on the market, and the uniform satisfaction that this ball has given where-



ever it has been used has confirmed their claims that in this ball they have achieved the results that Tennis ball manufacturers have been striving for so long—that is: "*Perfect Inflation, Perfect Covering and Perfect Sewing.*" The price of the Spalding "Championship Tennis Ball," No. 00, is \$4.00 a dozen.

Spalding also furnishes a "Tournament" Tennis Ball, No. 0, for \$3.00 per dozen. This ball is uniform in quality, and is carefully constructed throughout. It is regulation size and weight, and has a fine felt cover.

Although everyone who wishes to play the game may not have a place to play, it is generally possible to find a plot of ground which can be arranged suitably, and the expense of improving same and keeping it in good order can be borne by a small circle among those of your friends who wish to play. If this cannot be done, the would-be-player can generally obtain admission to some already organized club, while in some of the larger cities, grounds are let out by the hour, so that the problem of where to play need not bother an aspirant for honors on the Tennis court if he makes an effort at all to obtain the information. Presuming that you have found a suitable plot of ground and have had it worked up to a point where it can be used for a Tennis court, then the next matter to consider is the equipment that will be necessary to fit it up for playing.

The poles must be purchased first. They are made in a number of styles, and the prices range from \$1.00 to \$20.00 per pair. Most decidedly the Spalding Championship, No. A. Posts, which cost \$20.00 per pair, are worth every penny of the price. The uprights are made of heavy two-inch japanned iron piping, pointed at the lower

## Spalding Tennis Posts



end, so as to go 24 inches into the ground, and equipped with wheel at top to have top rope run easily. A strong ratchet of an improved style, and neat in appearance, for tightening the rope, is attached to the post. The most desirable thing in this style of post is the method of insuring absolute rigidity when the net is in position. This is done by means of triple claw clutches, made of heavy wrought iron, which insure against the possibility of any shifting or shaking after same has been placed in position. This style of post is the same as that used at the Newport Casino and at the leading tennis clubs of this country.

A new device in posts this season is the Spalding "Auto-Loc" Tennis Posts, fitted with patented "Auto-Loc" Tightening Ratchet. These are absolutely the highest grade wooden posts made. We recommend them especially for private courts or wherever it is desirable to remove posts after play is finished. The "Auto-Loc" tightening ratchet is a patented device which permits the closest adjustment in tightening and regulating the height of the net, and locks automatically and instantly as soon as released.

The spade-shaped iron sockets with which these posts are equipped are driven into the ground in the proper position for the posts, which are fitted with iron bases terminating in square iron extensions which fit exactly the sockets in the spade bases and keep the posts absolutely rigid when placed in position. When posts are removed from sockets there is no projecting obstruction, and we furnish a block of wood to be inserted in the hole in each socket as soon as the posts are taken up. We also furnish hooks with handles for removing sockets from ground whenever it may be necessary to do so.

The "Auto-Loc" Posts cost \$18.00 per pair.

The Spalding Posts, No. B, are made of best quality 2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch square ash, nicely polished and varnished, equipped with japanned braces, so as to make posts absolutely rigid, and when set in position they extend 30 inches into the ground, which, with the braces, insure rigidity. The ratchet on these posts is made of heavy brass, presents a handsome appearance, and it is of great strength and durability. The Spalding Club Posts, No. B, cost \$10.00 per pair.


A style that is absolutely first-class and one that is steadily increasing in popularity is the Spalding Casino Post, No. C, which costs \$7.50 per pair. They are extra heavy wood posts, painted red and nicely varnished and striped. A pulley wheel is at the top of each post to take the top cord of net, and they are fitted with reel of improved pattern to tighten net, and extra heavy japanned iron brackets to steady posts which extend 30 inches into the ground when set up.

The Spalding No. D. Posts are made similar in style to No. C, except that they have no reel and they are made of somewhat different material, lessening their cost to \$4.00 per pair. None of the above styles of posts require guy ropes or pegs. The No. E Posts cost \$2.00 per pair. They are well made solid and spiked and are packed complete with guy ropes and pegs. No. F Solid Posts cost \$1.00 per pair.


Now, as to the net. This will be selected according to whether you have a double or single court to fit out. Hand made nets are recommended on account of their greater durability, and more satisfactory quality. It is only right to expect that a hand-made article will be more carefully constructed than one that is put through a machine, and the men who have been knitting Spalding Tennis Nets for twenty years past are of the old reliable type of faithful workmen, and their product bears witness to the care that they take in making up these nets. All of the nets are made full measure, both in length and width, and the quality of twine and canvas binding used is first-class.



SPALDING'S LAWN TENNIS ANNUAL.



PATENT DOUBLE CENTRE NETS (HAND MADE)  
With No. A Posts and No. 3-0 Centre Strap



CANVAS BOUND NETS (HAND MADE)  
With No. C Posts and No. 2-0 Centre Strap



For those who wish a good appearing net, and one that will wear well and always be satisfactory, we recommend the double center hand-made nets in 21 thread, made of white twine. These are: No. 2D, 36 feet long with 11 feet double center, price \$6.50; No. 3D, length 42 feet and 13 feet of double center, price \$7.00. Of same quality as above, but made of twine that is dyed black with fast coloring matter, and by special process, which adds to its durability, Spalding furnishes the No. 2-0 Net, 36 feet with 11 feet of double center, price \$7.50, and No. 3-0 Net, 42 feet with 13 feet of double center, price \$8.00. Slightly lighter nets, but of the same quality, are: No. 2C, of 15 thread, white, length 36 feet, with 11 feet of double center, price \$6.00; No. 3C, 15 thread, white, length 42 feet, with 13 feet of double center, price \$6.50. Spalding also furnishes in black twine nets two special styles made of 30 thread twine, single center. These have been used by some of the best clubs, and have been found particularly satisfactory. They are: No. 4-0, length 36 feet, price \$7.50, and No. 5-0, length 42 feet, price \$8.00.

In some of the best clubs they use a special top cord, discarding the ordinary rope and inserting instead a one-quarter-inch galvanized steel cable, consisting of five strands of seven wires, each twisted tightly, but very pliable. Spalding furnishes these lengths of 50 feet at \$3.50 each.

Very popular styles of high grade nets are the Spalding "Inter-collegiate," made with extra wide canvas strip at the top, same style and quality as used at Newport and at all championship events. These are: No. 3E, length 42 feet, 21 thread, white, price \$6.00, and No. 2E, length 36 feet, 21 thread, white, price \$5.50. The cheapest hand made nets, with top bound with heavy 2-inch canvas strip, are: No. 3B, length 42 feet, 21 thread, white twine, price \$5.50, and No. 2B, length 36 feet, 21 thread, white, price \$5.00.

Of machine made nets Spalding supplies a full line. The best are the No. 3A, length 42 feet, 15 thread twine, top bound with heavy two-inch canvas strip, price \$2.50, and a similar net, except 36 feet long, No. 2A, price \$2.25. Of the machine-made nets without canvas binding, No. 3, 42 feet long, of 15 thread white twine, and bound with heavy cotton rope, is the most satisfactory style, price \$1.75; No. 2, 36 feet long, also of 15 thread twine, price \$1.50 each, and No. 1, 27 feet long, 12 thread twine \$1.00 each.

To keep the center of the net at the required height, it is necessary to use a device of some character and a canvas center strap is what is being used by the best clubs. Spalding furnishes two styles, No. 3-0 Tournament pattern, fitted with a turnbuckle, with which height of net can be adjusted to a hair, price \$1.25, and No. 2-0, without the turnbuckle arrangement, \$1.00 each. Spalding also supplies iron center forks, No. 2, at \$1.00 each.

It is well to have a set of marking plates for permanently marking the angles of the court. They are sunk flush with the ground and must not, under any circumstances, protrude above. Spalding furnishes two styles: No. 1, with separate pins, price \$1.00 per set, and No. 2, with wedge shaped pins attached, price \$1.50 per set. Marking tapes will be found very convenient when one has to mark out the court himself. Full directions for laying out the court are given with each set, and a diagram of the court is shown on the cover of the box in which the tapes are packed. No. 3 tapes, for single courts, complete with 100 pins and staples, cost \$4.00 per set; No. 4, double court, complete with 200 staples and 14 pins, costs \$4.50 per set, and No. 6, also for double court, made of extra quality canvas, complete with 200 staples and 14 pins, cost \$7.00 per set. Extra staples for the tapes cost 50 cents per hundred. In each of the above sets the tapes are cut in proper lengths for each line of

the Tennis court, and the staples and pins furnished are sufficient to secure the tapes by using them at frequent intervals.

Backstop nets are necessary in order to complete the equipment of the Lawn Tennis court. Also poles of suitable height. Spalding's No. BS Backstop Net Poles cost \$1.25 each, and the No. 4 Backstop Net, 50 feet long and 7 feet high and made of 9 thread twine, cost \$2.50; No. 5, also 50 feet long, 8 feet high and of 12 thread twine, cost \$3.50 each. A similar net to No. 5, but made of tarred twine, is No. 5X, 50 feet long and 8 feet high, price \$4.00.

For the same reason that it is advisable to move the posts from time to time on a grass court, in order to save the turf it will also be necessary to have some arrangement for making the lines afresh as they are rubbed or washed away. The Spalding dry marker No. 3 costs \$2.00 each, and can be used on a dirt court, with marble dust, slackened lime, etc. The No. 2 Dry Marker, similar in style to No. 3, but not as well made, costs \$1.00. Of wet markers, Spalding furnishes the old reliable style known as the Columbia, No. 1, for \$5.00, and the new style "Duplex," operated with rubber belt, for \$3.50 each.

The Spalding "Wet Spray" Marker is simple, but effective. The flow of liquid is under instant control from handle. It makes an even line of uniform width. No ribbon required; liquid flows directly on wheel. Each, \$5.00.


As with the equipment for all other athletic sports, the most complete line of Lawn Tennis goods is found in the special catalogue issued by A. G. Spalding & Bros., covering their Lawn Tennis line. It is only necessary to ask for a Lawn Tennis Catalogue, and a copy will be sent free to any address. Spalding catalogues may be obtained by addressing any of the branch stores located in the following cities:

New York City—124 Nassau Street and 29 West 42d Street. Philadelphia—1013 Filbert Street. Boston, Mass.—73 Federal Street. Baltimore, Md.—208 East Baltimore Street. Washington—709 14th Street, N. W. Pittsburg, Pa.—439 Wood Street. Buffalo, N. Y.—611 Main Street. Syracuse, N. Y.—University Block. Chicago—147 Wabash Avenue. St. Louis, Mo.—710 Pine Street. Cincinnati—Fountain Square, 27 East Fifth Street. Kansas City, Mo.—1111 Walnut Street. Minneapolis—39 Sixth Street, South. New Orleans, La.—140 Carondelet Street. Cleveland, Ohio—741 Euclid Avenue. Detroit, Mich.—254 Woodward Avenue. Denver, Col.—1616 Arapahoe Street. San Francisco, Cal.—134 Geary Street. Montreal, Can.—443 St. James Street. London, England—53 Fetter Lane.

**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**



**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**



## THE SPALDING GOLD MEDAL RACKET

with rackets of unreliable quality, and a number of players using the Gold Medal Racket. We use a dogwood insertion in shoulders, after proving to our satisfaction, by experience, that it is far superior to cane or other material for the purpose.

The difference between Styles A and B is in the additional strings reinforcing the central portion of the latter. Handles 5 and 5½ inches in circumference. Stringing of clearest and absolutely best quality lambs' gut. Tag attached to each racket, giving particulars of special inspection. Each racket enclosed in special quality mackintosh cover.

Accompanied by the broadest guarantee ever given on an article of this kind, is rapidly becoming recognized as the really universal style for championship tournament play, being as popular on English and Continental tennis courts as in America. Our care in designing the racket at first, and then in making certain that every detail of manufacture is absolutely best quality, has brought to us players who were dissatisfied

### GUARANTEE

We have endeavored to make this Spalding Gold Medal Racket the most perfect Tennis Racket human ingenuity and skill can produce. We guarantee to each purchaser of the Spalding Gold Medal Racket to which this tag is attached, that it will give satisfaction and a reasonable amount of service, when used solely for Lawn Tennis playing, and under ordinary conditions, and fair treatment. If this Racket proves defective in workmanship or material during the current year, please return with this tag attached, transportation charges prepaid, to any Spalding Store or to the Dealer from whom it was purchased, and the defect will be rectified. Imperfectly strung Rackets will be restrung, and in the event of a broken frame due to workmanship or defective material, the Racket will be replaced. We urge that at the conclusion of play this racket be rubbed dry, and when not in use be covered with a waterproof cover, placed in a racket press and the gut occasionally varnished.

**No. GM. Either Style A or Style B Stringing. Each, \$8.00**

**Montreal  
Canada**

**New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston**

Communications addressed to  
**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.

For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

**Pittsburg  
Baltimore**

**Philadelphia  
Washington**

**New Orleans  
Kansas City**

**Cleveland  
Cincinnati**

**San Francisco  
Minneapolis**

**London  
England**

**Chicago  
Detroit  
St. Louis  
Denver**


*Prices in effect January 6, 1903. Subject to change without notice.*

**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**



**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**

REG. U. S. PAT. OFF.



### Spalding <sup>Perfect</sup> Hackett & Alexander Oval Model Racket No. OGM

Made in the Spalding "Perfect Oval" model with walnut throat piece and dogwood reinforcement. We claim that this is a scientifically perfect lawn tennis racket, and the experience of two of the most successful of last season's players who used this racket in their most important matches goes far to prove the truth of our assertion. Handles 5 and 5½ inches in circumference. Stringing of clearest and absolutely best quality lambs' gut. Each enclosed in special quality mackintosh cover.

**GUARANTEE.**—We guarantee to each purchaser of the Hackett & Alexander Racket to which this tag is attached, that it will give satisfaction and a reasonable amount of service, when used solely for Lawn Tennis playing, and under ordinary conditions, and fair treatment. If this Racket proves defective in workmanship or material during the current year, please return with this tag attached, transportation charges prepaid, to any Spalding Store or to the Dealer from whom it was purchased, and the defect will be rectified. Imperfectly strung Rackets will be restrung, and in the event of a broken frame, due to workmanship or defective material, the Racket will be replaced. A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

No. OGM. HACKETT & ALEXANDER RACKET.  
Each, **\$8.00**

### Spalding <sup>Special</sup> Wimbledon Strung Model Racket No. W

Strung with clearest and absolutely best quality lambs' gut. Handles 5 and 5½ inches in circumference, shaped specially by hand. This racket is used by one of the most prominent international players, and its popularity the very first season it has been on the market proves that it is made according to correct principles. It is built particularly for the expert player, who must have a racket that he can depend upon, especially during a tight game, and it is this class of players who have brought the Spalding Wimbledon into such prominence during the past season.

**GUARANTEE.**—If this racket proves defective in workmanship or material during the current year, return with this tag to any of our stores, or to the dealer from whom it was purchased, and the defect will be rectified. Imperfectly strung rackets will be restrung, and in the event of a broken frame, where the fault is ours, the racket will be replaced. A. G. SPALDING & BROS.

No. W. WIMBLEDON RACKET. Each, **\$7.00**

**Montreal  
Canada**

**New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston**

Communications addressed to

**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.

For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

**Pittsburg  
Baltimore**

**Philadelphia  
Washington**

**New Orleans  
Kansas City**

**Cleveland  
Cincinnati**

**San Francisco  
Minneapolis**

**London  
England**

**Chicago  
Detroit  
St. Louis  
Denver**

*Prices in effect January 6, 1903. Subject to change without notice.*




**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**



**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**

REG. U. S. PAT. OFF.



No. GX

No. DH

No. 11

No. 8

# The SPALDING Tennis Rackets

The good points in the following rackets can be vouched for by some of the most successful of last season's players. In all of them quality of material and workmanship is superb and perfect balance assured. Each frame made of finest white ash, highly polished; combed Spanish cedar handle, leather capped.

**Model GX.** Gold Medal shape. Taped shoulders; strung with best lambs' gut. This racket is equal to the best of any other make. Each, **\$5.00**

**Model DH.** Hand made throughout and strung with special quality lambs' gut. Modeled after style racket used exclusively by some of the greatest players in the world. Made with extra stringing in central portion of racket. Each, **\$5.00**

**No. 11. The Tournament.** Taped shoulders; strung with good quality lambs' gut. A very popular model. Each, **\$4.00**

**No. 8. The Slocum.** Oval shape, good quality frame, strung with special Oriental gut. A very superior racket at a moderate price. Made with extra stringing in central portion of racket. Each, **\$3.50**



## GUARANTEE

We guarantee to each purchaser of a Tennis Racket bearing the Spalding Trade-Mark that it will give satisfaction and a reasonable amount of service, when used solely for Lawn Tennis playing, and under ordinary conditions, and fair treatment. If this Racket proves defective in workmanship or material during the current year, please return, transportation charges prepaid, to any Spalding Store or to the Dealer from whom it was purchased, and the defect will be rectified. Imperfectly strung Rackets will be restrung, and in the event of a broken frame due to workmanship or defective material, the Racket will be replaced.

We urge that at the conclusion of play this Racket be rubbed dry, and when not in use be covered with a Waterproof Cover, placed in a Racket Press, and the gut occasionally varnished.

We will supply, if required, but cannot guarantee, any rackets weighing less than thirteen ounces.

**Montreal  
Canada**

**New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston**

Communications addressed to  
**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.

For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

**Pittsburg  
Baltimore**

**Philadelphia  
Washington**

**New Orleans  
Kansas City**

**Cleveland  
Cincinnati**

**San Francisco  
Minneapolis**

**London  
England**

**Chicago  
Detroit  
St. Louis  
Denver**

*Prices in effect January 6, 1908. Subject to change without notice.*



**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**



**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**

REG. U. S. PAT. OFF.



## The SPALDING Tennis Rackets

**No. 7. The Oval.** Oval shape, good quality white ash frame, strung with special Oriental gut. Made with extra stringing in central portion of racket. Each, **\$2.50**

The following rackets are all excellent quality. Frames of the finest selected white ash, highly polished, with combed Spanish cedar handle, leather capped; stringing of good quality Oriental gut.

**No. 5. The Lakeside.** . . . Each, **\$3.00**  
**No. 4. The Greenwood.** . . . " **2.00**  
**No. 3. The Geneva.** . . . " **1.50**



### GUARANTEE

We guarantee to each purchaser of a Tennis Racket bearing the Spalding Trade-Mark that it will give satisfaction and a reasonable amount of service, when used solely for Lawn Tennis playing, and under ordinary conditions, and fair treatment. If this Racket proves defective in workmanship or material during the current year, please return, transportation charges prepaid, to any Spalding Store or to the Dealer from whom it was purchased, and the defect will be rectified. Imperfectly strung Rackets will be restrung, and in the event of a broken frame due to workmanship or defective material, the Racket will be replaced.

We urge that at the conclusion of play this Racket be rubbed dry, and when not in use be covered with a Waterproof Cover, placed in a Racket Press, and the gut occasionally varnished.

We will supply, if required, but cannot guarantee, any racket weighing less than thirteen ounces.

**Montreal  
Canada**

**New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston**

Communications addressed to

**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.

For street numbers see Inside front cover of this book.

**Pittsburg  
Baltimore**

**Philadelphia  
Washington**

**New Orleans  
Kansas City**

**Cleveland  
Cincinnati**

**San Francisco  
Minneapolis**

**London  
England**

**Chicago  
Detroit  
Louis  
Denver**

*Prices in effect January 6, 1908. Subject to change without notice.*

**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**



**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**

# Spalding Championship Tennis Ball



**Perfect Inflation & Perfect Covering & Perfect Sewing**

**O**N the record made by the Spalding Championship Tennis Ball so far we are willing to base our claims for superiority, and wherever the ball is used, either in a tournament or regular play, we are certain our judgment will be confirmed. Absolutely best in every particular of manufacture and made by people who have been in our employ, many of them, for twenty years and over, we place the Spalding Championship Tennis Ball before the most critical clientele in the athletic world with perfect confidence that it will give absolute satisfaction. **No. 00.** Per dozen, **\$4.00**

**Wright & Ditson  
Championship Tennis Ball**



**No. 5.** So well known that comment as to its qualities is unnecessary. Per dozen, **\$5.00**

**Tournament Tennis Ball**



**No. 0.** This ball is well made for practice; has felt cover and is full size. Per dozen, **\$3.00**

**Montreal  
Canada**

**New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston**

Communications addressed to

**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.

For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

**Pittsburg  
Baltimore**

**Philadelphia  
Washington**

**New Orleans  
Kansas City**

**Cleveland  
Cincinnati**

**San Francisco  
Minneapolis**

**London  
England**

**Chicago  
Detroit  
St. Louis  
Denver**

*Prices in effect January 6, 1908. Subject to change without notice.*

**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**




**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**

## SPALDING HAND MADE NETS

We recommend most strongly our hand made nets. Quality of material and workmanship is absolutely the best, and they are well worth many times the additional cost over the ordinary machine made styles on account of their greater durability. If posts are placed just 42 or 36 feet apart, nets will fit exactly when drawn taut.

**Spalding Patent Double Center Nets (Hand Made)**

Patented December 4, 1898  
Patented April 9, 1899



Cut shows Double Center Net with No. A Posts and No. 3-0 Center Strap.

These have double twine knitted together from 11 to 13 feet, according to the size of the net. Will outlast two or more ordinary nets.

*White, 21 Thread, Double Court.*

No. 2D. Length 36 ft., double center 11 ft. **\$6.50**

No. 3D. Length 42 ft., double center 13 ft. **7.00**


*White, 15 Thread, Double Court.*

No. 2C. Length 36 ft., double center 11 ft. **\$6.00**

No. 3C. Length 42 ft., double center 13 ft. **6.50**

**Spalding Canvas Bound Nets (Hand Made)**

We supply lengths of 1-in. galvanized steel cable, consisting of five strands of seven wires each, twisted tightly, but very pliable, to be used instead of regular manila top rope, arranged with metal loop at each end and loop-spliced manila rope to fasten to posts. This is the most satisfactory and durable style of top rope made and is used on the courts of the most prominent clubs in this country. Each, **\$3.50**



Cut shows Canvas Bound Net with No. C Posts and No. 2-0 Center Strap.

### SPALDING INTERCOLLEGIATE NETS

Extra wide canvas strip at top, same style and quality as used at Newport and all championship events.

No. 3E. Double Court, 42 feet, 21 thread, hand made. Each, **\$6.00**

No. 2E. Double Court, 36 feet, 21 thread, hand made. Each, **\$5.50**

### SPALDING CANVAS BOUND NETS.

Top bound with heavy 2-inch canvas strip.

No. 3B. Double Court, hand made, 42 feet, 21 thread, white. Each, **\$5.50**

No. 2B. Double Court, hand made, 36 feet, 21 thread, white. Each, **\$5.00**

**Montreal  
Canada**

**New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston**

**Pittsburg  
Baltimore**

**Philadelphia  
Washington**

**New Orleans  
Kansas City**

**Cleveland  
Cincinnati**

**San Francisco  
Minneapolis**

**London  
England**

**Chicago  
Detroit  
St. Louis  
Denver**

**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.

For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

Prices in effect January 6, 1908. Subject to change without notice.



**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**




**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**

## SPALDING CLUB NETS BLACK TWINE

Black nets supplied by us were used in some of the most important tournaments last season and gave perfect satisfaction. Players appreciate the advantage which a black net gives in showing out the white ball more distinctly while playing. Nets are dyed with fast coloring matter and by a special process which adds to their durability. Bound at top with a doubled band of 8 ounce white duck, 3 inches wide, and equipped with heavy tarred manila ropes at top and bottom.

### Spalding Club Nets—Black Twine (Hand Made)



Cut shows Club Net with No. B Posts and No. 3-0 Center Strap

- |   |               |
|---|---------------|
| No. 2-0. 36 ft., 21 thread, double center 11ft. | <b>\$7.50</b> |
| No. 3-0. 42 ft., 21 thread, double center 13ft. | <b>8.00</b>   |
| No. 4-0. 36 feet, 30 thread, single center.     | <b>7.50</b>   |
| No. 5-0. 42 feet, 30 thread, single center.     | <b>8.00</b>   |

We supply lengths of  $\frac{1}{4}$  in. galvanized steel cable, consisting of five strands of seven wires each, twisted tightly, but very pliable, to be used instead of regular manila top rope, arranged with metal loop at each end and loop-spliced manila rope to fasten to posts. This is the most satisfactory and durable style of top rope made and is used on the courts of the most prominent clubs in this country. . . . Each, **\$3.50**

*Cut Shown on Opposite Page.*

### Spalding Machine Made Nets

*Top and bottom bound with heavy cotton rope—White*


- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| No. 1. Single court, 27 ft., 12 thread. | Each, <b>\$1.00</b> |
| No. 2. Double court, 36 ft., 15 thread. | " <b>1.50</b>       |
| No. 3. Double court, 42 ft., 15 thread. | " <b>1.75</b>       |

*Top bound with heavy 2-inch canvas strip—White*

- |  |                     |
|--|---------------------|
| No. 2A. Double court, 36 ft., 15 thread. | Each, <b>\$2.25</b> |
| No. 3A. Double court, 42 ft., 15 thread. | " <b>2.50</b>       |

### Twine Nets for Backstops

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| No. 4. Machine Made, White. 50 feet long, 7 feet high, 9 thread.    | Each, <b>\$2.50</b> |
| No. 5. Machine Made, White, 50 feet long, 8 feet high, 12 thread.   | Each, <b>\$3.50</b> |
| No. 5X. Tarred, Machine Made, 50 feet long, 8 feet high, 12 thread. | Each, <b>\$4.00</b> |



### Backstop Post

- |                                     |                     |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| No. BS. Backstop Post only; wooden. | Each, <b>\$1.25</b> |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|

### Guy Ropes and Pegs

- |   |             |
|---|-------------|
| No. 3. For use with Backstop Posts; cotton ropes, fancy pegs. For one pair of Posts. Per set, | <b>85c.</b> |
|---|-------------|

**Montreal  
Canada**

**New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston**

**Pittsburg  
Baltimore**

**Philadelphia  
Washington**

**New Orleans  
Kansas City**

**Cleveland  
Cincinnati**

**San Francisco  
Minneapolis**

**London  
England**

**Chicago  
Detroit  
St. Louis  
Denver**

Communications addressed to  
**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.

For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

*Prices in effect January 6, 1908. Subject to change without notice.*

**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**




**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**

## Spalding "Championship" Tennis Posts

This style post is really the most practical ever made and is in use on the courts of the most prominent clubs in this country.

The uprights are made of heavy 2-inch japanned iron piping, pointed at lower end so as to go 24 inches into ground and equipped with wheel at top. Ratchet for tightening net is of an improved style, neat in appearance but with a particularly strong leverage. Iron hooks and cleats for fastening ropes.



One of the special features of this style post, outside of the ratchet, is the method of ensuring absolute rigidity when net is in position. By means of triple claw clutches, made of heavy wrought iron, there can be no shifting or shaking once they are placed in position, and the tighter the net is drawn the more rigid the posts become.

No. A. . Per pair, **\$20.00**


## Spalding "Auto-Loc" Tennis Posts

**Fitted with Patented "Auto-Loc"  
Tightening Ratchet**

These are absolutely the highest grade wooden posts made. We recommend them especially for private courts or wherever it is desirable to remove posts after play is finished. The "Auto-Loc" tightening ratchet is a patented device which permits the closest adjustment in tightening and regulating the height of the net, and locks automatically and instantly as soon as released.

The spade-shaped iron sockets with which these posts are equipped are driven into the ground in the proper position for the posts, which are fitted with iron bases terminating in square iron extensions which fit exactly the sockets in the spade bases and keep the posts absolutely rigid when placed in position.

When posts are removed from sockets there is no projecting obstruction, and we furnish a block of wood to be inserted in the hole in each socket as soon as the posts are taken up. We also furnish hooks with handles for removing sockets from ground whenever it may be necessary to do so.



No. AL. Pair, **\$18.00**

## The Spalding "Club" Tennis Posts

To ensure absolute rigidity, a prime requisite in a tennis post, it is really necessary that some part of the post itself should go into the ground. Our "Club" Tennis posts are made on this principle and of best quality 2 1/2-inch square ash, nicely polished and varnished, equipped with japanned braces so as to make posts absolutely



rigid, and when set in position the posts extend 30 inches into the ground.

We call particular attention to the extra heavy brass ratchet with which we equip this style post. It is made after an English design and is the same as supplied by our London House to some of the best clubs in Great Britain.

No. B.

Per pair, **\$10.00**

**Montreal  
Canada**

**New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston**

Communications addressed to

**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.

For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

**Pittsburg  
Baltimore**

**Philadelphia  
Washington**

**New Orleans  
Kansas City**

**Cleveland  
Cincinnati**

**San Francisco  
Minneapolis**

**London  
England**

**Chicago  
Detroit  
St. Louis  
Denver**

*Prices in effect January 6, 1908. Subject to change without notice.*



**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**



**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**

## Spalding "Casino" Tennis Posts

No. C. Extra heavy square wood post, painted red and nicely varnished and striped. Pulley wheel at top of each post to take top cord of net, and reel of improved pattern, to tighten net, attached to one post. Has extra heavy japanned iron brackets to steady post and extends 30 inches into the ground when set up.

Per pair, **\$7.50**

## Spalding Tennis Poles

No. E. Finely polished, solid, spiked tennis poles. Packed complete with guy ropes and pegs. Per pair, **\$2.00**

No. F. Good quality solid tennis poles. Packed complete with guy ropes and pegs. Per pair, **\$1.00**

### Indoor Tennis Poles


No. G. Made with iron base and spike to fit into iron plates in the floor. Complete with plates, tees, guy ropes and everything necessary for setting up.

No. E Per pair, **\$2.50** No. G

## Spalding "Side Line" Tennis Posts

No. SL. By using these posts it is possible on a court laid out with posts and net for "doubles" to put the net at the proper height for a single court game, and that without taking down net or removing regular double court posts. Per pair, **75c.**

### Canvas Center Strap



No. 3-0

## Spalding Tennis Posts

No. D. Square post of wood, handsomely painted in red with black striping; japanned iron bracket braces to steady poles, which are inserted 24 inches into the ground. No guy ropes are necessary with these tennis posts.

Per pair, **\$4.00**

## Guy Ropes and Pegs for Tennis Nets

No. 1. Cotton ropes, plain pegs. Set, **25c.**

No. 2. Cotton ropes plain pegs. Per set, **50c.**

No. 3. Cotton ropes, fancy pegs. (No. 3 will answer for Backstop Nets. Per set, **85c.**

## Reels for Tennis Posts



No. L

No. L. "Autoloc" Patented Reel for Tennis Posts. Made with automatic locking ratchet device, which makes it possible to adjust height of net to a hair and locks automatically at place set. Each, **\$5.00**



No. R

No. R. Regulation style, japanned finish, wooden handle. Each, **\$1.50**

## Pulleys and Axles

No. O. Japanned pulleys, complete with axles, for top of tennis posts. Per pair, **35c.**

## Iron Center Forks

No. 2. Good quality, iron fork.

Each, **\$1.00**

No. 2

Communications addressed to

**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.

For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

Montreal  
Canada

New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston

Pittsburg  
Baltimore

Philadelphia  
Washington

New Orleans  
Kansas City

Cleveland  
Cincinnati

San Francisco  
Minneapolis

London  
England

Chicago  
Detroit  
St. Louis  
Denver

Prices in effect January 6, 1908. Subject to change without notice.

**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**




**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**

**Columbia Tennis Marker**

No. 1. Makes a clean even ribbon line, with contact in full view Each, **\$5.00**

**Duplex Tennis Marker**

No. 0. A practical wet marker at a moderate price. Simple in construction, easy to operate and substantially made. Each, **\$3.50**



**Dry Tennis Marker**

No. 3. No mixing of material. Uses marble dust and slaked lime, etc. Made substantially of iron, nicely japanned. The best dry tennis marker made. Each, **\$2.00**



No. 2. Similar style to above, but smaller in size and lighter in material. Each, **\$1.00**

**Portable Marking Tapes**

No. 3. For Single Court, complete with 100 staples and pins. Per set, **\$4.00**

No. 4. For Double Court, complete with 200 staples and 14 pins. Set, **\$4.50**


No. 6. For Double Court, extra quality canvas, complete with 200 staples and 14 pins. Set, **\$7.00**

No. 5. Extra Staples. Per 100, **.50**



**Spalding  
"Wet Spray"  
Tennis Marker**

No. X. Simple, but effective. Flow of liquid under instant control from handle. Makes an even line of uniform width. No ribbon; liquid flows directly on wheel. Each, **\$5.00**



**Marking Plates**

For permanently marking the angles of court. Made of malleable iron and painted white. A set consists of eight corner and two T pieces.

No. 1. With separate pins.

No. 2. With wedge pins attached.



No. 1

No. 2

Per set, **\$1.00**  
**\$1.50**

**Tether Tennis Game**

No. 1. Tether Tennis Ball and Cord. Each, **\$1.00**

No. 2. Tether Pole, 14 feet. **1.75**


No. 5. Marking Ropes for circle and dividing line, with staples. Per set, **1.00**

**Spalding "Patent Angle" Steel Measuring Tapes**

Especially adapted for laying out tennis courts and all kinds of athletic fields. With this tape one person can easily secure accurate right angles, yet the tape is equal to any other for straight measuring also. Complete directions showing how to obtain accurate right angles furnished with every tape. Enclosed in hard leather case, flush handles, all mountings nickel-plated. Accuracy guaranteed.

No. A. 50 feet. Each, **\$5.75**

No. B. 100 feet. " **6.75**



Tether Tennis Ball and Pole ready for play. Cut shows marking ropes in position.

**Montreal  
Canada**

**New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston**

**Pittsburg  
Baltimore**

**Philadelphia  
Washington**

**New Orleans  
Kansas City**

**Cleveland  
Cincinnati**

**San Francisco  
Minneapolis**

**London  
England**

**Chicago  
Detroit  
St. Louis  
Denver**

**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.  
For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

Prices in effect January 6, 1903. Subject to change without notice.

# THE SPALDING GUARANTEES QUALITY



# TRADE-MARK ACCEPT NO SUBSTITUTE

## Rubber Handle Cover



No. 3. For covering racket handles to secure better grip. Made of pure gum rubber, corrugated. Will give excellent satisfaction. Each, **50c.**

## Rubber Adhesive Tape for Racket Handle Grip

No. A. Made especially for this purpose, 1 inch wide. Piece 4 feet long in individual box. **10c.**

## Varnish To Preserve Stringing


Apply immediately after playing, as it takes a little time to dry thoroughly. 2 ounce bottle of special quality varnish, complete with good brush in box, **25c.**

## Lawn Tennis Score Books

Spalding Official Lawn Tennis Score Book, paper cover, 16 sets. Each, **10c.**

Extra Tennis Score Cards, 4 sets. Per doz., **10c.**

## Racket Presses



The most effective press in use to-day. Rackets should be kept in one of them when not in use to prevent warping, especially when they have been exposed to moisture or used at the seashore.

No. 1R. For one racket. Each, **\$1.00**

No. 5R. Superior quality. Made of finely polished walnut, with brass fittings. Suitable for either one or two rackets. Each, **\$2.50**

## Spalding Racket Covers



No. 1



No. 2



No. GM



No. 5

- No. 1. Brown and mixed colored canvas cover, full size. Each, \$ **.35**
- No. 1. Soft felt cover, full size. **.50**
- No. 2. Good quality moleskin cover; well made and full size. **1.00**
- No. GM. Made of good quality mackintosh material, and same exactly as we furnish with our Gold Medal Rackets. Each, **\$1.00**
- No. 5. Stiff leather, for one racket. **5.00**
- No. 5. Stiff leather, for two rackets. **7.00**



## Rackets Restrung

We make a specialty of restringing rackets of every known make. The work is done by our most scientific stringers, and none but first quality

gut is used. When sending rackets to us to be restrung be sure to prepay charges on the package and mark with your name and address. Write us under separate cover full particulars regarding restringing.


- No. 1. Oriental Gut, White only. Each, **\$1.00**
- No. 2. Lambs' Gut, White only. Each, **\$1.50**
- No. 3. Best Lambs' Gut, White only. Each, **\$2.50**
- No. 4. Special Expert Stringing. White only. Each, **\$3.50**

## Spalding "Club" Racket Press

No. C-P. This is a most substantial affair and is arranged for any number of rackets up to 24. The proper thing for clubs where it is necessary to keep a number of rackets in proper shape all the time.

Price, **\$25.00**

INVALUABLE FOR  
CLUBS CONDUCTING  
TOURNAMENTS



**Montreal  
Canada**

**New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston**

Communications addressed to  
**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.  
For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

**Pittsburg  
Baltimore**

**Philadelphia  
Washington**

**New Orleans  
Kansas City**

**Cleveland  
Cincinnati**

**San Francisco  
Minneapolis**

**London  
England**

**Chicago  
Detroit  
St. Louis  
Denver**

Prices in effect January 6, 1903. Subject to change without notice.



**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**




**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**

# Tennis Shoes

No. AH. High cut, russet leather, with best red rubber flat sole. Hand sewed and of absolutely best grade material throughout.

Per pair, **\$5.50**




No. A. Low cut, russet leather, with best red rubber flat sole. Hand sewed, quality same as No. AH. Per pair, **\$5.00**



No. CH. High cut, best white canvas, fine quality white rubber flat sole. Hand sewed. Per pair, **\$5.00**



No. C. Low cut, best white canvas, fine quality white rubber flat sole. Per pair, **\$3.50**




No. D. Low cut, white canvas, red rubber flat sole. Per pair, **\$1.50**

## Spalding Tennis and Cricket Shoes



No. O. High cut, best white canvas, reinforced soles and spring heels of selected leather with blunt spikes. Suitable either for cricket or for tennis playing on turf courts. Hand sewed.

Per pair, **\$5.00**



**No. 145. Special Bowling Shoe.** This shoe is especially adapted to bowling and equally as good for general athletic use. Made of best selected leather, with electric sole and with a rubber tip sewn on sole

No. 145 across ball of foot to the toe to prevent slipping. Best practical shoe ever manufactured for bowling purposes. Pair, **\$3.50**




**No. BG. Spalding Superior Squash Shoe.** Low cut, with special fine white buck uppers and pure Para rubber flat sole, hand sewed and superior quality throughout. Best and most satisfactory Squash Shoe made. **\$7.50**



**No. AG. Spalding Squash Tennis Shoe.** Low cut, high quality mauve buck leather uppers, reinforced with red leather, and extra heavy pure Para rubber flat sole, provided with a suction arrangement on the bottom to secure a good footing. Hand sewed and absolutely high grade throughout. **\$5.00**



No. AG



**No. BBH.** High cut, black finest quality kangaroo uppers with special quality leather soles and spring heels. Furnished with blunt spikes and made on the same last as our best base ball shoes. An ideal shoe for tennis on turf courts or for cricket. Hand sewed.

Per pair, **\$7.50**

No. BBH

Montreal  
Canada

New York  
Buffalo  
Syracuse  
Boston

Communications addressed to  
**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.  
For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

Pittsburg  
Baltimore

Philadelphia  
Washington

New Orleans  
Kansas City

Cleveland  
Cincinnati

San Francisco  
Minneapolis

London  
England

Chicago  
Detroit  
St. Louis  
Denver

Prices in effect January 6, 1908. Subject to change without notice.

**THE SPALDING  
GUARANTEES  
QUALITY**



**TRADE-MARK  
ACCEPT NO  
SUBSTITUTE**

PLAYERS IN THE UNITED STATES are rapidly coming to the conclusion that it is not necessary to send to the other side for squash rackets. The leading players are now using Spalding goods with general satisfaction, and we look for a still further increase in the number of users this season owing to certain improvements introduced in details of manufacture.


## Spalding Squash Tennis Rackets

Frames of finest selected white ash, bound at shoulders, finished with rounded edges, high polish.

- No. 18. Strung with white oriental gut, patent rubber "abrasive" grip. . . . Each, **\$3.00**  
 No. 19. Strung with best lambs' gut, combed cedar handle; head smaller than above. Each, **\$3.50**  
 No. 20. Strung with best lambs' gut, patent rubber "abrasive" grip; head same as No. 19. **\$4.00**


**Spalding Squash Tennis Balls** are finest quality material and workmanship throughout. It will pay you to try them if you are not already familiar with their good points. The idea of the (overspun) cover, knitted on the ball and with no seams to rip, is original with us and is a valuable improvement.

No. 0. Spalding Championship Overspun Squash Tennis Balls (Patented). White or green covering. Doz. **\$6.00**



## Spalding Squash Racquets

- No. 17. Bats made of finest selected ash; strung with best quality gut; the grip is wound with white kid; best grade throughout. . . . Each, **\$5.00**



- No. S. Imported best quality 1 1/4-inch rubber balls; enameled black or gray. Each, **20c.**



TOM HAYWARD has used exclusively the

## Record Cricket Bats

bearing his signature during the season 1907, and has made with them the highest aggregate, viz.: **2,353, and 7 centuries**, viz.:

- 161, for Surrey v. Essex, at Kennington Oval.  
 146, for Players v. Gentlemen, at Lord's.  
 131, for Surrey v. Notts, at Kennington Oval.  
 127, for Surrey v. Worcestershire, at Worcester.  
 119, for Surrey v. Middlesex, at Lord's.  
 114, for Surrey v. Lancashire, at Kennington Oval.  
 105, for C. I. Thornton's XI v. South Africans, and see his letter: [at Scarborough.

**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**  
 53, Fetter Lane, E. C.

Kennington Oval,  
 46th September, 1907.

Gentlemen: In reference to our Cricket Bats, I have great pleasure in saying that I am delighted with the way in which my instructions to the foreman of the Cricket Department at the Putney factory have been carried out in regard to shape and balance, and, having played with them exclusively during the past season, I can testify as to their driving and lasting powers.

I am more than delighted with the enormous stock of willow which we have secured for the coming season, and I propose to watch the manufacture of all stock bearing my signature during the winter, and confidently anticipate turning over to your selling department next spring the finest Bats it is possible to produce.

Yours truly,

**T. HAYWARD (Surrey XI.)**

Special catalogue devoted to our line of cricket goods, including the celebrated Tom Hayward "Century" Bat and complete assortment of general cricket equipment, mailed to anyone on request. Correspondence with clubs especially solicited.

To Kennington Oval, Sept. '07,  
 A. G. SPALDING & BROS., London.  
 We, the undersigned, members of the South African Cricket Team touring in England, having used your Cricket Bats during the tour, have much pleasure in testifying as to their perfect balance, driving and lasting powers.

*Eng. Whistler*  
*James H. Hoggan*  
*H. E. Smith*  
*W. A. H. Hoggan*  
*James H. Hoggan*  
*Eng. & Hoggan*  
*Eng. & Hoggan*  
*Eng. & Hoggan*  
*Eng. & Hoggan*  
*Eng. & Hoggan*

Montreal  
 Canada

New York  
 Buffalo  
 Syracuse  
 Boston

Pittsburg  
 Baltimore

Philadelphia  
 Washington

New Orleans  
 Kansas City

Cleveland  
 Cincinnati

San Francisco  
 Minneapolis

London  
 England

Chicago  
 Detroit  
 St. Louis  
 Denver

Communications addressed to  
**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

in any of the following cities will receive attention.  
 For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

Prices in effect January 6, 1908. Subject to change without notice.





Simultaneous Life Studies from Spalding's Athletic Library, "Strokes and Science of Lawn Tennis." Price 10 cents.

**THE SPALDING**  
**GUARANTEES**  
**QUALITY**




**TRADE-MARK**  
**ACCEPT NO**  
**SUBSTITUTE**

REG. U.S. PAT. OFF.

**The SPALDING**  
**Official Intercollegiate Foot Ball**  
**No. J5.** This is the only Official Rugby Foot Ball, and is used in every important match played in America. Guaranteed absolutely if seal of box is unbroken. We pack, with leather case and pure Para rubber bladder, an inflator, lacing needle and rawhide lace. Complete, \$5.00

**The SPALDING**  
**Official Basket Ball**  
**No. M.** Officially adopted and standard. The cover is made in four sections, with capless ends and of the finest and most carefully selected pebble grain English leather. We take the entire output of this high grade of leather from the English tanners, and in the Official Basket Ball use the choicest parts of each hide. The bladder is made specially for this ball of extra quality Pararubber. Each ball packed complete, in sealed box. \$6.00

**The SPALDING**  
**Official Association Foot Ball**  
**No. H.** Made in the improved style with eight sections and "black button" ends. This constitutes strongest construction known for a round ball. Made of special English leather and in every way conforms to the balls used by the best teams in Great Britain. Each ball is packed complete with a pure Para rubber guaranteed bladder, a foot ball inflator, rawhide lace, and a lacing needle in sealed box, and contents guaranteed perfect if seal is unbroken. \$5.00



**Montreal**  
**Canada**

Communications addressed to  
**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**  
 in any of the following cities will receive attention  
 For street numbers see inside front cover of this book.

**London**  
**England**

**New York**  
**Buffalo**  
**Syracuse**

**Boston**  
**Pittsburg**  
**Baltimore**

**Philadelphia**  
**Washington**  
**New Orleans**

**Chicago**  
**Cleveland**  
**Detroit**

**Cincinnati**  
**Kansas City**  
**St. Louis**

**San Francisco**  
**Denver**  
**Minneapolis**

*Prices in effect January 6, 1908. Subject to change without notice.*


# Durand-Steel Lockers

**W**OODEN LOCKERS are objectionable because they attract vermin, absorb odors, can be easily broken into, and are dangerous on account of fire. Lockers made from wire mesh or expanded metal afford little security, as they can be easily entered with wire cutters. Clothes placed in them become covered with dust and the lockers themselves present a poor appearance, resembling animal cages.

**Durand-Steel Lockers** are made of high-grade steel plates, and are finished with gloss-black Furnace baked Japan (400°), comparable to that used on hospital ware, which will never flake off nor require refinishing, as do paints and enamels.

**Durand-Steel Lockers** are usually built with doors perforated full length in panel design, with sides and backs

solid. This prevents clothes in one locker from




Six Lockers in Double Tier

coming in contact with wet garments in adjoining lockers, while plenty of ventilation is secured by having the door perforated its entire length, but if the purchaser prefers we perforate the backs also.

The cost of Durand-Steel Lockers is no more than that of first-class wooden lockers, and they last as long as the building, are sanitary, secure, and in addition, are fire-proof.

We are handling lockers as a special contract business, and shipment will in every case be made direct from the factory in Chicago. If you will let us know the number of lockers, size, and arrangement, we shall be glad to take up through correspondence the matter of prices.



Three Lockers in Single Tier

**A. G. SPALDING & BROS.**

Send for Complete Catalogue of all Athletic Sports.

Stores in all large cities. See inside cover page of this book.

**T**HE following index from Spalding's latest catalogues will give an idea of the great variety of athletic goods manufactured and sold by A. G. Spalding & Bros. ☞ Spalding's illustrated catalogue furnished free upon application to any of Spalding's stores—for location of which see inside front cover of this book.

	PAGE		PAGE		PAGE
Abdomen Protector	28	Golf Goods	44	Shirts, Base Ball	23
Archery Goods	64	Grips, Athletic	56	Shirts, Basket Ball	59
Athletic Libraries	63	Grips, Golf	48	Shirts, Sleeveless	57
Bags, Bat	17	Guy Ropes and Pegs	38	Shoes, Base Ball	25
Bags, Bathing Suit	53	Hammers, Athletic	54	Shoes, Basket Ball	60
Bags, Caddy	46	Handle Covers	36	Shoes, Bowling	40
Bags, Uniform	17	Hats, University	42	Shoes, Cross Country	56
Balls, Base	4	Hob Nails	48	Shoes, Cricket	40
Balls, Basket	58	Hole Cutters, Golf	48	Shoes, Golf	50
Balls, Indoor Base	7	Hole Rim, Golf	48	Shoes, Gymnasium	60
Balls, Golf	47	Horns, Umpires'	55	Shoes, Indoor Jump	56
Ball, Playground	7	How to order Unif'ms	23	Shoes, Jumping	56
Ball, Parachute Golf	49	Hurdles, Safety	54	Shoes, Indoor Run	56
Balls, Tennis	33	Indoor Base Ball	7	Shoes, Running	56
Ball Cleaners	49	Jackets, Swimming	53	Shoes, Squash	40
Bandages, Elastic	27	Jerseys	52	Shoes, Tennis	40
Bases, Base Ball	17	Knee Tights	57	Shoe Plates, Base Ball	17
Bases, Indoor	7	Knickerbockers	57	Shot	54
Basket Ball Wear	59	Lanes for Sprints	54	Skates, Roller	61
Bats, Base Ball	8	Lawn Bowls	64	Sleeve Bands	43
Bats, Indoor	7	Masks, Base Ball	18	Slippers, Bathing	53
Bat Bags, Base Ball	17	Markers, Tennis	39	Soccer Foot Ball	64
Batting Cage	20	Megaphones	43	Squash	41
Bathing Suits	53	Mitts, Base Ball	10	Steel Cable	35
Belts	26	Nets, Tennis	34	Stockings	27
Bladders	58	Numbers, Comp.	56	Stop Board	55
Blouses, Umpire	23	Paint, Golf	49	Stop Watch	55
Caddy Badges	48	Pants, Base Ball	23	Studs, Golf	48
Caddy Bags	46	Pants, Basket Ball	59	Supporters	28
Caps, Base Ball	24	Pants, Boys' Knee	57	Supporters, Ankle	28
Caps, University	42	Pants, Running	56	Supporters, Wrist	28
Center Forks, Iron	38	Pistol, Starter's	55	Suspensories	28
Center Straps	38	Plates, Shoe	17	Sweaters	23
Circle, 7-ft.	55	Plates, Home	17	Swimming Suits	53
Clock Golf	49	Plates, Marking	39	Take-Off Board	55
Coats, Base Ball	23	Plates, Pitchers' Toe	17	Tape, Rubber	35
Collars, Swimming	53	Plates, Pitchers' Box	17	Tapes, Marking	39
Corks, Running	56	Plates, Teeing	48	Tapes, Measuring	39
Covers, Racket	36	Poles, Vaulting	54	Tennis Rackets	29
Cricket Goods	41	Presses, Racket	36	Tennis Nets	34
Croquet Goods	64	Protectors, Base Ball	20	Tennis Posts	37
Discus, Olympic	54	Protector, Thumb	58	Tether Tennis	39
Discs, Rubber Golf	48	Pulleys and Axle	38	Tights, Full Length	57
Discs, Marking Golf	48	Pushers, Chamois	56	Toe Board	55
Elastic Bandages	27	Puttees, Golf	50	Trousers, Y.M.C.A.	57
Emblems	24	Racket Covers	36	Trunks, Bathing	53
Equestrian Polo	64	Rackets, Tennis	32	Trunks, Velvet	57
Field Hockey	64	Racket Presses	36	Trunks, Worsted	57
Flags, College	43	Rackets, Restrung	36	Umpire Indicator	20
Flags, Marking Golf	48	Rackets, Squash	41	Uniform Bags	17
Foul Flags	17	Reels, Tennis Post	38	Uniforms, Base Ball	21
Gloves, Base Ball	15	Rink Skates	61	Varnish for Gut	36
Gloves, Golf	48	Score Board, Golf	49	Vaulting Standards	54
Glove Softener	20	Score Books, Base Ball	20	Watches, Stop	55
Goals, Basket Ball	58	Score Books, Bask Ball	58	Water Wings	53
Golf Clubs	44	Score Books, Golf	49	Weights, 56-lb.	54
Golf Counters	49	Score Books, Tennis	36	Whistles, Referees'	55
Golfette	49	Scoring Tablets, B.B.	20	Wrist Supporters	28



# THE SPALDING TRADE-MARK

PROTECTS  
THE  
CONSUMER

AND

PREVENTS  
FRAUDULENT  
SUBSTITUTION

**The Nondescript  
Manufacturer  
says to the  
Dealer :**

"Why pay 15 to 20 per cent. more for Spalding Trade Marked Athletic Goods, when I am prepared to furnish you 'Just as good' articles for so much less. price?"



**The Substitute  
Dealer says  
to the Con-  
sumer :**

"We are just out of the Spalding article asked for, but here is something 'just as good' at 25 per cent. less price."

## Spalding Cautions the Consumer

to make proper allowances for these "JUST AS GOOD" manufacturers and substitute-dealers' statements, but see to it that the Spalding Trade-Mark is on, or attached, to each Spalding Athletic article; for without this Trade-Mark they are not genuine Spalding Goods.

We are prompted to issue this Caution to users of Spalding's Athletic Goods, for the reason that many defective articles made and sold by these 'Just as Good' manufacturers and dealers are returned to us as defective and unsatisfactory, and which the consumer, who has been thus deceived, has asked us to repair or replace under our broad Guarantee, which reads as follows:

**We Guarantee** to each purchaser of an article bearing the Spalding Trade-Mark that such article will give satisfaction and a reasonable amount of service, when used for the purpose for which it was intended and under ordinary conditions and fair treatment.

**We Agree** to repair or replace free of charge any such article which proves defective in material or workmanship; PROVIDED such defective article is returned to us, transportation prepaid, during the season in which it was purchased, accompanied by the name, address and a letter from the user explaining the claim.

*A.C. Spalding & Bros*

Beware of the 'Just as Good' manufacturer, who makes 'appearance' first and 'Quality' secondary, in order to deceive the dealer; and beware of the substitute-dealer, who completes the fraud by offering the consumer the 'Just as Good' article when Spalding's Goods are asked for.

# THE SPALDING TRADE-MARK

PROTECTS  
THE  
CONSUMER

AND

PREVENTS  
FRAUDULENT  
SUBSTITUTION



APR 14 1908

# Who are A. G. Spalding & Bros.?

ALBERT G. and J. WALTER SPALDING commenced business March 1st, 1876, at Chicago, under the firm name of A. G. Spalding & Bro., with a small capital. Two years later their brother-in-law, William T. Brown, came into the business, and the firm name was then changed to A. G. Spalding & Bros.

The business was founded on the Athletic reputation of Mr. A. G. Spalding, who acquired a national prominence in the realm of Sport, as Captain and Pitcher of the Forest City's of Rockford, Ill. (1865-70), the original Boston Base Ball Club (Champions of the United States, 1871-75), and the Chicago Ball Club (1876-77), first Champions of the National League. He was also one of the original organizers, and for many years a director, of the National League of America, the premier Base Ball organization of the world. Mr. Spalding has taken an important part in Base Ball affairs ever since it became the National Game of the United States at the close of the Civil War in 1865. The returning veterans of that War, who had played the game as a camp diversion, disseminated this new American field sport throughout the country, and thus gave it its national character.

Base Ball Goods were the only articles of merchandise carried the first year. Gradually implements and accessories of Athletic Sports were added, until the firm now manufacture the requisites for all kinds of Athletic Sports. Originally the firm contracted for their supplies from outside manufacturers, but finding it impossible, by this method, to keep the standard of quality up to their high ideals, they gradually commenced the manufacture of their own goods, and by the acquisition from time to time of various established factories located in different parts of the country, are now able

to, and do manufacture in their own factories everything bearing the Spalding Trade-Mark, which stands the world over as a guarantee of the highest quality.



There are over three thousand persons employed in various capacities in A. G. Spalding & Bros.' factories and stores located in all the leading cities of the United States, Canada and England. A capital of over \$4,000,000 is employed in carrying on this business, and the annual sales exceed the total combined annual sales of all other manufacturers in the world making a similar line of goods.

A. G. Spalding & Bros. have always taken a leading part in the introduction, encouragement and support of all new Sports and Games, and the prominence attained by Athletic Sports in the United States is in a very great measure due to the energy, enterprise and liberality of this progressive concern. They were the pioneers, and in fact the founders, of the Athletic Goods Trade in America, and are now universally recognized as the undisputed Leaders in the Athletic Goods line throughout the world.

The late Marshall Field of Chicago, America's greatest Merchant, speaking of the business of A. G. Spalding & Bros., said: "I am familiar with its early career, growth and development, and when I compare its unpromising outlook and the special field for its operations that existed at its inception in 1876, with its present magnitude, I consider it one of the most remarkable mercantile successes of the world."

The millions of Athletes using them, and the thousands of dealers selling them, attest to the High Quality of Spalding's Athletic Goods, and they must determine the future history of this concern.


*A. G. Spalding & Bros.*  
are the leading manufacturers  
of Athletic Goods in the world.


# THE SPALDING




## CHAMPIONSHIP TENNIS BALL

*PERFECT INFLATION*  
*PERFECT COVERING*  
*PERFECT SEWING*









LIBRARY OF CONGRESS



0 005 900 303 3

